

BEFORE THE
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE
TO THE
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT
REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR
REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
1999 HARRISON STREET, SUITE 1650
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

DATE: DECEMBER 14, 2017
9 A.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CSR
CA CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2017-26

I N D E X

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
1. CALL TO ORDER.	4
2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.	4
3. ROLL CALL.	5
4. PRESIDENT'S REPORT.	8
ACTION ITEMS	
5. CONSIDERATION OF THE 2018 SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH BUDGET, INCLUDING CLINICAL AWARD CAPS.	21
6. DISCUSSION OF TRANSITION AND SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING SUSTAINABILITY STRATEGY.	121
7. CONSIDERATION OF CONCEPT PLAN CHANGES TO THE DISCOVERY AND TRANSLATION PROGRAMS.	93
8. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED FOR DISC 2: THE QUEST AWARDS.	50
CLOSED SESSION	NONE
9. DISCUSSION OF CONFIDENTIAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OR WORK PRODUCT, PREPUBLICATION DATA, FINANCIAL INFORMATION, CONFIDENTIAL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH OR DATA, AND OTHER PROPRIETARY INFORMATION RELATING TO DISC2: THE QUEST AWARDS (HEALTH & SAFETY CODE 125290.30(F) (3) (B) AND (C)).	
REPORTS & DISCUSSION ITEMS	
10. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT.	146

I N D E X (CONT'D.)

CONSENT CALENDAR	145
11. CONSIDERATION OF DECEMBER 2016 THROUGH NOVEMBER 2017 MEETING MINUTES.	
12. CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENT OF NEW SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS TO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP.	
13. CONSIDERATION OF ACCEPTANCE OF AMENDMENTS TO DONOR AGREEMENTS.	
REPORTS & DISCUSSION ITEMS	
14. CLINICAL PROGRAM UPDATES.	155
15. PUBLIC COMMENT.	NONE

1 THURSDAY, DECEMBER 14, 2017; 9 A.M.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: GOOD MORNING, EVERYBODY,
FROM BEAUTIFUL, CRISP OAKLAND. THIS IS THE DECEMBER
AND FINAL MEETING OF THE ICOC AND THE APPLICATION
REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE. I'D LIKE TO WELCOME EVERYBODY
HERE. BEFORE WE GET GOING, A COUPLE OF LOGISTICAL
ISSUES. FOR MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, THE MICS ARE PUSH
AND TALK. YOU DON'T HAVE TO HOLD IT DOWN, BUT YOU
DO NEED TO PUSH.

SECONDLY, I KNOW WE HAVE A NUMBER OF
PEOPLE HERE WHO ARE INTERESTED IN GIVING PUBLIC
COMMENT. I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERYBODY
UNDERSTANDS THE TIMING OF PUBLIC COMMENT. IF THERE
IS AN AGENDIZED TOPIC THAT IS UNDER DISCUSSION AT
THAT PARTICULAR MOMENT THAT YOU HAVE AN INTEREST IN
DISCUSSING, THAT IS WHEN YOU SHOULD GIVE YOUR PUBLIC
COMMENT. IF YOUR COMMENTS DO NOT PERTAIN TO A
PARTICULAR MOTION ON THE FLOOR, I WOULD ASK THAT YOU
PLEASE HOLD THOSE COMMENTS TILL THE GENERAL PUBLIC
COMMENT AT THE END OF THE MEETING, AT WHICH TIME YOU
WILL BE HEARD TO THE FULL EXTENT THAT WE CAN
PROCEDURALLY HERE. THANK YOU FOR THAT.

WITH THAT, COULD WE HAVE THE PLEDGE OF
ALLEGIANCE PLEASE, MARIA.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

(THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WE'RE GOING TO GO
FORTHWITH TO THE PRESIDENT'S REPORT. DR. MILLAN.

MS. BONNEVILLE: WE NEED TO TAKE ROLL.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ROLL CALL. THANK YOU,
MARIA.

MS. BONNEVILLE: THOSE OF YOU ON THE
PHONE, IF YOU COULD UNMUTE AND ALSO IF YOU COULD LET
ME KNOW IF THERE ARE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AT YOUR
LOCATION WHEN I CALL ROLL.

GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.

DR. BLUMENTHAL: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: LARS BERGLUND.

DR. BERGLUND: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.

DR. BOXER: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS. JACK
DIXON.

DR. DIXON: HERE. NO OUTSIDE PEOPLE.

I'LL JUST ADD ONE FOOTNOTE. I'M STEPPING
IN FOR DAVID BRENNER WHO LOST HIS MOTHER THIS PAST
WEEK.

MS. BONNEVILLE: SORRY TO HEAR THAT.

ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

DR. DULIEGE: HERE.

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: HOWARD FEDEROFF. JUDY
2 GASSON.
3 DR. GASSON: HERE.
4 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
5 DR. HIGGINS: HERE.
6 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
7 DR. JUELSGAARD: HERE.
8 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHERRY LANSING. BERT
9 LUBIN.
10 DR. LUBIN: HERE.
11 MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
12 DR. MALKAS: HERE.
13 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
14 DR. MARTIN: HERE.
15 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
16 DR. MELMED: HERE.
17 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
18 PADILLA.
19 DR. PADILLA: HERE.
20 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
21 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
22 DR. PRIETO: HERE.
23 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT. AL
24 ROWLETT.
25 MR. ROWLETT: HERE.

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
2 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: HERE.
3 MS. BONNEVILLE: OSWALD STEWARD.
4 DR. STEWARD: HERE.
5 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
6 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE.
7 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
8 MR. TORRES: HERE.
9 MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
10 DR. VUORI: HERE. NO PUBLIC.
11 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARIA.
13 WE HAVE QUITE A FULL AGENDA TODAY. BEFORE
14 WE GET GOING HERE, SENATOR TORRES WOULD LIKE TO MAKE
15 A STATEMENT.
16 MR. TORRES: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN AND
17 MEMBERS. ON TUESDAY MORNING, I LOST A FRIEND WHO
18 I'VE KNOWN SINCE 1978 AND WHO WAS A GREAT MAYOR OF
19 THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO. ED LEE. ED
20 AND I FIRST MET WHEN HE WAS A CIVIL RIGHTS LAWYER IN
21 LOS ANGELES AND SAN FRANCISCO AS WE FOUGHT FOR ASIAN
22 AMERICAN AND PACIFIC ISLANDER RIGHTS THROUGHOUT THE
23 STATE.
24 FROM THE VERY BEGINNING, HE WAS A
25 TREMENDOUS SUPPORTER OF OUR CAUSE. AS BOB KLEIN

1 WELL KNOWS, WHO'S HERE IN THE AUDIENCE, IT WAS ED
2 LEE WHO REALLY SHEPHERDED US AFTER GAVIN NEWSOM LEFT
3 US WITH A FREE LEASE OF TEN YEARS. AND ED LEE
4 CONTINUED THAT LEGACY. AND, OF COURSE, HE WAS
5 HEARTBROKEN WHEN WE LEFT FOR OAKLAND, BUT THE HIGH
6 RENTS COULDN'T KEEP US THERE. BUT ED HAS GARNERED
7 THE SUPPORT AND THE ADMIRATION OF SO MANY.

8 SO I WOULD ASK VERY HUMBLLY THAT WE ADJOURN
9 TODAY'S MEETING IN HIS MEMORY.

10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. SENATOR.

11 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: I WOULD ECHO THAT. A
12 TREMENDOUS LOSS FOR THE CITIZENS OF SAN FRANCISCO
13 AND THE WHOLE BAY AREA REGION AND THE STATE. AND
14 THE WHOLE CITY IS REELING, BUT WE'RE STILL
15 FUNCTIONING. BUT THANK YOU FOR YOUR WORDS, ART.

16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. SENATOR
17 AND MR. SUPERVISOR.

18 WE WILL PROCEED NOW TO ITEM NO. 4, WHICH
19 IS PRESIDENT'S REPORT. DR. MILLAN.

20 DR. MILLAN: MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND
21 COLLEAGUES, I'LL BE PRESENTING A PRESIDENT'S REPORT
22 TODAY. AND I'LL START OFF WITH OUR MISSION AS THE
23 REASON WE'RE HERE, AND THE REASON WE'RE ASSEMBLED
24 TODAY IS TO ACCELERATE STEM CELL TREATMENTS TO
25 PATIENTS WITH UNMET MEDICAL NEEDS. IN TODAY'S

1 PRESIDENT'S REPORT, I'LL COVER SOME 2017 HIGHLIGHTS
2 AND AN UPDATE ON OUR PROGRESS TOWARD OUR FIVE-YEAR
3 STRATEGIC PLAN. I WILL BE GIVING AN UPDATE ON OUR
4 RESEARCH BUDGET. AND ON BEHALF OF THE CIRM TEAM
5 WILL BE BRINGING A PROPOSAL AND REQUESTED ACTION
6 FROM THIS BOARD FOR TWO ITEMS: THE CLIN AWARD CAP
7 BUDGET AS WELL AS THE 2018 BUDGET FOR APPROVAL.

8 SO IN TERMS OF 2017, I THINK MANY OF US
9 WILL SEE 2017 AS A VERY REMARKABLE YEAR FOR THE
10 FIELD OF CELL THERAPIES. THERE HAVE BEEN TWO WHAT'S
11 CALLED LIVING CELLS OR GENE-MODIFIED CELL THERAPIES
12 APPROVED NOW BY THE FDA. ON AUGUST 30TH NOVARTIS'
13 T-CELL CAR-T THERAPY WAS APPROVED FOR AML, AND
14 SHORTLY THEREAFTER A DEAL BETWEEN KITE AND GILEAD
15 TRANSPIRED, AND THEN THAT PRODUCT WAS ANOTHER CAR-T
16 THERAPY, WHICH IS A GENE-MODIFIED CELL THERAPY FOR
17 THE TREATMENT OF B-CELL LYMPHOMA, WAS ALSO APPROVED
18 BY THE FDA.

19 SO THAT IS FOR THE FIELD KIND OF A MARK
20 THAT THE FIELD IS MATURING. THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF
21 ENSUING CONVERSATIONS ABOUT REIMBURSEMENT AND
22 DOWNSTREAM CONSIDERATIONS FOR ADOPTION AND
23 PRACTICALLY HOW DO WE GET THESE TO THE PATIENTS IN
24 NEED. SO THAT IS GOING TO BE THE NEXT PHASE OF
25 CHALLENGES FOR THAT PARTICULAR PRODUCT, BUT A VERY

1 IMPORTANT CONVERSATION WHICH WILL ALSO INFORM US FOR
2 THESE CELL THERAPIES AND REGENERATIVE MEDICINE FIELD
3 IN GENERAL.

4 THIS YEAR WAS ALSO MARKED BY THE LAUNCH OF
5 THE 21ST CENTURY CURES ACT THAT WAS PASSED BY
6 CONGRESS IN DECEMBER 2016 THAT LED TO CREATION OF A
7 NEW, EXPEDITED PATHWAY IN THE FDA CALLED THE RMAT,
8 THE REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ADVANCED THERAPIES,
9 EXPEDITED PATHWAY. AND I'LL GO INTO THAT IN A
10 LITTLE BIT MORE DETAIL.

11 I THINK IT MARKS TWO THINGS. ONE, IT'S A
12 RECOGNITION ON THE FEDERAL LEVEL AND BY CONGRESS
13 THAT THE PROMISE OF THE REGENERATIVE STEM CELL FIELD
14 AND ITS IMPORTANCE IN MEDICINE AND THE FUTURE OF
15 HEALTHCARE. AND THE OTHER ITEM THAT I WILL GIVING
16 AN UPDATE ON IS OUR CONTINUED CONVERSATIONS AND
17 COLLABORATIVE ACTIVITIES WITH THE NIH WHICH HAS
18 ENSUED SINCE OUR INVITED VISIT BY FRANCES COLLINS'
19 OFFICE AND THE INSTITUTE HEADS OF THE NIH IN JUNE.
20 WE'VE HAD SOME FOLLOW-UP ACTIVITIES SINCE THEN.

21 SO, FIRST, I'D LIKE TO JUST GIVE AN UPDATE
22 ON WHAT THE RMAT EXPEDITED REGULATORY PATHWAY IS.
23 THE RMAT EXPEDITED REGULATORY PATHWAY WAS CREATED BY
24 THE 21ST CENTURY CURES ACT, AND THE FDA HAS FULLY
25 COMMITTED TO THIS. THE COMMISSIONER, SCOTT

1 GOTTlieb, HAS RESOURCED THE OFFICE OF TISSUE AND
2 ADVANCED THERAPIES TO BE ABLE TO PROCESS IN A MOST
3 EFFICIENT WAY APPLICATIONS FOR THIS EXPEDITED
4 PATHWAY. AND I'M PLEASED TO SAY THAT CIRM HAS BEEN
5 AT THE FOREFRONT OF THE FIRST THREE OF THESE
6 EXPEDITED DESIGNATIONS. TWO OF THEM WERE CIRM
7 PROGRAMS. AND CURRENTLY THERE ARE ELEVEN SO FAR
8 THIS YEAR. AND THAT'S THE MOST UP-TO-DATE DATA FROM
9 THE FDA REPORT AT THE MEETING WE ATTENDED LAST WEEK.

10 OF THOSE ELEVEN, THREE OF THEM ARE CIRM
11 PROGRAMS. SO, AGAIN, IT'S AN INDICATION OF HOW CIRM
12 AND ITS STAKEHOLDERS JUST CONTINUE TO BE IN THE
13 FOREFRONT OF THIS EFFORT AND DRIVE THIS FORWARD.

14 THE RMAT ALLOWS FOR A NIMBLE PROCESS,
15 FREQUENT CONVERSATIONS WITH FDA, KIND OF A REAL-TIME
16 EVALUATION OF WHERE THE DATA IS. IT'S A WAY THAT
17 THEY CAN BREAK AWAY FROM THE TRADITIONAL WAY OF
18 LOOKING AT DRUG DEVELOPMENT, WHICH WAS MORE RELEVANT
19 FOR SMALL MOLECULES THAN MAYBE TRADITIONAL
20 BIOLOGICS, THEREFORE, REALLY LOOK AT WHAT THIS
21 PRODUCT IS, WHAT CONSIDERATIONS IN TERMS OF WHAT
22 REAL EFFECTS THAT ARE BENEFICIAL TO THE PATIENTS.
23 THEY COULD LOOK AT WELCOME SURROGATE MARKERS, WHICH
24 IN THE PAST IS REALLY TOUGH TO GET THROUGH. SO IT'S
25 VERY EXCITING.

1 OUR TEAM HAS BEEN VERY INTERACTIVE WITH
2 THE LEADERSHIP OF THE FDA AND WILL CONTINUE THOSE
3 CONVERSATIONS TO DETERMINE THE BEST WAY TO HAVE OUR
4 PROGRAMS UTILIZE THE EXPEDITED PATHWAY AS WELL AS,
5 IN GENERAL, INFORM EACH OTHER ALONG THE WAY IN TERMS
6 OF HOW BEST TO DEVELOP THESE PRODUCTS.

7 THE THREE PROGRAMS THAT RECEIVED THE RMAT
8 EARLY ON IS HUMACYTE, WHICH IS A BIOLOGIC VASCULAR
9 GRAFT FOR DIALYSIS ACCESS AND END STAGE RENAL
10 DISEASE; JCYTE'S AMD TRIAL, A CELL THERAPY TRIAL;
11 ASTERIAS' CELL THERAPY REPLACEMENT AND REPAIR TRIAL
12 FOR SPINAL CORD INJURY.

13 IN TERMS OF THE CIRM-NIH PARTNERSHIP, AS
14 WE REPORTED EARLIER IN THE YEAR, OUR TEAM MET WITH
15 THE NIH IN JUNE. WE WERE INVITED THERE BECAUSE THEY
16 WERE EXPLORING WAYS THAT THE NIH COULD REALLY GEAR
17 UP TO FULFILL THE ASPIRATIONS AND REQUIREMENTS OF
18 THE 21ST CENTURY CURES ACT AND FURTHER REGENERATIVE
19 MEDICINE RESEARCH. THE NIH WAS VERY MUCH IMPRESSED
20 BY THE CIRM PROCESSES AND THE WAY THAT WE WERE ABLE
21 TO GET OUR PROGRAMS TO LATE DEVELOPMENT AND INTO THE
22 CLINICAL TRIALS.

23 FROM THAT WE HAD SERIALY MULTIPLE
24 INVITATIONS TO GO BACK AND VARIOUS WORKSHOPS TOPIC
25 RELATED, OPERATION OR OTHERWISE, AND IT'S LED TO

1 SEVERAL KEY, CONCRETE OUTCOMES. ONE IS THAT CIRM
2 WAS INVITED TO PARTICIPATE IN THE NIH-FDA
3 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE INNOVATION WORKSHOP LAST WEEK.
4 IT WAS AN EXTREMELY USEFUL WORKSHOP WHERE
5 INVESTIGATORS IN THE FIELD, MANY, MANY
6 REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE FDA, AND ALL OF THE
7 INSTITUTE HEADS OF THE NIH ASSEMBLED IN A ROOM ALONG
8 WITH INVESTIGATORS WISHING TO PUSH THEIR PROGRAMS
9 INTO THE CLINICS INTO DEVELOPMENT.

10 AND THERE WERE SOME INTERESTING THINGS
11 THAT CAME OUT. FIRST OF ALL, THE NIH HAS, REALLY
12 ONLY FOR THIS REGENERATIVE MEDICINE INNOVATION FUND,
13 HAS \$30 MILLION IN FUNDING TO SUPPORT SUCH EFFORTS
14 OVER THE NEXT FIVE YEARS. AT LEAST THAT'S WHAT'S
15 CURRENTLY COMMITTED UNDER THE CURES ACT. AND THEY
16 CURRENTLY ARE FOCUSING ON ADULT STEM CELLS. THEY
17 REALIZE THAT CIRM WILL CONTINUE TO FUND THE OTHER
18 TYPES OF STEM CELLS, BUT THEY CURRENTLY WILL FOCUS
19 ON ADULT STEM CELLS.

20 ANOTHER THING THAT THE INVESTIGATORS
21 POINTED OUT WAS THAT IT WAS VERY, VERY DIFFICULT TO
22 FIGURE OUT A WAY TO ACTUALLY GET THEIR TRANSLATIONAL
23 RESEARCH EVEN FUNDED, THE SO-CALLED VALLEY OF DEATH.
24 AND THAT STILL PERSISTS. AND THE RESPONSE WAS KEEP
25 TRYING AND IT MIGHT COME THROUGH. BUT I THINK THAT

1 THE NIH IS GOING TO BE RECEPTIVE TO HOW DO YOU DO
2 THIS. AND THEY HAVE BEEN HAVING CONVERSATIONS WITH
3 US, AND WE'VE ACTUALLY BEEN WORKING WITH THEM ON
4 THIS. BUT IT'S REALLY KEY BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT CIRM
5 BRINGS TO THE TABLE THAT NOBODY ELSE DOES. FUND THE
6 EARLY TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH AND THE EARLY STAGE
7 CLINICAL TRIALS. FUND THEM AT A TIME WHEN OTHERS
8 WON'T YET FUND THEM, SO-CALLED DERISK THE PROGRAMS,
9 SO THAT THEY CAN GATHER INFORMATION AND DATA THAT
10 WILL ALLOW OTHERS TO LOOK AT IT, AND THEN COME IN,
11 WHETHER IT BE PHARMA OR OTHER INVESTORS, AND BRING
12 THIS FORWARD AND SUPPORT IT DOWNSTREAM.

13 ANOTHER OUTCOME OF THIS -- AND ARLENE
14 CHIU, I SEE, HAS ATTENDED FROM THE CITY OF HOPE --
15 WAS A JOINT CIRM-NIH SITE VISIT TO THE CITY OF HOPE
16 BECAUSE THE NIH IS EMBARKING UPON A SICKLE CELL
17 CURES INITIATIVE. AND THEY VERY MUCH WERE
18 INTERESTED ABOUT OUR CIRM PROGRAMS, BUT ALSO THE
19 INFRASTRUCTURE AND THE INTEGRATED CAPABILITIES WE
20 PUT TOGETHER BECAUSE OF OUR MULTIPLE GRANTEES, BUT
21 INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS AND THE ECOSYSTEM THAT'S
22 BEEN BUILT IN CALIFORNIA. AND BECAUSE OF THAT JOINT
23 SITE VISIT, THE CITY OF HOPE WAS AWARDED ADDITIONAL
24 FUNDS FOR THEIR MANUFACTURE AND PROCESS DEVELOPMENT
25 EFFORTS IN THE GENE THERAPY SPACE.

1 ANOTHER KIND OF DOWN IN THE WEEDS KIND OF
2 ON-THE-GROUND WORK THAT'S BEING DONE, PAT OLSON AND
3 GABE THOMPSON HAVE PURSUED WITH NIH HOW SOME OF
4 THEIR MULTICENTER AWARDS CAN BE CRAFTED IN A WAY
5 THAT HAVE MILESTONES AND OUTCOMES THAT WOULD SET
6 THEM UP WELL TO GET INTO THE TRANSLATIONAL STAGE AND
7 LATE DEVELOPMENT STAGE, AGAIN, BECAUSE NIH
8 RECOGNIZED THAT THEY WE WERE ABLE TO BUILD A VERY
9 STRONG LATE DEVELOPMENT PORTFOLIO. AND THAT'S
10 REALLY MOVING CLOSER TO MAYBE BRINGING US MORE
11 TRANSLATIONAL PROJECTS.

12 SO IN TERMS OF THE NEXT SLIDE, 2017
13 UPDATE, AGAIN, CIRM UNIQUELY FUNDS THESE FIVE
14 PILLARS: INFRASTRUCTURE, EDUCATION, DISCOVERY,
15 TRANSLATION, AND CLINICAL. AND THIS YEAR WITH MAYBE
16 SOME MODIFICATION BASED ON TODAY'S ICOC
17 CONSIDERATIONS OF GRANTS IN THE DISCOVERY CATEGORY,
18 THESE HAVE BEEN OUR INVESTMENTS IN 2017 INTO THE
19 FIVE PILLARS OF PROGRAMS. \$16 MILLION IN
20 INFRASTRUCTURE TO FUND TWO ADDITIONAL ALPHA CLINICS,
21 THE EXPANSION OF THE ALPHA CLINICS NETWORK, A
22 MILLION DOLLARS IN EDUCATION, \$45 MILLION IN
23 DISCOVERY, \$24 MILLION IN TRANSLATION, AND \$213
24 MILLION IN CLINICAL TO FUND 16 ADDITIONAL NEW
25 CLINICAL TRIALS INTO OUR PORTFOLIO.

1 SO YOU WILL RECALL THAT WHEN WE LAUNCHED
2 CIRM 2.0 AND THOSE SYSTEMS, THE IDEA WAS TO CREATE A
3 MORE EFFICIENT ACCELERATING ENGINE. AND WE REPORTED
4 VERY FAVORABLE RESULTS WITH THE LAUNCH OF THIS
5 SYSTEM LAST YEAR. AND I'M PLEASED TO SAY THAT THIS
6 HAS BEEN A DURABLE EFFECT, AND IN 2017 WE CONTINUE
7 WITH PERFORMANCE AS SHOWN IN THIS SCHEMATIC WHERE WE
8 HAVE INCREASED BY 33 PERCENT MORE APPLICATIONS
9 COMING INTO OUR SYSTEM, 75 PERCENT MORE HIGH QUALITY
10 APPLICATIONS BEING RECOMMENDED BY OUR GWG.

11 AND THE GWG, FOR THOSE WHO PARTICIPATE,
12 REMAIN EXTREMELY RIGOROUS IN THEIR REVIEW OF THESE
13 APPLICATIONS. SO THAT JUST SPEAKS TO THE QUALITY OF
14 APPLICATIONS COMING IN. AND OUR TEAM ACTUALLY IS
15 VERY INVOLVED, NOT THE REVIEW TEAM, OUR SCIENCE
16 OFFICE, SEPARATE FROM THE REVIEW TEAM, ARE VERY
17 INVOLVED WITH THE APPLICANTS SO THAT THEY REALLY ARE
18 READY TO COME IN AND BRING IN THE KEY INFORMATION
19 FOR OUR GRANTS WORKING GROUP TO LOOK AT. SO WE
20 BELIEVE THAT ALSO HAS DRIVEN PERFORMANCE.

21 AND WE WERE ABLE TO DO THIS WITH 57
22 PERCENT LOWER COST PER APPLICATION. AND, AGAIN,
23 ACCELERATION, 82 PERCENT LESS TIME TO APPROVAL, AND
24 TIME TO FUNDING IS STILL UNDER A HUNDRED FIFTY DAYS
25 FOR ALL AWARDS.

1 AND WHAT HAS THIS LED TO? AGAIN, WE
2 STARTED OFF WITH A MISSION. WHAT THIS HAS LED TO IS
3 WE'VE HAD A TWO-AND-A-HALF-FOLD EXPANSION OF OUR
4 CLINICAL TRIAL PORTFOLIO. WE'VE HAD, BECAUSE OF OUR
5 CLINICAL ADVISORY PANEL, WHICH HAS JUST, IN TERMS OF
6 ACTIVITY, HAS INCREASED TWO- OR THREEFOLD IN TERMS
7 OF NUMBERS OF MEETINGS WE HAVE IN ADVISING AND
8 HELPING OUR APPLICANTS MEET THEIR MILESTONES. WE
9 HAVE 75 PERCENT ON TIME. AND FOR THOSE WHO HAVE RUN
10 CLINICAL TRIALS AND KNOW THE DRUG DEVELOPMENT WORLD,
11 75 PERCENT ON TIME OR EARLY ON MILESTONES IS PRETTY
12 REMARKABLE.

13 WE HAVE NOW, I GUESS, THE MOST UP-TO-DATE
14 NUMBERS. SEVEN HUNDRED THREE PATIENTS HAVE BEEN
15 ENROLLED AND TREATED IN CIRM-FUNDED CLINICAL TRIALS
16 TO DATE.

17 NOW I'LL JUST GIVE AN UPDATE ON WHERE WE
18 ARE IN RELATION TO OUR FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN. AS
19 YOU RECALL, THIS BOARD APPROVED OUR FIVE-YEAR
20 STRATEGIC PLAN IN DECEMBER 2015. WE LAUNCHED IT IN
21 JANUARY 2016. AND SO WE ARE NOW ENDING YEAR TWO OF
22 OUR FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN.

23 THE PLAN CENTERED AROUND SIX BIG, BOLD
24 GOALS, AND I'LL JUST GO THROUGH THE SIX GOALS AND
25 WHERE WE ARE ON EACH OF THESE.

1 THE FIRST GOAL WAS SO-CALLED DISCOVER,
2 BRING 50 NEW DEVELOPMENT CANDIDATES INTO THE CIRM
3 PIPELINE. IN YEAR TWO WE HAVE BROUGHT IN 24 OF THE
4 TARGET OF 50 NEW CANDIDATES. SO THAT'S AHEAD OF
5 SCHEDULE. TO INCREASE THE PROBABILITY OR THE
6 INCIDENCE OF PROGRAMS MOVING FROM ONE STAGE OF
7 RESEARCH TO THE NEXT STAGE, FROM DISCOVERY TO
8 TRANSLATIONAL, FROM TRANSLATIONAL TO PRECLINICAL,
9 FROM PRECLINICAL TO CLINICAL. AND WE'VE DOUBLED THE
10 INCIDENCE OF PROGRESSION FROM ONE STAGE OF RESEARCH
11 TO THE NEXT.

12 REFINE IS ENACT A NEW REGULATORY PARADIGM
13 THAT IS APPROPRIATE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE AND
14 STEM CELL THERAPIES. AND AS I MENTIONED EARLY ON IN
15 THE PRESENTATION, CIRM PROGRAMS ACCOUNT NOW FOR 26
16 PERCENT OF RMAT THAT THE FDA HAS SO FAR AWARDED OR
17 GIVEN TO PROJECTS IN THE U.S.

18 AND IN TERMS OF ACCELERATE, THE GOAL WAS
19 TO BRING DOWN THE TIME IT TAKES TO DEVELOP A
20 CANDIDATE TO GET IT INTO THE PATIENTS BY HALF. AND
21 IN ORDER TO DO THAT, WE LOOK AT THINGS LIKE
22 DECREASING TIME OF TRANSLATION AND DECREASING TIME
23 TO GET TO IND. SO JUST AS A MEASURE THAT WE CAN
24 CURRENTLY LOOK AT, WE HAVE ALREADY ACHIEVED JUST
25 THIS YEAR ALONE THREE OF OUR PROGRAMS THAT WERE IN

1 THE IND-ENABLING STAGE ACHIEVING AN IND. THAT'S
2 BEEN ALLOWED BY THE FDA WITHIN 18 MONTHS. AGAIN,
3 PRETTY REMARKABLE IN TERMS OF TIMELINE.

4 IN TERMS OF VALIDATE, THIS IS SOMETHING
5 THAT MANY ARE MOST FAMILIAR WITH. THE GOAL WAS TO
6 BRING IN 50 NEW CLINICAL TRIALS INTO THE CIRM
7 PORTFOLIO IN FIVE YEARS. IN YEAR TWO WE ARE AHEAD
8 OF SCHEDULE, AND WE ALREADY HAVE 26 NEW, HIGH
9 QUALITY, WELL-SCORED CLINICAL TRIAL PROJECTS INTO
10 OUR CIRM PORTFOLIO.

11 AND THEN THE FINAL GOAL, WHICH IS TO
12 INCREASE INDUSTRY PULL AND INCREASE PARTNERSHIP AND
13 INVESTMENT INTO OUR PROGRAMS.

14 AND IN 2017 SIX INVESTMENTS HAVE BEEN MADE
15 INTO OUR PROGRAM, AND FIVE NEW PARTNERSHIPS OR
16 ACQUISITIONS HAVE TAKEN PLACE WITH THE PROGRAMS THAT
17 WE FUNDED INITIALLY. AND IF YOU SEE ON THE ARROW,
18 THE AMOUNT OF PRIVATE INDUSTRY FUNDING THAT CIRM
19 PROGRAMS HAD OBTAINED, IN 2015 \$41 MILLION OF
20 INDUSTRY PARTNERSHIP FUNDING WAS AWARDED TO OUR
21 PORTFOLIO PROGRAMS, IN 2016 125 MILLION, AND THIS
22 YEAR ALONE ALMOST \$307 MILLION IN TERMS OF
23 INVESTMENT, WHETHER IT BE SERIES A, B, OR ADDITIONAL
24 PARTNERSHIP DEALS.

25 SO CIRM DOLLARS ARE BEING LEVERAGED. AND

1 TO DATE AN ADDITIONAL \$1.7 BILLION HAVE COME IN TO
2 SUPPLEMENT WHAT CIRM HAS INVESTED INTO THE PROGRAM
3 IN THE FORM OF \$911 MILLION IN CO-FUNDING, EITHER
4 THROUGH THE INSTITUTIONS OR THE COMPANIES WHO HAVE
5 COME IN AND ARE INVOLVED IN THE PROGRAMS THAT CIRM
6 IS FUNDING, \$473 MILLION, AS PER THE PREVIOUS SLIDE,
7 IN PARTNERSHIP FUNDING, AND OVER \$390 MILLION IN
8 ADDITIONAL GRANT FUNDING OR PHILANTHROPIC FUNDS TO
9 INVESTIGATORS BECAUSE THEY HAD OBTAINED CIRM
10 FUNDING.

11 SO WITH THAT CONTEXT AND WITH THAT UPDATE,
12 I'D LIKE TO MOVE TO AGENDA ITEM NO. 5 AND PROVIDE
13 THE BOARD A CIRM BUDGET UPDATE. BEFORE I PROCEED,
14 SHOULD I TAKE QUESTIONS, CHAIRMAN THOMAS?

15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SURE, IF THERE ARE ANY.
16 THANK YOU. I WOULD JUST LIKE TO, IF THERE AREN'T
17 ANY QUESTIONS, JUST SAY I CONGRATULATE DR. MILLAN
18 AND ALL MEMBERS OF THE TEAM HERE. ANYBODY WHO HEARS
19 THAT REPORT CANNOT THINK ANYTHING BUT THAT THINGS
20 ARE REALLY MOVING ON ALL CYLINDERS AND ARE
21 DRAMATICALLY ACCELERATING THE FIELD ACROSS MANY
22 DIFFERENT INDICATIONS. SO I THINK THAT EVERYBODY
23 WHO'S AFFILIATED WITH CIRM, AND THAT INCLUDES ALL OF
24 OUR STAKEHOLDERS, MANY OF WHICH ARE HERE, SHOULD
25 FEEL VERY, VERY PROUD OF WHAT WE ALL COLLECTIVELY

1 ARE DOING AND WHAT OUR QUEST IS BECOMING HERE. SO
2 THANK YOU.

3 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THOSE
4 COMMENTS.

5 AGENDA ITEM NO. 5, I'LL START WITH A
6 BUDGET UPDATE, AND THIS WILL LEAD TO TWO ACTIONS
7 THAT WE WOULD PROPOSE FOR THE BOARD.

8 SO AS OF TODAY, OUR ESTIMATE FOR OUR
9 RESEARCH BUDGET FOR BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2018, IS
10 THE REMAINING APPROXIMATELY \$335 MILLION IN THE
11 RESEARCH BUCKET AND \$48 MILLION IN THE
12 ADMINISTRATION BUCKET.

13 SO, FIRST, I'D LIKE TO JUST GIVE AN UPDATE
14 ON THE BUDGET WITH RESPECT TO OUR STRATEGIC PLAN.
15 WHEN WE LAUNCHED OUR STRATEGIC PLAN IN JANUARY 2016,
16 WE HAD AN \$890 MILLION BUDGET. WHAT WE'VE
17 EXPERIENCED IN THE ENSUING TWO YEARS IS AN
18 INCREDIBLE AND UNPRECEDENTED SUCCESS OF THE CLINICAL
19 PROGRAM WHICH HAS LED TO A FASTER THAN EXPECTED
20 EXPENDITURE OF THIS BUDGET. WE ACTUALLY EXPECT
21 THAT, WITH WHAT WE CURRENTLY KNOW IS IN THE PIPELINE
22 AND WHAT OUR CURRENT PERFORMANCE IS, WE ESTIMATE
23 THAT THE LAST AWARDS WILL BE IN THE END OF 2019, AND
24 THIS DIFFERS FROM OUR ORIGINAL PROJECTION OF
25 MID-2020.

1 THE SECOND KIND OF FORECAST FOR THE
2 STRATEGIC PLAN IS THAT \$440 MILLION WOULD BE
3 EXPENDED ON CLINICAL PROGRAMS AND WOULD BE
4 SUFFICIENT TO FUND 50 NEW CLINICAL TRIALS. AS OF
5 TODAY, \$300 MILLION HAS ALREADY BEEN EXPENDED ON 26
6 CLINICAL TRIALS AND 9 CLINICALS, WHICH ARE IND-ENABLING
7 WORK TO GET TO THE IND AND TO CLINICAL TRIALS.

8 WHAT WE'VE SEEN IS THAT THE AVERAGE
9 CLINICAL TRIAL AWARD HAS INCREASED FROM \$10.9
10 MILLION IN THE 2015-16 PERIOD TO \$12.1 MILLION IN
11 2017.

12 THE THIRD UPDATE IS THAT WHEN WE LAUNCHED
13 THE STRATEGIC PLAN, WE HAD THOUGHT THAT THE
14 ADMINISTRATION BUDGET WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WOULD
15 RUN OUT BEFORE THE RESEARCH BUDGET, BUT WHAT WE'RE
16 SEEING NOW IS THAT THE RESEARCH BUDGET COULD BE
17 FULLY EXPENDED BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATION BUDGET
18 WHERE THE ADMINISTRATION BUDGET CAN CARRY US BEYOND
19 2020; WHEREAS, THE RESEARCH ALLOCATIONS PROBABLY
20 WOULD END BY THE END OF 2019.

21 SO JUST AS AN UPDATE FOR THIS, I GAVE THIS
22 UPDATE IN THE SCHEMATIC, BUT JUST IN TERMS OF WHAT
23 WAS BUDGETED FOR 2017, THIS BOARD APPROVED \$329
24 MILLION TO FUND RESEARCH PROGRAMS, AND THIS INCLUDED
25 THE PROPOSED \$75 MILLION FOR ATP3. AS YOU KNOW, THE

1 ATP3 PROGRAM DID NOT GO TO REVIEW. SO GIVEN THAT,
2 THE REST OF THOSE FUNDS WERE USED TO FUND THE
3 CLINICAL PROGRAMS. AND THE ESTIMATED TOTAL 2017
4 RESEARCH AWARDS IS \$300 MILLION. AGAIN, THAT MAY
5 VARY DEPENDING ON TODAY'S BOARD ACTION ON THE
6 PROPOSED DISCOVERY PROGRAM.

7 SO BY YEAR-END IN TERMS OF IF YOU LOOK AT
8 IT COMMITTED AND UNCOMMITTED, WE WILL HAVE \$269
9 MILLION REMAINING FROM PROP 71 FUNDS TO FUND
10 RESEARCH, BUT WE HAVE HAD FUTURE RECOVERY OF FUNDS,
11 UNEXPENDED FUNDS THAT GET RETURNED TO CIRM. AND
12 BASED ON A CONSERVATIVE ESTIMATE, WE BELIEVE THAT IN
13 TOTAL WE'LL HAVE \$335 MILLION IN THE RESEARCH BUDGET
14 OVER THE ENSUING THREE YEARS.

15 SO OUR TEAM HAS ENGAGED IN A VERY DEEP
16 EXERCISE IN LOOKING AT VARIOUS BUDGET SCENARIOS AND
17 BUDGET PLANNING EXERCISES THAT LED TO OUR
18 PRESENTATION OF THESE SCENARIOS AND CONSIDERATIONS
19 TO THE JOINT SCIENCE AND TRANSITION SUBCOMMITTEE IN
20 NOVEMBER. AND THE BUDGET THAT WILL BE PRESENTED TO
21 YOU TODAY WAS INFORMED BY THAT MEETING AS WELL AS
22 WITH THE OUTCOME OF THAT EXERCISE THAT WE'VE BEEN
23 ENGAGED IN SINCE JUNE OF THIS YEAR.

24 THE OPERATING PRINCIPLES BEHIND OUR BUDGET
25 PLANNING IS THAT WE REMAIN COMMITTED TO EXECUTING ON

1 THE FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN. WE THINK IT'S A
2 STRONG PLAN. WE THINK IT'S GIVING GREAT RESULTS.
3 WE THINK IT'S PUSHING THE MISSION. AND I THINK
4 THERE'S GENERAL AGREEMENT TO THAT. THAT THERE IS A
5 CRITICAL PERSONNEL LEVEL THAT'S REQUIRED TO EXECUTE
6 ON THE STRATEGIC PLAN WHILE MAINTAINING THAT VERY
7 EFFICIENT ACCELERATING ENGINE THAT YOU SAW EARLIER.
8 AND THAT IT'S ESSENTIAL TO PRESERVE CIRM'S VALUE
9 PROPOSITION. THE VALUE PROPOSITION IS THE ABILITY
10 TO FUND ITS FULL COMPLEMENT OF PROGRAMS THAT FILLS
11 THE PIPELINE ALL THE WAY FROM THE EARLY RESEARCH ALL
12 THE WAY TO CLINICAL TRIALS.

13 AND THE OTHER PORTION OF THE VALUE
14 PROPOSITION IS THE CIRM PIECE, THE HUMAN PIECE, WHAT
15 THE CIRM ORGANIZATION BRINGS TO AUGMENT, NOT JUST
16 OUR INVESTMENT AND THE AWARDS, BUT BRINGING IT
17 ALTOGETHER, INFRASTRUCTURE, COORDINATION.

18 SO WITH \$335 MILLION LEFT IN RESEARCH FOR
19 THE LAST AWARDS TO BE AWARDED IN Q4 OF 2019, WHAT WE
20 HAVE FOUND IS THAT WITH THE CURRENT CLIN AWARD, WITH
21 A BUDGET CAP OF UP TO \$20 MILLION FOR CLIN AWARDS,
22 WE WOULD ONLY HAVE ENOUGH LEFT IN THE RESEARCH
23 BUDGET TO FUND THE REMAINING TRIALS TO GET US TO THE
24 GOAL OF 50 NEW CLINICAL TRIALS. THERE WOULD NOT BE
25 ENOUGH FUNDING TO FUND THE DISCOVERY AND TRANSLATION

1 PROGRAMS, FOR INSTANCE.

2 WHAT WE'RE BRINGING FORWARD TO THE BOARD,
3 AND I'LL GIVE YOU MORE OF A BACKGROUND AND MORE
4 DETAIL WHAT THE ACTUAL PROPOSAL IS, WE'RE PROPOSING
5 TO YOU TODAY THAT WE REDUCE THE CLIN AWARD CAP AS
6 PER THE PROPOSAL I'M ABOUT TO GET INTO. THAT WOULD
7 GENERATE APPROXIMATELY \$68 MILLION IN SAVINGS, AND
8 THAT WOULD BE ENOUGH TO FUND ADDITIONAL DISC AND
9 TRAN PROGRAMS.

10 THIS SLIDE JUST SPEAKS TO WHAT THE CURRENT
11 CLIN AWARD CAP IS, WHICH IS ACROSS THE BOARD FROM
12 IND-ENABLING STUDIES, PHASE 1, PHASE 2, PHASE 3
13 CLINICAL TRIALS, UP TO A \$20 MILLION BUDGET FOR ANY
14 OF THESE PROGRAMS. THAT'S A HOLDOVER FROM THE
15 DISEASE TEAM MODEL, THE EARLIER FUNDING MECHANISM OF
16 CIRM. THE THING IS THE DISEASE TEAM AWARDS FUNDED
17 THE WHOLE HOST OF ACTIVITIES THAT ARE CURRENTLY
18 COVERED UNDER DISTINCT AND SEPARATE RESEARCH
19 PROGRAMS NOW: THE TRAN THAT GETS TO THE PRE-IND,
20 THE CLIN1 THAT GETS TO THE IND, AND THE CLIN2 THAT
21 EXECUTES ON THE TRIAL. SO THOSE ARE DISTINCT
22 ACTIVITIES WITH DISTINCT BUDGETS. WE'RE, THEREFORE,
23 PROPOSING THAT THE AWARD CAP SHOULD BE ADJUSTED
24 ACCORDINGLY IN THE FOLLOWING WAY. AND JUST AS A
25 COMPARISON, IN THE MIDDLE ROW IS THE AVERAGE AWARD

1 AMOUNT FOR EACH OF THESE CATEGORIES. AND I CAN READ
2 THEM ACROSS BRIEFLY. FOR CLIN1S, AVERAGE AWARD SIZE
3 FOR 2017 IS 4.9 MILLION, PHASE 1 OR PHASE 2. PHASE
4 1, 1/2 IS \$10 MILLION. PHASE 2 15 AND PHASE 3 16.7.

5 WE'RE PROPOSING THE REVISED AWARD CAPS AS
6 SHOWN IN THE LOWEST COLUMN HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW
7 WITH A \$6 MILLION AWARD CAP FOR CLIN1 FOR
8 NON-PROFITS AND 4 MILLION FOR FOR-PROFITS. THE
9 DIFFERENCE IS BECAUSE FOR-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS ARE
10 REQUIRED TO COME IN WITH 20 PERCENT CO-FUNDING. AND
11 SO THAT WILL JUST BRING THEM TO THE SAME TOTAL AWARD
12 AMOUNT OR TOTAL BUDGET.

13 FOR PHASE 1 AND PHASE 1/2, WE'RE PROPOSING
14 \$12 MILLION FOR A NONPROFIT AND 8 MILLION FOR
15 FOR-PROFIT. AGAIN, THE DIFFERENCE IS THAT
16 FOR-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS FOR PHASE 1 ARE REQUIRED TO
17 BRING IN 30 PERCENT CO-FUNDING; WHEREAS, NON-PROFITS
18 HAVE NO CO-FUNDING FOR PHASE 1 OR PHASE 1/2S.

19 FOR PHASE 2 AND PHASE 3 AWARDS, FOR-PROFIT
20 AND NONPROFIT HAVE THE SAME REQUIREMENT FOR
21 CO-FUNDING, 40 PERCENT AND 50 PERCENT RESPECTIVELY.
22 AND WE'RE PROPOSING A \$15 MILLION AWARD CAP FOR
23 PHASE 2 TRIALS AND \$10 MILLION FOR PHASE 3.

24 ONE OBVIOUS THING IS THAT PHASE 3 TRIALS
25 ARE MORE EXPENSIVE. AND WHY IS OUR AWARD CAP,

1 THEREFORE, LOWER FOR PHASE 3 TRIALS? THE RATIONALE
2 BEHIND THIS IS THAT BY PHASE 3 THESE INVESTIGATORS
3 WILL HAVE ALREADY CONDUCTED WORK THAT BROUGHT IN
4 CLINICAL DATA. THEY SHOULD ALREADY BE IN A POSITION
5 TO GAIN EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS AND INVESTMENT INTO
6 THIS PROGRAM. CIRM IS NOT MEANT TO TAKE ALL OF
7 THESE ALL THE WAY TO COMMERCIALIZATION. AND,
8 THEREFORE, THE STRONG PROGRAMS THAT MERIT GOING TO
9 PHASE 3, WE BELIEVE, SHOULD BE ABLE TO BRING IN
10 THEIR OWN INVESTMENTS.

11 NEXT SLIDE IS JUST WE WERE ASKED -- OKAY.
12 THAT WAS THE AVERAGE AWARD SIZE. WHAT WAS THE
13 MEDIAN, AND WHAT'S THE RANGE OF AWARDS? SO THIS
14 SLIDE JUST REPRESENTS WHAT THE MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM
15 ARE. AND THE BOTTOM PART OF THE RECTANGLE IS THE
16 MINIMUM, AND THE MAXIMUM IS THE UPPER PART OF THE
17 RECTANGLE OF THE BAR GRAPH FOR EACH OF THESE TYPES
18 OF AWARDS. THE STAR IS THE AVERAGE AWARD SIZE FOR A
19 GIVEN STAGE OF PROGRAM, AND THE MEDIAN IS
20 REPRESENTED IN THE HORIZONTAL LINE.

21 SO FOR THE MOST PART, THE AVERAGE THAT I
22 PRESENTED IN THE PREVIOUS CHART WAS EITHER CLOSE TO
23 OR EVEN ABOVE WHAT THE MEDIAN WAS. THERE'S SOME
24 QUESTIONS I THINK.

25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO DR. STEWARD.

1 DR. STEWARD: COULD YOU, AS YOU'RE
2 TALKING, MAYBE REFER US TO THIS SPREADSHEET THAT
3 RELATES TO THESE BAR GRAPHS? DOES THAT MAKE SENSE?
4 THERE'S A LOT TO DIGEST HERE, AND IT WOULD JUST BE
5 MAYBE USEFUL IF WE COULD --

6 DR. MILLAN: SO AS IT RELATES TO THE
7 SPREADSHEET, WHAT WAS DONE IS, ON THE LEFT SIDE OF
8 THE SPREADSHEET, YOU WILL SEE THE IND ENABLING,
9 THAT'S THE SAME AS CLIN1. PHASE 1 OR 2 -- AND THEN
10 REMAINING AWARDS ARE ALL CLIN2 AWARDS, BUT IT'S
11 PHASE 1, 2, AND 3 ARE EACH OF THE PHASES OF THE
12 TRIALS. AND SO THE GRAPH I'M SHOWING IS JUST A
13 REPRESENTATION OF THE SMALLEST AWARD AMOUNT FOR THAT
14 GIVEN CATEGORY AND THE LARGEST. SO IT'S THE RANGE
15 OF AWARDS FOR A GIVEN CATEGORY. AND THEN THE
16 AVERAGES FOR THOSE AWARD CATEGORIES IS A STAR, AND
17 THE MEDIAN FOR ALL OF THOSE AWARDS IS IN THE
18 HORIZONTAL LINE.

19 DR. DULIEGE: JUST ALSO CLARIFICATION
20 BASED ON THE SPREADSHEET. YOU MENTIONED THE ICOC
21 APPROVED AMOUNT FOR PHASE 3 TRIALS, AND THE PROPOSED
22 CAP IS OBVIOUSLY LOWER THAN THAT. DOES IT MEAN THAT
23 THIS MEASURE THAT YOU ARE RECOMMENDING, CAPPING AT
24 \$10 MILLION FOR PHASE 3, IS PROSPECTIVE, OR IS IT
25 ALSO RETROSPECTIVE, WHICH I DOUBT IT WOULD BE, BUT

1 TO CLARIFY?

2 DR. MILLAN: SO YOUR FIRST QUESTION, I'M
3 GOING TO HAVE GABE THOMPSON, WHO'S OUR DIRECTOR OF
4 GRANTS MANAGEMENT, COME RESPOND TO THAT. ACTUALLY
5 YOU CAN RESPOND TO BOTH.

6 MR. THOMPSON: GABE THOMPSON. I'M
7 DIRECTOR OF PORTFOLIO OPERATIONS. AND SO WHAT WE'VE
8 HIGHLIGHTED IN THAT TABLE IS AWARDS THAT WE'VE
9 ALREADY MADE THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN IMPACTED BY THESE
10 NEW PROPOSED CAPS, BUT OUR PROPOSAL IS ONLY GOING
11 FORWARD PROSPECTIVELY. BUT WE'VE HIGHLIGHTED THOSE
12 AWARDS THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN EXCEEDING THAT.

13 DR. DULIEGE: JUST AS ANOTHER
14 CLARIFICATION, I WOULD BE SURPRISED IF THERE ARE A
15 LOT OF NOT-FOR-PROFIT REQUESTS FOR PHASE 3 FUNDING
16 THAT COMES FROM NOT-FOR-PROFIT. AND, INDEED, THOSE
17 THAT YOU HAVE HERE ARE, IF I'M CORRECT, ALL
18 FOR-PROFIT, AS EXPECTED. DO YOU EXPECT ANY
19 NOT-FOR-PROFITS DOING PHASE 3 TRIALS AND TRYING TO
20 BE READY FOR COMMERCIALIZATION? I WOULD EXPECT VERY
21 FEW, IF ANY, IN FACT, NONE.

22 DR. MILLAN: I THINK THAT'S OUR VIEW AS
23 WELL.

24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. PRIETO.

25 DR. PRIETO: YES. QUESTION WITH REGARDS

1 TO THE DIFFERENT CEILINGS OR AMOUNTS FOR PHASE 2
2 VERSUS PHASE 3. WOULDN'T THE PHASE 2 APPLICATIONS
3 ALREADY HAVE AT LEAST SOME CLINICAL SAFETY DATA THAT
4 WOULD ALSO PUT THEM IN A POSITION TO ATTRACT OUTSIDE
5 FUNDING?

6 DR. MILLAN: YES. THEY WOULD HAVE SAFETY
7 DATA BY PHASE 2. AND IT'S STILL -- ONE OF THE
8 THINGS IS, EVEN WITH SAFETY DATA, IN TERMS OF
9 CORPORATE OR PRIVATE INVESTORS, THEY REALLY STILL
10 ARE LOOKING FOR EFFICACY DATA. THERE'S JUST THE
11 FEEDBACK WE'VE GOTTEN FROM OUR PORTFOLIO PROGRAMS
12 THAT HAVE BEEN GOING OUT FOR RAISES. WE CAN HAVE
13 OUR DIRECTOR OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT COMMENT ON
14 THAT, IF YOU WISH. BUT IN SOME CASES WE HAVE,
15 THANKFULLY, BEEN ABLE TO ACHIEVE INVESTMENTS VERY
16 EARLY, EVEN BEFORE CLINICAL DATA. SO THAT'S MORE OF
17 THE EXCEPTION RATHER THAN THE RULE. BUT, IN
18 GENERAL, SOME EFFICACY DATA AS WELL AS REALLY
19 GETTING A GOOD READ. AS YOU KNOW, MANY TRIALS FAIL
20 IN PHASE 2. SO THE APPETITE BY INDUSTRY INVESTORS,
21 THERE IS MORE PULL, BUT IT'S NOT A VERY STRONG
22 MAGNET YET.

23 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MR. JUELSGAARD.

24 DR. JUELSGAARD: YES. DR. MILLAN, IN
25 READING THE HANDOUT, AND I WANT TO GO TO PHASE 3 IN

1 PARTICULAR, THE PROPOSED LIMITS THAT ARE UP THERE
2 ARE \$10 MILLION IN PHASE 3; AND YET IF I READ DOWN
3 TO THE FIFTH LINE, BRAINSTORM, AND TO THE RIGHT HAND
4 UNDER PROPOSED CAPS, IT SAYS 15 MILLION INSTEAD OF
5 10 MILLION. WHAT'S THE EXPLANATION FOR THE 15 IN
6 PHASE 3 GIVEN THE NUMBER UP THERE?

7 DR. MILLAN: THE NUMBER THAT WE HAVE HERE
8 IS THE PROPOSED CAPS GOING FORWARD. THE AWARDS THAT
9 YOU WILL SEE IN THIS SUMMARY ARE THE CURRENT AWARDS
10 WITH OUR CAP OF UP TO \$20 MILLION FOR ALL PHASES.

11 DR. JUELSGAARD: I UNDERSTAND. DOES THE
12 PROPOSED CAP, IT'S THE VERY FAR RIGHT COLUMN, OUT OF
13 ALL THE NUMBERS, ALL THE 10S, THERE'S A 15, AND IT
14 JUST STRIKES ME AS --

15 MR. THOMPSON: YOU ARE CORRECT. UNDER THE
16 PROPOSED CAP, THE BRAINSTORM WOULD BE CAPPED AT 10
17 MILLION, NOT 15. THAT'S AN ERROR.

18 DR. JUELSGAARD: GOT IT. THANKS.

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. LUBIN.

20 DR. LUBIN: FIRST OF ALL, THAT WAS A
21 SUPERB PRESENTATION. WHAT DO YOU SEE AS THE
22 DOWNSIDE OF DOING THIS? I MEAN YOU PRESENTED MOSTLY
23 THE UPSIDE, WHICH WE ALL UNDERSTAND. WHAT DO YOU
24 SEE AS THE POTENTIAL DOWNSIDE?

25 DR. MILLAN: THE POTENTIAL DOWNSIDE IS

1 THAT WE MAY NOT BE BRINGING IN AS MANY PHASE 3
2 TRIALS BECAUSE, ESPECIALLY FROM THOSE THAT WOULD
3 NEED TO COME IN FROM OUTSIDE CALIFORNIA, FOR
4 INSTANCE, BECAUSE AT A CERTAIN POINT THEY HAVE TO
5 MAKE THAT THEIR OWN CALCULATION AND BASED ON THEIR
6 CORPORATE STRATEGY OF WHEN IT'S WORTH IT. SO IF
7 IT'S A LOWER AMOUNT IN TERMS OF POTENTIAL NONDILUTED
8 FUNDING, THAT'S A POTENTIAL RISK. HOWEVER, I'D LIKE
9 TO EMPHASIZE THIS IS NONDILUTED FUNDING. SO WE HAVE
10 FOUND THAT EVEN COMPANIES THAT HAVE A PRETTY SOLID
11 FUNDING SOURCE FEEL THAT THIS IS STILL ENABLING AND
12 ATTRACTIVE TO THEM AS A SOURCE OF NONDILUTED
13 FUNDING.

14 DR. LUBIN: THANK YOU.

15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. STEWARD, DID YOU
16 HAVE YOUR HAND UP AGAIN?

17 DR. STEWARD: I THINK YOU COVERED IT.
18 THANK YOU.

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. DULIEGE.

20 DR. DULIEGE: DO YOU WANT TO DISCUSS THIS
21 NOW OR IS THAT ANOTHER TOPIC?

22 DR. MILLAN: SO THERE IS -- I'M GOING TO
23 HAVE A COUPLE OF MORE SLIDES THAT ARE RELATED TO
24 THIS TOPIC.

25 THE NEXT SLIDE IS ACTUALLY IF YOU WERE TO

1 APPROVE THIS CLIN AWARD CAP, WHAT IT COULD LOOK LIKE
2 IN TERMS OF WHAT WE COULD FUND. IF YOU DON'T
3 APPROVE THE AWARD CAP REDUCTION, WE WOULD NOT BE
4 ABLE TO ACTUALLY PLAN ON EVEN BRINGING TO YOU A
5 PROPOSED BUDGET FOR DISC, TRAN, AND THE EDUCATION
6 AWARDS THAT IS SHOWN HERE ON THIS SLIDE, THE
7 PROPOSED SLATE OF PROGRAMS THAT WE WISH TO OFFER IN
8 2018.

9 SO I'LL JUST GO THROUGH THIS BRIEFLY. THE
10 PROPOSED RESEARCH BUDGET ALLOCATION AND THE
11 LONG-RANGE ARE TAKING INTO ACCOUNT 2018 AND 19 WITH
12 THE REMAINING RESEARCH BUDGET.

13 FOR 2018 WE'RE ASKING THE BOARD TO APPROVE
14 A TOTAL OF \$130 MILLION TO FUND THE CLINICAL
15 PROGRAMS. WE BELIEVE THAT, WITH THE REDUCED AWARD
16 CAP, WOULD ALLOW US TO BRING IN 12 ADDITIONAL TRIALS
17 IN 2018 AND FOUR CLIN1S, WHICH ARE THE IND-STAGE
18 PROGRAMS. WE WOULD ALSO ASK THE BOARD FOR \$30
19 MILLION TO FUND AT LEAST SIX TRAN PROGRAMS, 10
20 MILLION FOR SEVEN TO EIGHT DISC PROGRAMS, AND
21 750,000 FOR EDUCATION CONFERENCE AWARDS FOR ALPHA
22 CLINICS, SPARK, AND BRIDGES PROGRAMS, AND ALL OF OUR
23 PROGRAMS SO THAT THE KNOWLEDGE SHARING AND THE
24 OUTPUT OF THOSE PROGRAMS COULD BE OPTIMIZED.

25 SO WITH THE NEXT SLIDE, IT'S KIND OF AN

1 OVERVIEW SLIDE. THIS BUDGET SCENARIO AND OUR
2 PROPOSAL TO THIS BOARD IS CONSISTENT WITH THE
3 FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC GOALS IS PRESERVE THE FULL
4 COMPLEMENT OF RESEARCH PROGRAMS, DISCOVERY,
5 TRANSLATION, AND CLINICAL. IT PRESERVES CIRM'S
6 ACCELERATION BY DESIGN OPERATION, AND THAT WOULD
7 KEEP THE FUEL GOING THROUGH THAT ENGINE THAT YOU SAW
8 IN TERMS OF ACTIVITIES, AWARDS, MANAGEMENT, AND
9 DOING THAT EFFICIENTLY.

10 AND AS A SEPARATE TOPIC, WHICH WE WILL NOT
11 BRING FORMALLY FOR ANY ACTION TODAY, THE
12 ADMINISTRATION BUDGET SCENARIOS WHICH WE PRESENTED
13 TO THE JOINT TRANSITION AND SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEES IN
14 NOVEMBER AND WILL BE PRESENTING FORMALLY TO THE
15 BOARD IN MARCH FOR THE '18-'19 BUDGET. THE
16 ADMINISTRATION BUDGET WOULD BE ABLE TO SUPPORT THE
17 PERSONNEL REQUIRED FOR THE RESEARCH BUDGET PLAN.

18 SO THAT BRINGS ME, THEN, DR. DULIEGE, TO
19 THE REQUESTED ACTION. THE CIRM TEAM REQUESTS THAT
20 THE ICOC APPROVE THE PROPOSED REDUCTION IN MAXIMUM
21 FUNDING LEVEL FOR CLIN AWARDS ACCORDING TO THE BELOW
22 SCHEME. AND THOSE ARE THE NUMBERS THAT YOU SAW IN
23 THE PREVIOUS CHART.

24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, DR. MILLAN.
25 BEFORE WE ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO THAT EFFECT, ARE

1 THERE ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE
2 BOARD ON THE PHONE ABOUT THE PRESENTATION? HEARING
3 NONE, DR. DULIEGE HAS ANOTHER QUESTION.

4 DR. DULIEGE: JUST, MARIA, WOULD THERE BE
5 A RATIONALE TO HAVE A DIFFERENT CAP BETWEEN
6 NONPROFIT AND FOR-PROFIT FOR PHASE 2 WITH A SLIGHTLY
7 HIGHER CAP FOR NONPROFIT, VERY MUCH AS WE HAD FOR
8 CLIN AND CLIN1? JUST THINKING THAT BY THEN, THE
9 FOR-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS ALREADY HAVE TO HAVE A
10 VISION ABOUT HOW THEY'RE GOING TO DO IT ALL THE WAY
11 TO COMMERCIALIZATION, WHICH NONPROFITS MAY NOT
12 ALREADY HAVE.

13 DR. MILLAN: THAT IS A CONSIDERATION.
14 CERTAINLY THAT IS ANOTHER AREA WHERE POTENTIALLY WE
15 CAN RECOVER MORE FOR THE RESEARCH BUDGET. IT'S NOT
16 ONE WE'VE BROUGHT UP BECAUSE THE RISK, BY PHASE 2
17 WE'RE ASKING BOTH THE NONPROFITS AND FOR-PROFITS TO
18 RISK SHARE WITH US WITH EACH BRINGING IN 40 PERCENT
19 CO-FUNDING. ESSENTIALLY BY LOWERING THE AMOUNT,
20 WHAT WE'RE ASKING THE FOR-PROFITS TO DO IS TO EVEN
21 BRING IN MORE. AND THAT'S A CONSIDERATION. I GUESS
22 THAT COULD BE LOOKED AT. WE HAVEN'T CONSIDERED THAT
23 AT THIS TIME BECAUSE WE BELIEVE THAT THIS IS
24 SOMETHING THAT'S STILL -- THIS PHASE IS STILL A
25 PHASE AT CIRM IS ESSENTIAL TO EVEN A PHASE 2.

1 DR. STEWARD: I ACTUALLY HAVE TWO
2 QUESTIONS. I'M NOT CALLING FOR PUBLIC COMMENT
3 BECAUSE IT'S NOT THE APPROPRIATE TIME. I'M JUST
4 CURIOUS. IS THERE GOING TO BE PUBLIC COMMENT ABOUT
5 THIS? CAN SOMEBODY BACK THERE RAISE YOUR HAND? ANY
6 ON THE PHONE? I'M JUST CURIOUS. THANK YOU.

7 AND THE SECOND -- THERE IS ONE. AS I SAY,
8 I'M NOT ASKING THAT WE DO IT NOW, BUT I JUST WANTED
9 TO KNOW HOW MUCH THERE WAS GOING TO BE BECAUSE I
10 THINK IT'S GOING TO BE USEFUL PERHAPS TO LEAVE SOME
11 TIME FOR THE BOARD DISCUSSION IN RESPONSE TO ANY
12 PUBLIC COMMENT THAT WE MIGHT HAVE.

13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I THINK THE PROCEDURE
14 HERE, DR. STEWARD, WOULD BE WE WOULD ENTERTAIN A
15 MOTION TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDATION, AT WHICH POINT
16 IN THE PROCESS OF DEBATING THAT, THERE WOULD BE
17 PUBLIC COMMENT ON THAT.

18 DR. STEWARD: THANK YOU.

19 AND THE SECOND QUESTION, AND THIS IS ONE
20 THAT I THINK WE TALKED ABOUT IN THE SUBCOMMITTEE
21 MEETING, I JUST HAVE TO SAY YOU'VE DONE A GREAT JOB
22 OF PRESENTING THIS. I KNOW THAT YOU AND THE REST OF
23 THE TEAM HAVE DONE JUST A SUPERB AMOUNT OF WORK.
24 THERE'S A LOT OF CHANGE HERE. I'M JUST CURIOUS. AT
25 WHAT POINT WILL YOU SORT OF LOOK AT AND SAY, OOPS,

1 THIS ISN'T WORKING OR THIS IS WORKING? JUST A
2 QUESTION ABOUT THAT.

3 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU. IF THIS CHANGE
4 LED TO A SIGNIFICANT DROP IN OUR ABILITY TO BRING IN
5 HIGH QUALITY PROGRAMS TO OUR PORTFOLIO, WE WOULD
6 COME BACK TO THE BOARD AND REPORT ON THAT AND BRING
7 AN ALTERNATE PROPOSAL BACK TO YOU.

8 DR. STEWARD: DO YOU HAVE A TIME FRAME FOR
9 WHEN YOU MIGHT TAKE A LOOK AT THAT?

10 DR. MILLAN: WE LOOK AT IT CONTINUALLY.
11 AS WE HAVE QUARTERLY MEETINGS, WE HAVE QUARTERLY
12 IN-PERSON MEETINGS, I THINK THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY
13 TO BRING IT UP AT ANY TIME. EVEN IF WE NEEDED TO
14 CALL A SPECIAL MEETING, I THINK THAT THAT'S
15 SOMETHING THAT WE COULD DO; BUT AT LEAST EVERY
16 QUARTER, WE HAVE AN IN-PERSON MEETING, AND PRIOR TO
17 THAT THERE WOULD BE SUBCOMMITTEE MEETINGS.

18 DR. STEWARD: SO I HAVE THE MICROPHONE.
19 MAY I MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE PROPOSAL AS IT'S
20 THERE?

21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, DR. STEWARD.
22 IT'S BEEN MOVED. IS THERE A SECOND?

23 DR. LUBIN: SECOND.

24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECONDED BY DR. LUBIN.
25 DISCUSSION BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD EITHER HERE OR ON

1 THE PHONE? DR. DULIEGE.

2 DR. DULIEGE: JUST WANTED, PER MY PREVIOUS
3 COMMENTS, I WOULD BE HAPPY TO APPROVE THIS MOTION AS
4 SUCH, BUT I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S A POSSIBILITY TO
5 GIVE LEEWAY FOR CIRM TO CAP, FURTHER DISCUSSION ON
6 THAT TO CAP THE PHASE 2 FOR-PROFIT A LITTLE BIT
7 LOWER FOR THE REASONS I MENTIONED. I DON'T THINK WE
8 SHOULD DISCUSS IT NOW, BUT IF CIRM HAS THE LEEWAY TO
9 APPLY THAT, THAT WOULD BE GREAT.

10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I THINK WE CAN
11 ACCOMMODATE THAT. I THINK ALSO, DR. DULIEGE, THAT'S
12 PART OF THE ANALYSIS THAT DR. MILLAN AND THE TEAM
13 WILL DO ON AN ONGOING BASIS HERE TO SEE HOW THIS
14 PLAYS OUT.

15 AND, DR. STEWARD, IN REFERENCE TO YOUR
16 QUESTION ABOUT SORT OF WHEN DO WE KNOW THINGS AREN'T
17 WORKING, I THINK THAT THIS WHOLE IDEA CAME ABOUT AS
18 A RESULT OF JUST THAT ANALYSIS. AND I WOULDN'T SAY
19 THAT THINGS WEREN'T WORKING. I WOULD SAY THINGS
20 WERE WORKING SO WELL THAT WE HAD TO MAKE AN
21 ADJUSTMENT TO RECOMMEND THE CAPS TO ALLOW US TO
22 CONTINUE WITH THE PROGRAMS. BUT I THINK THAT DR.
23 MILLAN AND THE TEAM WILL UNDERGO ONGOING AND
24 CONTINUED ANALYSIS AND REPORT BACK TO US ON THAT.

25 DR. HIGGINS.

1 DR. HIGGINS: WOULD YOUR SUPPORT FOR THIS
2 PROPOSAL DIFFER IF THE OTHER STRATEGY WE HAVE BEEN
3 TALKING ABOUT TODAY OF HOW TO SORT OF BRIDGE FUNDING
4 TO THE FUTURE GOES ONE WAY OR THE OTHER? IT'S A
5 QUESTION FOR YOU, MARIA.

6 DR. MILLAN: SO THE BUDGET SCENARIOS I
7 PRESENTED TODAY ARE INDEPENDENT OF ANY OTHER
8 EXTERNAL SOURCES OF FUNDING WITH WHAT IS ALREADY
9 ALLOCATED UNDER PROP 71.

10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OTHER QUESTIONS,
11 COMMENTS, FOR MEMBERS OF THE BOARD ON THE MOTION?
12 HEARING NONE, DO WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT? PLEASE
13 GIVE YOUR NAME.

14 DR. CHIU: ARLENE CHIU, CITY OF HOPE. I
15 HAVE A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS. THE FIRST IS HOW MUCH
16 DOES AN NIH-FUNDED CLINICAL TRIAL ON AVERAGE COST?
17 AND I KNOW THIS IS A VERY BROAD QUESTION BECAUSE
18 THERE ARE SO MANY INSTITUTES FUNDING DIFFERENT
19 KINDS. SO I'M HOPING -- THAT'S MY FIRST QUESTION.

20 MR. THOMPSON: SO I DON'T HAVE THAT EXACT
21 ANSWER. I'VE ATTEMPTED TO LOOK UP WHAT NIH FUNDS.
22 I'M PRETTY SURE THEY FUND LESS THAN WHAT WE HAVE
23 HISTORICALLY FUNDED. IT MAY BE CLOSER TO WHAT IS
24 BEING PROPOSED HERE, BUT NIH WILL OFTEN FUND TRIALS
25 IN THE CONTEXT OF LARGER INFRASTRUCTURE AWARDS. AND

1 SO IT'S HARD FOR ME TO TEASE OUT. WE FUND DIRECTLY
2 THE CLINICAL TRIAL; WHEREAS, THEY'RE FUNDING A
3 LARGER KIND OF INFRASTRUCTURE AROUND CLINICAL
4 TRIALS.

5 DR. CHIU: THANK YOU. IN MY EXPERIENCE,
6 YOUR CURRENT CAPS ARE EXCEEDINGLY GENEROUS. AND
7 JUST BASED ON AN UNDERSTANDING OF HOW GRANTEES WRITE
8 PROPOSALS, THEY WILL ASK UP TO THE LIMIT. MANY OF
9 THEM WOULD. AND SO I'M ASSUMING THAT THESE VERY
10 WISE PROPOSALS WILL NOT NECESSARILY LIMIT -- CREATE
11 THE DIRE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT SOME MIGHT HAVE BEEN
12 ANTICIPATING. I'M NOT SAYING NOME, BUT I'M JUST
13 SAYING MIGHT NOT.

14 MY SECOND QUESTION IS REGARDING THE
15 CONSEQUENCES OF THIS, OF NOT DOING THIS ACTION. AND
16 IS IT CORRECT THAT IF YOU MAINTAIN YOUR CURRENT CAPS
17 OF 20 MILLION, THAT YOU WILL NOT BE ABLE TO HAVE ANY
18 BUDGET MONIES LEFT FOR ANY TRAN OR DISCOVERY
19 PROPOSALS IN 2018 AND 2019? I'D LIKE A
20 CLARIFICATION ON THAT.

21 DR. MILLAN: BASED ON THE CURRENT AWARD
22 SIZES AND THE CURRENT PERFORMANCE, THAT'S CORRECT,
23 AND WE CERTAINLY COULDN'T COUNT ON IT. WE COULDN'T
24 PREDICT. IN TERMS OF FORECASTING, WE NEED TO USE
25 WHAT WE HAVE IN OUR EXPERIENCE AND OUR DATA. YES,

1 THAT'S CORRECT.

2 DR. CHIU: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

3 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ADDITIONAL PUBLIC
4 COMMENT? THANK YOU.

5 DR. NICHOLAS: I'M CORY NICHOLAS,
6 CO-FOUNDER AND CFO OF NEURONA THERAPEUTICS IN SOUTH
7 SAN FRANCISCO. I APOLOGIZE. I'M LOSING MY VOICE ON
8 A DAY WHEN I REALLY WANT TO USE IT.

9 I WANT TO APPLAUD CIRM'S PROGRESS, AND I
10 ALSO WANT TO SAY THAT I APPRECIATE THE CHALLENGE
11 THAT CIRM AND ICOC HAVE IN STRATEGICALLY
12 DISTRIBUTING THESE DOLLARS TO ACCOMPLISH YOUR
13 MISSION AND ACCELERATE EFFECTIVE THERAPIES FOR
14 PATIENTS IN NEED. I WANT TO JUST SAY THAT I SUPPORT
15 THE CAP; BUT, IN FACT, I RECOMMEND A MORE AGGRESSIVE
16 CAP ON THESE CLINICAL PROGRAMS BECAUSE IT'S REALLY A
17 DOUBLE-EDGED SWORD. AND IT'S THESE EARLIER STAGE
18 DISCOVERY AND TRAN PROGRAMS THAT WILL SUFFER AS A
19 RESULT OF INCREASED CLINICAL SPENDING.

20 AND I WANT TO SAY THAT YOU HAVE A NUMBER
21 OF REALLY PROMISING AND STRONG EARLIER DISCOVERY AND
22 TRAN PROGRAMS THAT ARE IN STRIKING DISTANCE OF THE
23 CLINIC, IN FACT. MANY OF THESE PROGRAMS HAVE BEEN
24 FUNDED BY CIRM SINCE THEIR INCEPTION, AND THEY JUST
25 NEED A LITTLE MORE SUPPORT TO GET OVER THE HUMP TO

1 GET INTO LATER PRECLINICAL AND CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT.

2 SO THIS IS WHY IT'S SO TROUBLING TO SEE
3 THE DISCOVERY AND TRAN BUDGETARY CUTS PROPOSED IN
4 2018 AND 2019. IN FACT, THE DISCOVERY BUDGET, EVEN
5 WITH THIS CAP, IS GOING TO BE REDUCED FIVEFOLD, AND
6 THE TRANSLATIONAL BUDGET IS GOING TO BE CUT IN HALF.

7 IN CONTRAST, EVEN WITH THIS CAP, THE
8 CLINICAL BUDGET IS STILL GOING TO BE ONE AND A HALF
9 TIMES HIGHER THAN IT WAS IN 2016. SO I REALLY
10 STRONGLY URGE YOU TO RECONSIDER YOUR PROPOSED
11 BUDGETARY ALLOCATIONS AND CONSIDER A REVISED, MORE
12 STRINGENT CLINICAL CAP AND MAINTAIN A HEALTHY
13 BALANCE IN YOUR PORTFOLIO. CONTINUE TO BUILD UPON
14 YOUR INVESTMENT IN YOUR EARLIER STAGE PROGRAMS THAT
15 YOU'VE BEEN FOSTERING FOR SO LONG.

16 IT'S REALLY GOING TO BE THESE EARLY
17 PROGRAMS AS THEY MATURE THAT ARE GOING TO BE DRIVING
18 CIRM'S CLINICAL PORTFOLIO IN THE YEARS TO COME.
19 THANK YOU.

20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ADDITIONAL PUBLIC
21 COMMENT?

22 DR. LORING: I'M JEANNE LORING. I'M FROM
23 THE SCRIPPS RESEARCH INSTITUTE, AND I'M ALSO LOSING
24 MY VOICE.

25 I'M NOT SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF MYSELF HERE.

1 I'M SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF SOMEBODY WHO COULDN'T COME
2 BECAUSE SHE'S VERY, VERY ILL. I THINK MOST OF YOU
3 HAVE MET JENNIFER RAUB. SHE'S A FIERCE ADVOCATE FOR
4 STEM CELL THERAPY FOR PARKINSON'S DISEASE. AND SHE
5 WANTED TO SPEAK TODAY BECAUSE OF OUR CHALLENGES IN
6 OBTAINING CIRM FUNDING FOR PARKINSON'S DISEASE.
7 I'LL BE REALLY BRIEF.

8 JENNIFER IS THE PRESIDENT OF
9 SUMMIT4STEMCELL FOUNDATION. IT'S A GRASS ROOTS
10 FOUNDATION OF PATIENT ADVOCATES THAT HAVE
11 PARKINSON'S DISEASE. JENNIFER HAS PARKINSON'S
12 DISEASE, AND SHE WANTS TO BE TREATED WITH A STEM
13 CELL THERAPY THAT WOULD REVERSE THE DOWNWARD SLIDE
14 THAT IS INEVITABLE FOR PEOPLE WITH PARKINSON'S
15 DISEASE.

16 THERE ARE FOUR GROUPS WORLDWIDE WHO ARE
17 DEVELOPING THERAPIES, NEURON REPLACEMENT THERAPIES,
18 FOR PARKINSON'S DISEASE. THEY PLAN TO HAVE -- ALL
19 OF THEM PLAN TO HAVE THEIR THERAPIES IN THE CLINIC
20 BY 2018 OR 2019. THE FOUR GROUPS ARE COORDINATING
21 THEIR EFFORTS IN A PARTNERSHIP CALLED G FORCE, AN
22 INTERNATIONAL PARTNERSHIP ORGANIZATION, TO
23 COORDINATE EFFORTS.

24 IN NEW YORK THE NEW YORK STEM CELL
25 EQUIVALENT OF CIRM HAS INVESTED 20 MILLION IN HUMAN

1 EMBRYONIC STEM CELL-DERIVED NEURONS. THE SECOND
2 PROJECT IS A PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN UK AND SWEDEN WHO
3 RECEIVED MORE THAN \$20 MILLION FROM THE EUROPEAN
4 UNION. AND FINALLY, THE THIRD IS THE JAPANESE
5 GOVERNMENT THAT HAS INVESTED MORE THAN \$20 MILLION
6 IN AN EQUIVALENT PROJECT.

7 THE FOURTH PROJECT IN G FORCE IS OUR
8 PROJECT. WE ARE DEVELOPING A PATIENT-SPECIFIC
9 DOPAMINE NEURON REPLACEMENT THERAPY. JENNIFER,
10 THROUGH SUMMIT, HAS RAISED MORE THAN \$3 MILLION THAT
11 HAS SUPPORTED US SO FAR. IN ORDER TO REACH CLINICAL
12 TRIAL BY 2019, WE NEED FURTHER FUNDING. CIRM HAS
13 GRANTED US \$2.4 MILLION, BUT WE APPLIED TWICE FOR A
14 TRANSLATIONAL GRANT AND HAVE BEEN TURNED DOWN.

15 CIRM HAS SPENT LESS THAN 1.6 PERCENT OF
16 ITS BUDGET FOR PARKINSON'S DISEASE. THIS DISEASE
17 WAS HIGHLIGHTED BY MICHAEL J. FOX AND JOAN SAMUELSON
18 IN THE EFFORT TO GET PROP 71 FUNDED. SINCE OUR
19 GRANTS HAVE BEEN REJECTED, WE ARE LOSING MONEY
20 ELSEWHERE. SUMMIT IS RAISING MORE MONEY. THERE'S
21 ANOTHER NONPROFIT THAT'S RAISING MONEY FOR US, AND
22 THERE ARE SEVERAL DONORS WHO ARE WILLING TO PUT
23 MONEY INTO THIS PROJECT.

24 WHAT DISAPPOINTS ME IS THAT CIRM DOESN'T
25 WANT TO CONTINUE THIS PROJECT. I THINK THIS IS

1 SOMETHING THAT WE NEED TO DISCUSS, THAT CERTAIN
2 DISEASES, FOR SOME REASON, HAVE BEEN OVERLOOKED
3 DURING CIRM'S FUNDING. WE WILL BE IN THE CLINIC IN
4 2019 WITH OR WITHOUT CIRM. TIME'S UP.

5 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: IS THERE ADDITIONAL
6 PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS MOTION? HEARING NONE, MARIA,
7 WILL YOU CALL THE ROLL.

8 MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.

9 DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.

10 MS. BONNEVILLE: LARS BERGLUND.

11 DR. BERGLUND: AYE.

12 MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.

13 DR. BOXER: YES.

14 MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS. JACK
15 DIXON.

16 DR. DIXON: YES.

17 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

18 DR. DULIEGE: YES.

19 MS. BONNEVILLE: HOWARD FEDEROFF. JUDY
20 GASSON.

21 DR. GASSON: YES.

22 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.

23 DR. HIGGINS: YES.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.

25 DR. JUELSGAARD: YES.

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHERRY LANSING. BERT
2 LUBIN.
3 DR. LUBIN: YES.
4 MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
5 DR. MALKAS: YES.
6 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
7 DR. MARTIN: AYE.
8 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
9 DR. MELMED: YES.
10 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
11 PADILLA.
12 DR. PADILLA: YES.
13 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
14 MR. PANETTA: YES.
15 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
16 DR. PRIETO: AYE.
17 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
18 DR. QUINT: YES.
19 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
20 MR. ROWLETT: YES.
21 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
22 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.
23 MS. BONNEVILLE: OSWALD STEWARD.
24 DR. STEWARD: YES.
25 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.

1 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
2 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
3 MR. TORRES: AYE.
4 MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
5 DR. VUORI: YES.
6 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
7 MS. WINOKUR: YES.
8 MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.
9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, DR. MILLAN.
10 WILL YOU CONTINUE WITH YOUR PRESENTATION PLEASE.
11 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN THOMAS.
12 SO THE NEXT REQUESTED ACTION FROM THIS
13 BOARD, BASED ON YOUR APPROVAL OF THE AWARD CAP
14 REDUCTION FOR CLINICAL AWARDS, IS THE FOLLOWING.
15 THE CIRM TEAMS REQUESTS THAT YOU APPROVE THE 2018
16 RESEARCH BUDGET ALLOCATION OF \$130 MILLION FOR
17 CLINICAL PROGRAMS, \$30 MILLION FOR TRANSLATIONAL,
18 \$10 MILLION FOR DISCOVERY AWARDS.
19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DO I HEAR A MOTION TO
20 THAT EFFECT?
21 DR. HIGGINS: SO MOVED.
22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY DR. HIGGINS.
23 DR. DULIEGE: SECOND.
24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECONDED BY DR. DULIEGE.
25 QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD EITHER

1 HERE OR ON THE PHONE? DO WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT?

2 WE DO HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THOSE ON THE PHONE.

3 WE ARE GETTING THE MICROPHONE TO OUR SPEAKER.

4 DR. CHAZENBALK: GOOD MORNING, EVERYBODY.

5 I WANT TO THANK --

6 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: CAN YOU PLEASE GIVE YOUR
7 NAME AND AFFILIATION?

8 DR. CHAZENBALK: MY NAME IS GREGORIO
9 CHAZENBALK. I'M A PH.D, BASIC SCIENTIST, AND
10 PROFESSOR OF OB/GYN AT UCLA. NOW I WANT TO THANK
11 CIRM TO GIVE ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK HERE TODAY.
12 AND BRIEFLY I WANT TO TALK ABOUT A DISCOVER THAT WE
13 DID IN OUR LAB, AND WE HAVE APPLIED FOR A GRANT THAT
14 WAS NOT GRANTED.

15 AS EVERYBODY KNOWS, (UNINTELLIGIBLE) THE
16 STEM CELLS ARE (UNINTELLIGIBLE) AND THEY INDUCE
17 PLURIPOTENCY.

18 DR. STEWARD: POINT OF ORDER. I BELIEVE
19 THAT WE'RE DISCUSSING THE MOTIONS HERE AND THAT
20 DISCUSSIONS OF INDIVIDUAL GRANTS SHOULD BE RESERVED
21 UNTIL THE END OF THE MEETING.

22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES, THAT'S CORRECT.
23 THIS IS NOT GERMANE TO THE MOTION.

24 DR. CHAZENBALK: I WAS INFORMED THAT MY
25 TALK WOULD BE IN THE MORNING. I'M COMING FROM LOS

1 ANGELES, AND I HAVE A --

2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: IT WILL BE. WE JUST
3 HAVEN'T REACHED THE APPROPRIATE AGENDA TOPIC YET.
4 THANK YOU. WE'LL GET BACK TO YOU AT THE APPROPRIATE
5 TIME. THANK YOU.

6 OTHER COMMENTS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC?
7 HEARING NONE, THIS, I BELIEVE, REQUIRES ONLY A VOICE
8 VOTE EXCEPT FOR THOSE ON THE PHONE. FOR THOSE IN
9 THE ROOM, ALL IN FAVOR OF THIS MOTION PLEASE SAY
10 AYE. OPPOSED? ABSTENTIONS? MARIA, PLEASE CALL THE
11 ROLL FOR THOSE ON THE PHONE.

12 MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.

13 DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.

14 MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.

15 DR. BOXER: YES.

16 MS. BONNEVILLE: JACK DIXON.

17 DR. DIXON: YES.

18 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.

19 MS. MILLER: YES.

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.

21 MR. PANETTA: YES.

22 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.

23 MR. ROWLETT: YES.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.

25 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.

2 DR. VUORI: YES.

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.

4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
5 DR. MILLAN. ANY CONCLUDING?

6 DR. MILLAN: I'D LIKE TO THANK THE BOARD
7 FOR CONSIDERING THESE PROPOSALS AND FOR YOUR SUPPORT
8 OF WHAT WE DO AT CIRM. THANK YOU.

9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
10 WE'RE GOING TO GO -- CHANGE ONE AGENDA
11 ITEM OUT OF ORDER HERE AND PROCEED TO ITEM NO. 8,
12 WHICH IS THE CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED
13 FOR DISC2, WHICH ARE OUR AWARDS. I'LL TURN THIS
14 OVER NOW TO SUPERVISOR SHEEHY.

15 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN
16 THOMAS.

17 SO I THINK THE WAY WE TYPICALLY PROCEED IS
18 WE TAKE A MOTION TO MOVE ANY APPLICATION OUT OF TIER
19 I INTO TIER II. IS THERE A MOTION?

20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MR. SUPERVISOR, I THINK
21 DR. SAMBRANO HAD A --

22 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: I FORGOT HIS
23 PRESENTATION. I'M SORRY. THINGS ARE A LITTLE
24 RATTLED HERE UNFORTUNATELY.

25 DR. SAMBRANO: WE ARE PULLING UP THE

1 PRESENTATION RIGHT NOW. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THIS
2 IS GIL SAMBRANO. I'M THE VICE PRESIDENT FOR
3 PORTFOLIO DEVELOPMENT AND REVIEW AT CIRM.

4 I JUST WANTED TO GIVE YOU AN OVERVIEW OF
5 THE DISCOVERY QUEST PROGRAM FOR WHICH WE ARE
6 BRINGING RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE GRANTS WORKING
7 GROUP. AS YOU KNOW, WE HAVE AND CONTINUE TO HAVE
8 FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES ACROSS DIFFERENT PILLARS AS
9 WAS DISCUSSED. THE CORE OF THOSE ARE THE DISCOVERY,
10 TRANSLATION, AND CLINICAL PROGRAMS. THE QUEST
11 PROGRAM FITS SQUARELY WITHIN DISCOVERY, AND WE
12 CONSIDER IT TO BE THE WORKHORSE OF THE DISCOVERY
13 PROGRAM IN ORDER TO BRING SINGLE PRODUCT CANDIDATES
14 FORWARD THAT WILL BE READY FOR TRANSLATION. AND THE
15 OBJECTIVE OF THIS PROGRAM IS, IN FACT, TO DO THAT,
16 TO LOOK FOR PROMISING NEW STEM CELL-BASED
17 TECHNOLOGIES THAT CAN ADVANCE TO TRANSLATION WITHIN
18 TWO YEARS IN ORDER TO ULTIMATELY IMPROVE PATIENT
19 CARE.

20 SO WHAT QUALIFIES FOR QUEST? SO THE QUEST
21 PROGRAM HELPS SUPPORT PROGRAMS THAT ARE LAUNCHING A
22 PRODUCT CANDIDATE THAT'S EITHER A THERAPEUTIC, A
23 DIAGNOSTIC, A MEDICAL DEVICE, OR A TOOL. IT IS ONE
24 OF OUR BROADEST PROGRAMS, AND THIS NEXT SLIDE JUST
25 HIGHLIGHTS THAT. IN TERMS OF THERAPY, WE LOOK AT

1 ANYTHING FROM A STEM PROGENITOR CELL THERAPY, ALSO
2 REPROGRAMMED CELL THERAPIES, SMALL MOLECULES OR
3 BIOLOGICS THAT ACT ON A STEM CELL OR CANCER STEM
4 CELL. FOR DEVICES, DIAGNOSTIC, OR TOOLS, THOSE THAT
5 IN SOME WAY WOULD USE STEM CELL OR PROGENITOR CELLS
6 OR THAT ADDRESS A CRITICAL BOTTLENECK IN THE STEM
7 CELL THERAPY FIELD.

8 THE REVIEW CRITERIA THAT WE UTILIZE FOR
9 THE GWG TO REVIEW AND EVALUATE THESE APPLICATIONS
10 ARE SHOWN IN THIS SLIDE. AND THERE ARE FOUR BASIC
11 QUESTIONS THAT WE PROVIDE TO THEM AS GUIDANCE. THE
12 FIRST IS DOES THE PROJECT HOLD THE NECESSARY
13 SIGNIFICANCE AND POTENTIAL FOR IMPACT? THAT IS,
14 WHAT IS THE VALUE THAT THE PROJECT BRINGS, AND HOW
15 WELL DOES IT ALIGN WITH THE MISSION OF THE PROGRAM?
16 IS THE RATIONALE SOUND? THAT IS, DOES IT MAKE
17 SENSE? DOES THE APPLICANT BRING SUFFICIENT
18 SUPPORTING DATA IN ORDER TO SUPPORT THE WORK THAT IS
19 PROPOSED? IS THE PROJECT WELL-PLANNED AND DESIGNED?
20 AND IS THE PROJECT FEASIBLE, INCLUDING HAVING AN
21 APPROPRIATE TEAM AND ALL THE RESOURCES THAT ARE
22 NEEDED TO CARRY OUT THE PROJECT AND ALSO ACCOMPLISH
23 IT WITHIN THE TWO-YEAR TIMELINE?

24 THE SCORING SYSTEM THAT IS UTILIZED IS ONE
25 TO A HUNDRED. UNDER THIS PROGRAM, ANYTHING THAT'S

1 GIVEN A SCORE OF A 85 TO A HUNDRED MEANS THAT IT'S
2 RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING IF FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE. A
3 SCORE OF 1 TO 84 MEANS THAT IT'S NOT RECOMMENDED FOR
4 FUNDING. WE USE THE MEDIAN OF SCORES BY THE
5 SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS OF THE GWG IN ORDER TO ASCERTAIN
6 THE FINAL SCORE FOR EACH APPLICATION.

7 SO THIS TABLE SUMMARIZES THE
8 RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE GWG. THERE WERE 43
9 APPLICATIONS THAT WERE REVIEWED BY THE GWG FOR THE
10 QUEST PROGRAM. THERE WERE 11 APPLICATIONS THAT
11 RECEIVED A SCORE BETWEEN 85 AND A HUNDRED THAT ARE
12 RECOMMENDED. AND THE TOTAL FUNDING REQUESTS TO
13 COVER THOSE 11 APPLICATIONS IS ABOUT \$21 MILLION.
14 THE FUNDS THAT ARE AVAILABLE UNDER THE PROGRAM FOR
15 THIS YEAR IS ABOUT 25.8 MILLION, SO WE ARE WELL
16 WITHIN THE ALLOWABLE BUDGET FOR THE PROGRAM TO FUND
17 ALL 11 PROGRAMS.

18 MR. CHAIRMAN, AT THIS POINT I HAVE AN
19 OVERVIEW OF RECOMMENDED APPLICATIONS THAT WE CAN GO
20 THROUGH. OR IF MEMBERS HAVE LOOKED AT IT, WE CAN
21 SKIP OVER THAT, BUT I WILL PROCEED AS YOU WISH.

22 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: I THINK, IN GENERAL, I
23 HOPE PEOPLE LOOKED AT THEIR MATERIALS. AND IT SEEMS
24 LIKE WE HAVE A FAIRLY PACKED AGENDA TODAY. IT MIGHT
25 MORE SENSE, AS WE DO OUR REGULAR ORDER, IF PEOPLE

1 WANTED QUESTIONS ABOUT A SPECIFIC APPLICATION.

2 MR. TORRES: MR. CHAIRMAN, IS IT
3 APPROPRIATE NOW TO MOVE AN APPLICATION INTO THE
4 FUNDING SEGMENT?

5 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: I THINK THE FIRST
6 THING THAT WE DO -- THE USUAL ORDER IS THAT WE WOULD
7 FIRST TAKE MOTIONS TO MOVE APPLICATIONS FROM TIER I
8 TO TIER II. AND THEN WE MAKE A MOTION TO MOVE
9 APPLICATIONS FROM TIER II TO TIER I. AND THEN WHAT
10 WE DO AFTER THAT IS TAKE A MOTION A FINAL MOTION ON
11 ALL THE APPLICATIONS.

12 IS THERE A MOTION TO MOVE ANY APPLICATION
13 FROM TIER I TO TIER II?

14 DR. STEWARD: COULD I JUST ASK FOR ONE
15 CLARIFICATION BEFORE WE START THAT PROCESS? AND
16 THIS IS ACTUALLY A REQUEST OF SCOTT TOCHER, TO
17 EXPLAIN THE SITUATION IN TERMS OF THE FUNDING CAP
18 AND THAT THERE'S A POTENTIAL FOR SOME MOVES TO PUT
19 US OVER THE CAP, WHICH PUTS SOME OF US IN CONFLICT.
20 SO IF YOU CAN JUST EXPLAIN THE NATURE OF THAT AND
21 WHY THAT LIMITS DISCUSSION BY SOME OF US FOR SOME
22 MOTIONS GOING FORWARD.

23 MR. TOCHER: SURE. THE RECOMMENDATION
24 FROM THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP, THE APPLICATIONS IN
25 THE GREEN TIER I DO NOT QUITE MEET THE BALANCE

1 REMAINING ON THE FUNDS FOR THIS YEAR FOR THIS
2 PROGRAM. HOWEVER, THERE ARE NUMEROUS APPLICATIONS
3 THAT MAY BE THE SUBJECT OF MOTIONS TO MOVE UP TO
4 TIER I FROM TIER II THAT COULD EXCEED THE CAP THAT
5 IS AVAILABLE. THAT WOULD THEN ENTAIL THE
6 APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE TO THEN TAKE VOTES
7 TO MOVE APPLICATIONS BACK OUT OF TIER I TO ENSURE
8 THAT THE FUNDING DOES NOT EXCEED THE CAP.
9 THEREFORE, WE ADVISE MEMBERS WITH INTEREST IN ANY
10 APPLICATION IN EITHER TIER I OR TIER II TO ABSTAIN
11 FROM DISCUSSION OR PARTICIPATION IN THE VOTE OF ANY
12 OF THESE UNTIL WE HAVE AN OMNIBUS MOTION TO FUND OR
13 NOT FUND THE REMAINING APPLICATIONS.

14 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU, SCOTT. DO
15 WE HAVE A MOTION TO MOVE ANY APPLICATIONS FROM TIER
16 I TO TIER II? NOT HEARING A MOTION, DO WE HAVE A
17 MOTION TO MOVE ANYTHING FROM TIER II TO TIER I?

18 MR. TORRES: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. I WOULD
19 LIKE TO MOVE TO TIER II -- TO TIER I THE SPINAL CORD
20 INJURY NEURAL STEM CELL SPINAL CORD INJURY FOR A
21 TOTAL OF 2.1 MILLION WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT IF
22 WE EXCEED, THEN WE HAVE TO MAKE ANOTHER DECISION AT
23 THAT POINT. THIS IS A PROJECT FUNDED AT UC SAN
24 DIEGO.

25 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: IS THERE A SECOND TO

1 THAT MOTION?

2 DR. HIGGINS: I'LL SECOND THAT.

3 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: WE HAVE A MOTION AND A
4 SECOND. ANY BOARD DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION?

5 DR. PRIETO: ACTUALLY I HAVE A QUESTION
6 FOR DR. SAMBRANO. FOR APPLICATIONS THAT ARE NOT
7 FUNDED, WHAT IS THE OPPORTUNITY FOR APPLICANTS TO
8 COME BACK?

9 DR. SAMBRANO: WE WANT TO MAKE AS MUCH AS
10 POSSIBLE ALL OUR PROGRAMS TO HAVE RECURRING AND
11 PREDICTABLE OPPORTUNITIES. SO THE NEXT OPPORTUNITY
12 FOR THIS ONE, WE ANTICIPATE THE DEADLINE WILL BE IN
13 MARCH OF NEXT YEAR.

14 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS,
15 COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD?

16 DR. JUELSGAARD: SO IN LOOKING AT THE
17 REPORT THAT STANDS BEHIND THE CHART THAT'S SHOWN UP
18 HERE, THERE'S A ONE-, TWO-, THREE-PAGE REPORT. BUT
19 UNDER CRITERIA, THE ONE THAT I NOTED, SO THERE ARE
20 FOUR CRITERIA THAT ARE USED, THE THIRD ONE, AND THIS
21 IS NOW THE GWG'S CRITERIA, IS THIS PROPOSAL
22 WELL-PLANNED AND DESIGNED? AND THE THREE OUTCOMES
23 THAT WERE INDICATED ARE POSITIVE, INCLUDES NEGATIVE
24 INFLUENCE, OR NEUTRAL INFLUENCE. SO WITH RESPECT TO
25 IS THE PROPOSAL WELL-PLANNED AND DESIGNED, THERE

1 WERE FIVE THAT GAVE IT A POSITIVE INFLUENCE, FIVE
2 THAT GAVE IT A NEGATIVE INFLUENCE, AND FOUR THAT
3 FELT IT WAS NEUTRALLY INFLUENCED.

4 SO THE CONCERN, AT LEAST ON MY PART, AND
5 WE'RE DEALING WITH THE GWG NOW, AN ORGANIZATION THAT
6 WE'VE ASKED TO VALIDATE THE SCIENTIFIC CREDIBILITY
7 OF THESE PROJECTS, WHEN THEY TALK ABOUT THE DESIGN
8 OF A STUDY, THE PLANNING AND DESIGN, AND DON'T GIVE
9 MORE RINGING ENDORSEMENTS THAN WHAT WE SEE ON THIS,
10 IT'S NOT TO SUGGEST THAT THIS ISN'T A WORTHWHILE
11 AREA TO PURSUE, BUT THE QUESTION IS IS THIS REALLY
12 THE RIGHT PLAN FOR PURSUING IT. AND SO I WOULD
13 SIMPLY MAKE THAT OBSERVATION AS SOMETHING TO AT
14 LEAST THINK ABOUT WITH RESPECT TO A VOTE ON THIS
15 ISSUE.

16 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: ANY ADDITIONAL
17 COMMENTS?

18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. DULIEGE HAS HER ARM
19 UP, MR. SUPERVISOR.

20 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: WOULD YOU MIND TO
21 COMMENT -- DR. SAMBRANO, WOULD YOU MIND TO COMMENT
22 ON THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE GWG ON ONE HAND AND
23 THE LETTER THAT WE ALL READ THAT WAS SENT BY
24 DR. TUSZYNSKI, THE PI? AND COULD YOU HELP US WITH
25 THIS?

1 DR. SAMBRANO: I WILL DO MY BEST TO HELP
2 YOU. SO, IN GENERAL, LET ME JUST PREFACE THIS BY
3 SAYING IN TERMS OF GWG COMMENTS, I CAN SPEAK TO WHAT
4 THEY GENERALLY BELIEVED OR WHAT THEY THOUGHT OF AN
5 APPLICATION. IT'S DIFFICULT, BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT
6 HERE, TO UNDERSTAND TO WHAT EXTENT WE CAN INFLUENCE
7 THOSE CONCERNS. SO OUR PROCESS, IN GENERAL, WE ASK
8 APPLICANTS TO RESUBMIT, ADDRESS CONCERNS SO THAT THE
9 GWG CAN DETERMINE WHETHER THEY'VE ADEQUATELY
10 ADDRESSED THOSE CONCERNS. AND THAT HAS HAPPENED
11 GENERALLY. SO YOU WILL SEE THAT SOME OF THESE
12 APPLICATION RESUBMISSIONS, WHERE THE APPLICANT HAS
13 MADE A RESUBMISSION, THE GWG HAS ACKNOWLEDGED IT,
14 AND THEY HAVE SHOWN AN IMPROVEMENT.

15 SO IN TERMS OF THIS PARTICULAR
16 APPLICATION, I THINK OVERALL THIS DID NOT HAVE ANY
17 MAJOR ISSUES. A LOT OF THE CONCERNS WERE RELATIVELY
18 MINOR, NO FATAL FLAWS. REVIEWERS WERE CERTAINLY
19 CONCERNED ABOUT SOME OF THE PRELIMINARY DATA IN
20 TERMS OF WHETHER IT SHOWED THE DEVELOPMENT OF A
21 RELAY IN SPINAL CORD INJURY. BUT IN TERMS OF A
22 PROJECT THAT CAN MOVE FORWARD INTO TRANSLATION, IN
23 TERMS OF A PROJECT THAT HAS A GOOD TEAM, I THINK
24 REVIEWERS FELT COMFORTABLE THAT IT MET THOSE
25 CRITERIA. I THINK WHAT THEY REALLY WERE CONCERNED

1 ABOUT WAS THE PRELIMINARY DATA THAT DEMONSTRATED
2 THAT THE MECHANISM BY WHICH THIS MAY WORK MAY NOT BE
3 FULLY SUPPORTED.

4 WE HAVE LOOKED AT SOME OF THIS
5 INFORMATION, THE DATA; AND, AS MENTIONED, THIS HAS
6 NO MAJOR CONCERNS. IT DOES OFFER SOME PROGRAMMATIC
7 VALUE IN TERMS OF OFFERING A DIFFERENT APPROACH TO
8 SPINAL CORD INJURY COMPARED TO OTHER PROJECTS THAT
9 WE ARE FUNDING. AND SO IT'S SOMETHING THAT COULD
10 ADD VALUE TO OUR PORTFOLIO.

11 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: OTHER QUESTIONS OR
12 COMMENTS? DR. DULIEGE, DO YOU HAVE OTHER QUESTIONS
13 YOU WANT TO ASK?

14 DR. DULIEGE: I WANT TO BE VERY CAREFUL
15 HERE BECAUSE I THINK, IN GENERAL, WE ARE TRYING NOT
16 TO OVERRIDE THE DECISION OR THE RECOMMENDATION MADE
17 BY THE GWG GROUP. HERE WHAT I HEAR IS THERE IS NO
18 MAJOR FLAWS. IN FACT, IT WOULD ADD TO OUR PIPELINE.
19 AND SO I'LL LOVE IF I CAN HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF AN
20 EXPLANATION AS TO WHY THE SCORE WAS NOT HIGHER, AND
21 IT IS THE CASE THERE WERE NO VERY LOW SCORE. THEY
22 WERE ALL PRETTY CLOSE TO THE MEDIAN BEING 80 AND THE
23 LOWER. SO THIS IS THE ONE THAT WE COULD POTENTIALLY
24 OVERRIDE, AND WE WANT TO BE CAREFUL BEFORE DOING
25 THAT.

1 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: PUBLIC COMMENT?

2 MR. REED: THIS IS DON REED. AS YOU ALL
3 KNOW, I'VE BEEN INVOLVED IN SPINAL CORD INJURY
4 RESEARCH FUNDING FOR 23 YEARS, AND I'VE KNOWN THE
5 APPLICANT FOR THAT LONG. AND HE'S AN UNDERSALESMAN
6 OF WHAT HE DOES. HE IS A SUPERB SCIENTIST.

7 NOW, A COUPLE OF THINGS. IT'S REALLY HARD
8 FOR ME SOMETIMES TO LOOK AT A PHOTOGRAPH OF NERVE
9 REGENERATION AND REALIZE WHAT I'M LOOKING AT. IT'S
10 USUALLY LIKE YOU'VE GOT THE NOTCH IN THE SPINE AND
11 YOU'VE GOT A LITTLE FUZZ AND YOU'RE SUPPOSED TO
12 INTERPRET THAT SOMEHOW.

13 WITH THE PHOTOGRAPHS OF HIS, YOU SEE THE
14 NERVE LEAPING ACROSS THE BARRIER. THIS IS SOMETHING
15 SUPERB.

16 ALSO, IT'S IMPORTANT THAT, ALTHOUGH HE
17 DOESN'T TALK ABOUT THE CHRONIC ASPECTS, CHRONIC IS
18 HUGE. PEOPLE ARE ONLY PARALYZED IN THE ACUTE PHASE
19 FOR A COUPLE WEEKS. WHEN YOU'RE IN A CHRONIC,
20 YOU'RE A LONG TIME. AND EVERYBODY IN THE WORLD
21 THAT'S PARALYZED PRETTY MUCH IS CHRONIC. HE'S DONE
22 THIS CHRONIC WORK WITH PRIMATES. NO ONE ELSE HAS
23 DONE THAT. PRIMATES, IT'S MONKEYS. THIS IS A BIG
24 STEP FORWARD.

25 THIS IS PROBABLY THE CULMINATION OF HIS

1 LIFE'S WORK. IT'S ALSO BRINGING TOGETHER FIVE OTHER
2 UNIVERSITIES' TOP PEOPLE. IT'S A SUPERB PROJECT. I
3 RECOMMEND IT STRONGLY.

4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ADDITIONAL PUBLIC
5 COMMENT HERE, JEFF.

6 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: IN THE PUBLIC COMMENT.

7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WE HAVE A COUPLE MORE
8 SPEAKERS.

9 MR. KLEIN: THIS IS BOB KLEIN. I'M
10 SPEAKING AS AN INDIVIDUAL. I WOULD JUST LIKE TO
11 STRESS THAT CONSTITUTIONALLY IT IS VERY IMPORTANT
12 THAT THE BOARD EXERCISE INDEPENDENT JUDGMENT WHEN
13 THERE'S A MERITORIOUS REASON TO EXERCISE THAT
14 JUDGMENT. IT IS VERY IMPORTANT THAT
15 CONSTITUTIONALLY THE BOARD NOT COMPLETELY IDENTIFY
16 AND ADOPT ALL POSITIONS OF THE PEER REVIEW COMMITTEE
17 AS IT CREATES ISSUES THAT WERE PROPERLY ADDRESSED IN
18 THE CONSTITUTIONAL LITIGATION.

19 SO WITHOUT COMMENTING ON THE CASE BEFORE
20 YOU, WHICH YOU ALL HAVE TO EVALUATE, I THINK IT IS
21 VERY IMPORTANT THAT THE BOARD FEEL EMPOWERED AND
22 UNDERSTAND THE NECESSITY, AS PART OF ITS ROLE
23 CONSTITUTIONALLY WITHIN THE STATE, TO MAKE DECISIONS
24 AT TIMES, PERHAPS BY EXCEPTION, BUT TO MAKE
25 DECISIONS WHERE THE MERITS COMPEL A FINDING OF VALUE

1 IN THE PORTFOLIO.

2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANOTHER SPEAKER, MR.
3 SUPERVISOR.

4 DR. TUSZYNSKI: GOOD MORNING. I'M MARK
5 TUSZYNSKI. I'M THE LEAD INVESTIGATOR ON THE
6 PROPOSED PROJECT. THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO
7 ADDRESS YOU.

8 SO I LEAD A CONSORTIUM OF INVESTIGATORS
9 FROM FIVE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA CAMPUSES WORKING
10 ON THIS PROGRAM. I'D LIKE TO FOCUS MY COMMENTS ON
11 SORT OF PROGRAMMATIC ISSUES RELATED TO CIRM AND ITS
12 FUTURE DIRECTION.

13 SO WE ARE A CONSORTIUM OF PEOPLE AT UCSD,
14 UC IRVINE, UCLA, UCSF, AND UC DAVIS. WE HAVE
15 STUDIED NEURAL STEM CELLS FROM A VERY DISTINCT
16 APPROACH, AS SOMEBODY MENTIONED, FROM THE EXISTING
17 PROGRAM SUPPORTED BY CIRM. THE EXISTING PROGRAMS
18 THAT ARE IN CLINICAL USE THROUGH ASTERIAS TARGET THE
19 RESTORATION OF FUNCTION TO CONNECTIONS AFTER A
20 SPINAL CORD INJURY THAT ARE ACTUALLY SPARED AFTER
21 THE INJURY. AND THAT'S A HIGHLY MERITORIOUS
22 PROJECT. IT'S GREAT THAT THAT'S MOVING FORWARD, BUT
23 OUR APPROACH IS VERY FUNDAMENTALLY DIFFERENT.

24 WE TRY TO FILL IN THE INJURY SITE ITSELF
25 WITH NEURAL STEM CELLS THAT, IN TURN, SEND OUT NEW

1 CONNECTIONS THAT ARE MEANT TO FORM RELAYS. AND ON
2 THE QUESTION OF WHETHER WE HAVE SHOWN RELAYS, WE
3 THINK WE HAVE. THOSE FINDINGS WERE PUBLISHED IN THE
4 JOURNAL *CELL* IN 2012 BY MY COLLEAGUE DR. LU, THAT
5 SHOWED ELECTRICAL CONDUCTION ACROSS THE RELAY AND
6 FUNCTIONAL IMPROVEMENT AFTER SEVERE SPINAL CORD
7 INJURY, WHICH IS A MODEL SO DIFFICULT TO STUDY, THAT
8 MOST PEOPLE IN SPINAL CORD INJURY DON'T EVEN
9 APPROACH IT. YET WE SAW FUNCTIONAL IMPROVEMENT AND
10 RELAYS ACROSS THAT MODEL.

11 SO FROM A PROGRAMMATIC BASIS, WE HAVE
12 DEVELOPED THIS TECHNOLOGY. WE STAND ON THE VERGE OF
13 TRANSLATION. OUR GOAL IS TRANSLATION. AND WE'RE IN
14 THE VALLEY OF DEATH THAT YOU MENTIONED EARLIER WHERE
15 WE HAVE TO DO THESE STUDIES TO GENERATE THE LEAD
16 CANDIDATE CELL TYPE TO GO INTO CLINICAL TRIALS. WE
17 ARE POISED TO DO THAT WITH A DISTINCT APPROACH FROM
18 PROGRAMS ALREADY FUNDED BY CIRM.

19 WE ARE A CONSORTIUM OF INVESTIGATORS. WE
20 HAVE TRANSFERRED OUR TECHNOLOGY TO NONHUMAN PRIMATES
21 TO DEVELOP THE MODELS AND TOOLS. I THINK WE'RE THE
22 ONLY SPINAL CORD INJURY GROUP THAT HAVE MOVED THIS
23 TECHNOLOGY TO A NONHUMAN PRIMATE MODEL, AND WE ARE
24 POISED TO MOVE FORWARD.

25 IT'S VERY HARD TO GET FUNDING AT THIS

1 LEVEL OF THE VALLEY OF DEATH, PRECISELY THE
2 PROGRAMMATIC MISSION OF CIRM. I DON'T KNOW THAT FOR
3 THE NEXT STAGE OF WORK REQUIRED WE WOULD BE
4 SUCCESSFUL IN ANOTHER ARENA. AND I'M HAPPY TO SAY
5 THAT IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS, WE'VE PUBLISHED THE
6 RESULTS OF OUR WORK IN SEVEN LEAD JOURNALS IN THE
7 FIELD OF SCIENCE AND MEDICINE, INCLUDING TWO PAPERS
8 IN *NATURE MEDICINE*, A PAPER IN THE JOURNAL *CELL*, TWO
9 PAPERS IN *SCIENCE TRANSLATIONAL MEDICINE*, *JOURNAL OF*
10 *CLINICAL INVESTIGATION*. THESE ARE ALL TRANSLATIONAL
11 JOURNALS THAT HIGHLIGHT THE TRANSLATIONAL FOCUS OF
12 OUR WORK.

13 SO I ENCOURAGE YOU TO CONSIDER THIS AS
14 BEING A SECOND SHOT ON GOAL FOR THE PROBLEM OF
15 SPINAL CORD INJURY, AN AREA OF GREAT UNMET MEDICAL
16 NEED, AND WITH POTENTIAL IN CHRONIC INJURY TOO.
17 THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

18 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: ADDITIONAL PUBLIC
19 COMMENT?

20 DR. LU: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS PAUL
21 LU. I'M FROM UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO.
22 AS EVERYBODY CAN SEE, I'M IN A WHEELCHAIR BECAUSE I
23 HAD A TERRIBLE CAR ACCIDENT CAUSED SPINAL CORD
24 INJURY 20 YEARS AGO. IT'S UNFORTUNATE FOR ME, BUT
25 IT'S FORTUNATE I HAVE A CHANCE TO PARTICIPATE ON

1 SPINAL CORD INJURY RESEARCH WITH DR. MARK TUSZYNSKI.
2 I WANT TO EMPHASIZE I MYSELF, WITH SUPPORT OF OUR
3 TEAM, DEVELOPED A NEW METHOD TO SUPPORT STEM CELL
4 SURVIVE MATURATION IN THE SEVERE SPINAL CORD INJURY.
5 AND WITH THIS SUPPORT, WHEN A NERVE CELL MATURE, IT
6 BECOMES NERVE, AND WE SEE GREAT CONNECTIVITY OF THE
7 GRAFT NERVE WITH THE HOST. AND DEAL FROM AND ATOMIC
8 AND ELECTRIC WE HAVE THIS EVIDENCE, AND WE CONSTANT
9 HAVE THIS RESULT IN A DIFFERENT KIND OF CELLS. SO
10 FOR THIS GRANT, IT'S VERY CRITICAL. AND WITH
11 TRANSLATION EARLY FINDING TO THE HUMAN NEURAL STEM
12 CELL, THAT WILL GOING TO CLINIC.

13 ON THE OTHER HAND, I'M SPINAL CORD PATIENT
14 MYSELF AND RESEARCH AND HAVE DOUBLE POSITION. I WAS
15 CONSTANT CONTACT BY OTHER SPINAL CORD INJURY
16 PATIENT. AND THE SPINAL CORD INJURY PATIENT HAVE
17 GREAT HOPE FOR THE STEM CELL TO CURE THE SPINAL CORD
18 INJURY. AND I ATTEND A LOT OF MEETINGS, AND WE
19 THANK CALIFORNIA FOR THIS SPECIAL CIRM ADDITIONAL
20 STEM CELL FUNDING TO SUPPORT OUR STUDY. AND I'M
21 PRETTY SURE WITH THIS SUPPORT WE WILL PUSH THIS
22 PROJECT TO THE CLINIC AND TRANSLATION. AND
23 EVERYBODY IN THE SPINAL CORD COMMUNITY HOPE TO GET
24 THIS FUNDING TO SPEED UP THE STEM CELL TREATMENT FOR
25 SPINAL CORD INJURY. THANK YOU.

1 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: ANY ADDITIONAL PUBLIC
2 COMMENT?

3 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.

4 DR. CHIU: I'M ARLENE CHIU FROM THE CITY
5 OF HOPE. AND I HAVE TO DRAW BACK MORE THAN 20 YEARS
6 WHEN I WAS AT THE NIH AND I WAS PROGRAM DIRECTOR FOR
7 THE SPINAL CORD INJURY PROGRAM. AND I HAVE SEEN A
8 LOT OF SPINAL CORD INJURY RESULTS PROPOSALS. I HAVE
9 TO SAY THAT THE ASTERIAS PROJECT THAT YOU HAVE
10 SUPPORTED SO GREATLY DEPENDS ON THE EXISTENCE OF
11 ALREADY SURVIVING CONNECTIONS BETWEEN THE BRAIN AND
12 WITHIN THE SPINAL CORD WHERE REMYELINATION WILL
13 PROMOTE THE RESIDUAL ACTIVITY. THIS IS SOMETHING
14 VERY DIFFERENT THAT YOU HAVEN'T SUPPORTED YET. AND
15 OVER THE YEARS, PEOPLE HAVE SUGGESTED THIS
16 MECHANISM, BUT HAVE SCANT DATA TO SHOW RELAYS AND
17 RECONNECTING. AND THEN ESPECIALLY FOR CHRONIC
18 SPINAL CORD INJURY, THIS IS A VERY HIGH BAR.

19 TO HAVE BROUGHT THIS PROJECT BY
20 DR. TUSZYNSKI TO THIS POINT IN THE STATE OF
21 CALIFORNIA IS NO MEAN FEAT. AND SO I WOULD HOPE
22 THAT YOU WOULD GIVE IT A SECOND LOOK AND TRY TO
23 SUPPORT SUCH A LONG-TERM AND CONSISTENT EFFORT IN
24 BRINGING RESULTS TO A TERRIBLE CHRONIC SITUATION IN
25 PATIENTS.

1 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I'M ASKING FOR MR.
2 SUPERVISOR HERE ANY MORE PUBLIC COMMENT? YES, THERE
3 IS. ONLY FOR THIS APPLICATION. I SEE NO MORE, MR.
4 SUPERVISOR, ALTHOUGH WE DO HAVE SOME COMMENTS BY
5 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD.

6 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.

7 DR. PRIETO: I WANTED TO RESPOND TO STEVE
8 JUELSGAARD'S COMMENTS. AND WHILE I VERY MUCH
9 RESPECT THE EXPERTISE AND THE WORK THAT'S DONE FOR
10 US BY THE MEMBERS OF THE GWG, AND I SERVE ON THE
11 GWG, I WOULD LIKE TO REITERATE WHAT BOB KLEIN SAID
12 TO US AND THE CONTROVERSY THAT CAME UP IN A VERY
13 MAJOR WAY IN THE EARLY DAYS OF CIRM, WHICH IS THAT
14 THE BOARD HAS A RESPONSIBILITY TO EXERCISE OUR
15 INDEPENDENT JUDGMENT AND TO WEIGH THE ISSUES LIKE
16 PROGRAMMATIC CONCERNS AND OUR OWN ASSESSMENT OF OUR
17 RISK TOLERANCE WHEN WE WEIGH APPLICATIONS LIKE THIS.
18 SO WE ARE REQUIRED TO MAKE THOSE INDEPENDENT
19 JUDGMENTS AND NOT RUBBER STAMP THE OPINION OF THE
20 GWG.

21 THAT SAID, I THINK THAT WITH CIRM 2.0 WE
22 ARE GIVING APPLICANTS AN OPPORTUNITY TO COME BACK TO
23 US IN A RELATIVELY SHORT PERIOD OF TIME, BUT I THINK
24 IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE CONSIDER APPLICATIONS LIKE
25 THAT THAT ARE ON THE BORDER OF OUR FUNDING LINE.

1 THANK YOU.

2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. DULIEGE HAS A
3 COMMENT.

4 DR. DULIEGE: JUST ACTUALLY MORE QUESTION.
5 THIS FIRST REQUEST HAS COME ON THIS PROPOSAL, BUT
6 THERE ARE MANY OTHER REQUESTS THAT MAY COME UP IN A
7 MINUTE OR SO. ARE WE CAPPED TO MAXIMUM BUDGET, OR
8 CAN WE EVALUATE THESE REQUESTS INDEPENDENT OF EACH
9 OTHER?

10 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: WE'RE CAPPED.

11 DR. SAMBRANO: WE ARE CAPPED AT ABOUT
12 25.8 MILLION. THE REASON THESE ARE NOW BLUE IS TO
13 SHOW, PRESUMABLY, IF THE BOARD APPROVES THE ELEVEN,
14 WE WOULD BE AT 21 MILLION, AND THERE ARE 4.8
15 APPROXIMATELY AVAILABLE FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDING. THE
16 BUDGET REQUESTED IS SHOWN NEXT TO EACH ONE. FROM
17 THAT, YOU CAN CALCULATE MAYBE TWO OR THREE PROJECTS
18 THAT, IF THEY WERE TO BE BROUGHT UP, COULD POSSIBLY
19 BE FUNDED.

20 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: I WOULD JUST SAY THAT
21 WE SHOULD BE VERY CAREFUL ABOUT EXPANDING OUR
22 UNIVERSE. THESE ROUNDS OCCUR OFTEN, AND I THINK
23 IT'S OKAY TO DO A LITTLE BIT, BUT I DO THINK THAT IT
24 IS CHALLENGING IF WE SUDDENLY START APPROVING A
25 NUMBER OF THESE AND WE START HAVING TO REALLY GO --

1 LET'S PUT IT LIKE THIS. IT WOULD BE VERY
2 UNFORTUNATE IF WE HAD TO TAKE SOMETHING OUT OF THE
3 FUNDABLE CATEGORY BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT HERE. AND
4 THEY GOT GREAT SCORES AND WE EXCEEDED OUR BUDGET.

5 SO I DO WARN US TO BE CONSCIOUS OF THE
6 FACT THAT WE HAVE A LIMITED BUDGET, WE HAVE A CAP
7 THAT WE CANNOT EXCEED.

8 DR. DULIEGE: SO IN THIS CONTEXT, WOULD IT
9 BE FAIR TO NOTE, IF THERE'S GOING TO BE OTHER
10 MOTIONS TODAY, SO THAT WE CAN LOOK AT THE RELATIVE
11 MERIT OF THE OTHER AND NOT END UP SAYING NO TO ONE
12 BECAUSE THERE'S NO MORE BUDGET?

13 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THAT'S NOT IN OUR
14 PROCESS, AND I WORRY ABOUT HOW THAT WOULD WORK.

15 WE HAVE A MOTION ON THE FLOOR.

16 MR. TORRES: THAT IS CORRECT.

17 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: AND WE SHOULD VOTE
18 THAT MOTION. AND IF THERE ARE ADDITIONAL MOTIONS,
19 WE'LL HAVE TO CONSIDER IN THOSE MOTIONS WHETHER OR
20 NOT WE HAVE THE FUNDING TO FUND THOSE APPLICATIONS
21 OR IF WE WANT TO TAKE ANOTHER APPLICATION OUT OF THE
22 FUNDABLE CATEGORY. ALL THE MATERIALS RELATED TO THE
23 GRANTS HAVE BEEN AVAILABLE TO BOARD MEMBERS FOR
24 ENOUGH TIME FOR THEM TO STUDY IT AND COME TO
25 CONCLUSIONS ABOUT WHICH ONES THEY WANT TO MOVE OR

1 NOT MOVE.

2 MR. TORRES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I JUST WANTED
3 TO ADD AS WELL THAT IF THESE GRANTS, ANY OF THESE
4 GRANTS, DO NOT MEET THEIR MILESTONES, THEY COULD BE
5 CUT OFF FROM FUNDING, CORRECT?

6 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES, BUT THAT DOESN'T
7 ADDRESS OUR CHALLENGE.

8 MR. TORRES: I KNOW. BUT WE'VE ALSO
9 REACHED A POINT TO WHERE SOME GRANTS DID NOT GET
10 APPROVAL BECAUSE WE DIDN'T HAVE ENOUGH MONEY EITHER.
11 AND THAT WAS IN A PREVIOUS ROUND THAT WE HAD. SO IT
12 IS NOT -- IT IS VERY CHALLENGING. THERE'S NO
13 QUESTION ABOUT THAT. AND I THINK AT THIS POINT
14 WE'RE DEALING WITH IT AD SERIATIM, AND BOARD MEMBERS
15 NEED TO FIGURE OUT WHETHER THEY WANT TO CONSIDER
16 OTHER MOTIONS DOWN THE ROAD AND THEN MAKE A DECISION
17 UPON THIS MOTION, BUT I WOULD MOVE THAT WE HAVE A
18 ROLL CALL VOTE.

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MR. SUPERVISOR, I JUST
20 HAVE ONE LAST QUESTION BEFORE WE DO THAT, IF YOU
21 WOULD, MR. SENATOR.

22 DR. SAMBRANO, NORMALLY IF THERE ARE
23 APPLICATIONS THAT THE GWG VIEWED AS HAVING SOME
24 MATERIAL FLAWS OF ONE SORT OR ANOTHER, THAT WOULD BE
25 REPORTED BACK TO THE PI WITH AN IDEA THAT THEY COULD

1 RECTIFY THOSE POTENTIALLY IN SUBSEQUENT
2 APPLICATIONS. YOU'VE NOTED WITH RESPECT TO THIS
3 APPLICATION THAT THERE WERE NO MAJOR FLAWS
4 IDENTIFIED. WERE THERE ANY SUGGESTIONS RELAYED IN
5 THE REPORTS BACK TO THE PI HERE THAT WOULD GIVE THEM
6 AN IDEA OF HOW TO AUGMENT THEIR PROPOSAL WERE THEY
7 TO COME BACK THE NEXT ROUND?

8 DR. SAMBRANO: WE ARE ALSO HAPPY TO WORK
9 WITH ALL THE APPLICANTS, SO EVEN THOSE THAT ARE IN
10 THE TOP TIER. THOSE HAVE ALSO NOTATIONS ABOUT
11 CONCERNS THAT GWG MEMBERS HAVE. SO IN THE PROCESS
12 OF SETTING UP AN AWARD, WE UTILIZE THAT TO TRY TO
13 MAKE IMPROVEMENTS AS WE MOVE FORWARD WITH THEM.

14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THAT SEEMS TO BE ALL THE
15 QUESTIONS AT THIS END, MR. SUPERVISOR.

16 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: WELL, THEN I'M GOING
17 TO ASK MARIA TO CALL THE ROLL. BEFORE I DO, I JUST
18 WANT TO MAKE ONE ADDITIONAL COMMENT AS THE CHAIR.
19 THIS IS WHERE WE ARE. WE HAVE A FINITE AMOUNT OF
20 MONEY. AND I DON'T KNOW THAT WE'VE REALLY COME TO
21 TERMS WITH THIS, BUT WE HAVE TO BE VERY, VERY
22 CONSCIOUS OF WHAT WE SPEND. SO ANYWAY, PLEASE CALL
23 THE ROLL, MARIA.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNEMARIE DULIEGE.

25 DR. DULIEGE: YES.

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
2 DR. HIGGINS: YES.
3 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
4 DR. JUELSGAARD: ABSTAIN.
5 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
6 DR. MARTIN: YES.
7 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
8 PADILLA.
9 DR. PADILLA: YES.
10 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
11 MR. PANETTA: YES.
12 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
13 DR. PRIETO: AYE.
14 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
15 DR. QUINT: YES.
16 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
17 MR. ROWLETT: ABSTAIN.
18 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
19 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.
20 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ABSTAIN.
22 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
23 MR. TORRES: AYE.
24 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
25 MS. WINOKUR: YES.

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.

2 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: IS THERE A MOTION TO
3 TAKE ANY OTHER APPLICATION FROM TIER II AND MOVE IT
4 INTO TIER I?

5 IS THERE AN OMNIBUS MOTION TO FUND ALL THE
6 APPLICATIONS IN TIER I AND NOT --

7 MR. TORRES: SO MOVED.

8 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: -- FUND ANY
9 APPLICATIONS IN TIER II? THERE'S TWO PARTS TO IT.
10 IT'S BEEN MOVED BY SENATOR TORRES. DO WE HAVE A
11 SECOND?

12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WE HAVE A QUESTION HERE,
13 MR. SUPERVISOR.

14 DR. MARTIN: I HAVE A TECHNICAL QUESTION.
15 THAT IS ON THE APPLICATION 10748, WHICH IS AN HIV
16 PROPOSAL. THE TECHNICAL QUESTION IS IN THE GWG WAS
17 THERE AN HIV EXPERT IN THAT COMMITTEE THAT
18 PARTICIPATED IN THIS REVIEW? I PRESUME THERE WAS,
19 BUT WAS THERE?

20 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.

21 DR. MARTIN: I'M SORRY. MY QUESTION ABOUT
22 THE PROPOSAL AND THE CONCERNS OF THIS IS THAT THE
23 MODEL THAT'S BEING USED IS NOT RELEVANT TO THE
24 PROBLEM OF HIV INFECTION. IT'S A CHALLENGING --

25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I WOULD DISAGREE.

1 DR. MARTIN: BUT THE PROBLEM IS THE
2 CRYPTIC INFECTION OR THE LATENT INFECTION AND NOT A
3 CHALLENGE MODEL, AND SO --

4 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: PRIMATE MODEL BY HANS
5 (INAUDIBLE) AT UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON GENERALLY
6 CONSIDERED THE CELL THERAPY TO BE THE BEST. HE
7 QUOTES THE BEST INVESTIGATOR, AND THIS IS THE BEST
8 MODEL IN USE.

9 DR. MARTIN: I AGREE. CAR-T'S ARE VERY
10 VALID TO THIS. I'M JUST CONCERNED ABOUT THE MODEL,
11 THE CHALLENGE MODEL. THANK YOU FOR ANSWERING THE
12 QUESTION.

13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DIANE HAS A COMMENT, MR.
14 SUPERVISOR.

15 MS. WINOKUR: MAYBE AT THIS POINT IT WOULD
16 BE HELPFUL TO JUST BRIEFLY DESCRIBE FOR THE PEOPLE
17 WHO ARE HERE OBSERVING HOW THIS GRANT WORKING GROUP
18 REALLY WORKS, LIKE THE MAKEUP OF IT AND THE
19 DISCUSSION AND THE HOURS THAT ARE SPENT ON EACH
20 PROPOSAL.

21 DR. SAMBRANO: CERTAINLY I CAN JUST GIVE
22 YOU VERY BRIEFLY AN OVERVIEW OF THE PROCESS. SO ALL
23 APPLICATIONS GO THROUGH A PANEL OF 15 SCIENTISTS AND
24 7 PATIENT ADVOCATES WHO COMPOSE THE GWG. IN
25 ADDITION TO THAT, DEPENDING ON THE EXPERTISE THAT'S

1 REQUIRED AS WE LOOK AT THE PORTFOLIO OF APPLICATIONS
2 THAT COME, WE ALSO RECRUIT WHAT WE CALL SPECIALISTS
3 TO ADD ADDITIONAL EXPERTISE TO THE PANEL. ALL OF
4 THE APPLICATIONS ARE ASSIGNED TO A MINIMUM OF THREE
5 DIFFERENT SCIENTISTS IN THAT GROUP, AND THEN WE
6 BRING THEM ALTOGETHER TO HAVE AN IN-DEPTH DISCUSSION
7 OF EACH APPLICATION BEFORE THE ENTIRE PANEL SO
8 EVERYBODY CAN CONTRIBUTE TO THAT DISCUSSION AND
9 UNDERSTAND WHAT THE STRENGTHS, CONCERNS WERE BEFORE
10 THEY SCORE ON THAT APPLICATION.

11 DOES THAT SUFFICIENTLY SUMMARIZE?

12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: NO OTHER COMMENTS FROM
13 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD HERE IN THE ROOM.

14 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: DO WE HAVE A SECOND
15 FOR THE MOTION?

16 MR. TOCHER: NOT YET, JEFF.

17 MS. WINOKUR: I SECOND.

18 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO ANY FURTHER BOARD
19 DISCUSSION? PUBLIC COMMENT?

20 DR. SANTO: I'M ELLEN SANTO, PROFESSOR OF
21 PEDIATRICS AND CELL BIOLOGY AT UC DAVIS SCHOOL OF
22 MEDICINE. I'M ALSO REPRESENTING MY COLLEAGUE AND
23 COLLABORATOR SIMON CHERRY, WHO'S A PROFESSOR OF
24 BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING AND RADIOLOGY.

25 WE THANK THE BOARD FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO

1 REQUEST THE CONSIDERATION OF OUR QUEST APPLICATION
2 10599, TRANSLATIONAL IMAGING TOOL FOR HUMAN
3 REGENERATIVE THERAPIES. THE GOAL OF THIS
4 APPLICATION IS TO DEMONSTRATE A TRANSFORMATIVE NEW
5 IMAGING APPLICATION SPECIFICALLY FOR POSITRON
6 EMISSION TOMOGRAPHY OR PET FOR STEM CELL
7 THERAPEUTICS. CIRM IS IN A UNIQUE POSITION TO SET
8 THE STAGE FOR USE OF THIS NEW TECHNOLOGY AND WHY
9 CALIFORNIA MAINTAINS THE LEADERSHIP POSITION.

10 WHILE PET HAS VERY HIGH SENSITIVITY AND
11 CAN PROVIDE THREE-DIMENSIONAL IMAGES DEEP INSIDE THE
12 HUMAN BODY, CURRENT PET SYSTEMS CAN ONLY EVALUATE A
13 SMALL ANATOMICAL AREA AT A GIVEN TIME. IN FACT,
14 TODAY'S PET SCANNERS ONLY CAPTURE LESS THAN 1
15 PERCENT OF THE SIGNAL BECAUSE THE MAJORITY OF THE
16 BODY IS NOT INSIDE THE SCANNER AT A GIVEN MOMENT IN
17 TIME. THE INNOVATIVE CONCEPT OF TOTAL BODY PET OR
18 WHAT WE CALL EXPLORER WILL BE GROUNDBREAKING BY
19 COLLECTING MORE THAN 40-FOLD SIGNAL AND
20 SIGNIFICANTLY ADVANCING IMAGING FOR EVERY DISEASE
21 THAT CIRM SUPPORTS ACROSS THE LIFE SPAN, INCLUDING
22 FOR THE YOUNGEST PATIENTS IN NEED.

23 A SCALED VERSION OF EXPLORER IS CURRENTLY
24 AVAILABLE FOR IMMEDIATE USE OF THE STUDIES PROPOSED,
25 AND THE WORLD'S FIRST HUMAN SCANNER WILL BE

1 OPERATIONAL AT THE UC DAVIS MEDICAL CENTER IN 2018.
2 WITH THE HUMAN SCANNER NEAR COMPLETION, PROTOCOLS
3 THAT HAVE BEEN FULLY OPTIMIZED AND VALIDATED AND
4 NEEDED IN ORDER TO ENSURE TO NOT DELAY HUMAN
5 APPLICATION.

6 THE EXPLORER TECHNOLOGY IS ABLE TO PERFORM
7 TOTAL BODY STUDIES AT 140TH THE CURRENT RADIATION
8 DOSE USED, ALLOWING SCANS AT FRACTIONS OF THE
9 EXPOSURE INDIVIDUALS RECEIVE, FOR EXAMPLE, FOR A
10 ROUND TRIP FROM SAN FRANCISCO TO LONDON. EXPLORER
11 TECHNOLOGY CAN IMAGE WITH ENHANCED SENSITIVITY; IT
12 CAN IMAGE FASTER BY IMAGING THE ENTIRE BODY AT ONCE.
13 IT CAN IMAGE SAFELY WITH SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCED
14 RADIATION DOSE, WHICH THIS ALLOWS NEW APPLICATIONS
15 FOR PEDIATRICS AND IMAGE MORE OFTEN. THUS,
16 CALIFORNIA AND CIRM WILL BE A LEADER IN DEVELOPING
17 AND APPLYING THIS TECHNOLOGY FROM REGENERATIVE
18 MEDICINE FROM PEDIATRICS TO GERIATRICS.

19 THE STUDIES PROPOSED IN THIS QUEST
20 APPLICATION WILL INITIATE AND ESTABLISH A NEW FIELD
21 OF TOTAL BODY PET IMAGING IN REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
22 USING SOPHISTICATED SIMULATION TOOLS IN ORDER TO
23 DEVELOP QUANTITATIVE METHODS FOR IN VIVO IMAGING.
24 CELL DOSES WILL BE BASED ON THESE STUDIES AND
25 CONDUCTED IN A HIGHLY RELEVANT PRIMATE MODEL WITH

1 IMMENSE TRANSLATIONAL VALUE.

2 OUR APPLICATION RECEIVED AN 84, JUST
3 MISSING THE CUTOFF BY ONE POINT. WE HOPE THAT THE
4 BOARD WILL CONSIDER OUR APPLICATION, ALLOWING
5 EXPLORER TO FILL A GAP AND CURRENT MEDICAL NEED BY
6 PROVIDING A STEM CELL IMAGING TECHNOLOGY THAT WE
7 BELIEVE WILL BE TRANSFORMATIVE AND SIGNIFICANTLY
8 IMPROVE PATIENT CARE ACROSS THE LIFE SPAN. THANK
9 YOU.

10 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: NEXT.

11 DR. CHAZENBALK: IT'S MY TURN. I HOPE
12 THAT THE 30 SECONDS I TALK BEFORE WILL NOT BE TAKEN.
13 SO, AGAIN, MY NAME IS GREGORIO CHAZENBALK. I WORK
14 AT UCLA IN STEM CELL. IN 2010 A NOVEL PUBLICATION
15 CAME ABOUT REPORTING STEM CELLS WITHOUT
16 TERATOGENESIS. MEANS THEY WILL NOT PRODUCE
17 TERATOMAS IN CONTRAST TO STEM CELLS IN IPS. THESE
18 CELLS CALLED NEW CELLS ARE HIGHLY RESISTANT TO
19 SEVERAL TRAITS. THE NAME IS TYPE IMPORTANT, AND YOU
20 ARE STRESSING STEM CELLS HAVE THE ABILITY TO
21 DIFFERENTIATE TO ANY KIND OF CELLS WAS PUBLISHED BY
22 DIFFERENT INVESTIGATORS. THERE ARE TEN GROUPS
23 WORLDWIDE THAT DEMONSTRATED THESE CELLS, AND THESE
24 CELLS ALSO HAVE (UNINTELLIGIBLE), WHICH MEANS THEY
25 CAN GO ONLY TO THE INJURY AREA BECAUSE THEY HAVE A

1 SPECIFIC RECEPTOR THAT RESPONDS TO MOLECULE USED BY
2 ANY TISSUE INJURY.

3 SO THESE CELLS ALREADY ARE THE MOST THAT
4 CAN REGENERATE TISSUE AND RESTORE FUNCTION IN MANY
5 DISEASES, LIKE ISCHEMIC ULCER, FIBROSIS, KIDNEY
6 DAMAGE, STROKE. PAPER PUBLISHED IN 2016 IN STEM
7 CELL JOURNAL AND RECENTLY A PAPER IS COMING ABOUT
8 EFFECT OF THESE CELLS TO REGENERATE IN ACUTE
9 MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION. THE REGENERATION OF THE
10 HEART AND (UNINTELLIGIBLE) HAVE BEEN DEMONSTRATED IN
11 MICE, RATS, PIGS, AND THERE ARE ALREADY ONGOING
12 CLINICAL TRIALS IN JAPAN SPONSORED BY MITSUBISHI AND
13 UNIVERSITY OF TOHOKU AND TOKYO UNIVERSITY SHOWING
14 ANOTHER PROMISING OF THESE CELLS.

15 SO I KNOW THAT THESE CELLS ARE KIND OF
16 CONTROVERSIAL BECAUSE I THINK THEY ARE PLURIPOTENT,
17 THEY DO NOT PRODUCE TERATOMAS. ONE OF THESE IS
18 PLURIPOTENT TERATOGENESIS MEANS A ONE-TO-ONE
19 DIRECTION. HOWEVER, MY MAJOR GOAL IS TO BRING
20 AWARENESS OF THE EXISTENCE OF THESE CELLS AND TO TRY
21 TO SUPPORT THIS DISCOVER THAT (UNINTELLIGIBLE)
22 EXISTENCE OF PLURIPOTENT STEM CELLS THAT DO NOT
23 PRODUCE TERATOGENESIS AS NATURAL CELLS PRESENT IN
24 THE BODY.

25 SO MY GRANT WAS REJECTED. I AM THINKING

1 TO REAPPLY AGAIN. I THINK WAS PROBABLY THE
2 REVIEWERS WERE NOT AWARE OF THE COMPETENCY OF THESE
3 CELLS, AND THE CRITICS, I THINK THEY WERE NOT FAIR.
4 FOR EXAMPLE, THEY ASKED ME ABOUT THE MECHANISTIC OF
5 ACTION. OKAY. SO I BASICALLY ASK FOR SUPPORT TO
6 THIS UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY (UNINTELLIGIBLE) CLINICAL
7 TRIALS USING THESE PLURIPOTENT STEM CELLS. THANK
8 YOU.

9 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: NEXT PLEASE.

10 DR. NICHOLAS: CORY NICHOLAS, CO-FOUNDER
11 AND CSO OF NEURONA. I'M THE PI ON 10525, WHICH
12 SCORED 80. THIS IS TO DEVELOP A STEM CELL NERVE
13 THERAPY FOR THE TREATMENT OF EPILEPSY. AND I'M HERE
14 TO ADVOCATE FOR EPILEPSY. I THINK WE CAN ALL AGREE
15 THAT EVERY INDICATION IS IMPORTANT, BUT CIRM IS NOT
16 PRESENTLY SUPPORTING ANY EFFORTS TO ADVANCE
17 THERAPIES FOR EPILEPSY.

18 EPILEPSY IS THE THIRD MOST COMMON
19 DEVASTATING NEUROLOGICAL DISEASE RIGHT BEHIND
20 ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE AND STROKE. OBVIOUSLY A MAJOR
21 HEALTH AND QUALITY OF LIFE CONCERN. HALF A MILLION
22 PEOPLE IN CALIFORNIA SUFFER FROM EPILEPSY, AND
23 ONE-THIRD OF THESE PATIENTS DO NOT RESPOND TO
24 CURRENT ANTI-EPILEPTIC DRUGS, LEAVING THEM WITHOUT
25 ANY GOOD OPTIONS.

1 YOU MAY THINK OF THIS AS A MANAGEABLE
2 DISEASE, BUT IT'S NOT. AS LITTLE AS ONE SEIZURE PER
3 YEAR IS ENOUGH TO KEEP SOMEONE FROM DRIVING, FROM
4 HOLDING DOWN A JOB, FROM LIVING INDEPENDENTLY. AND
5 THESE PATIENTS LIVE IN FEAR OF SUDDEN, UNEXPECTED
6 DEATHS FROM EPILEPSY.

7 WE'VE BEEN WORKING HARD ON THIS THERAPY
8 FOR A VERY LONG TIME. WE HAVE MANY OF THE SAME
9 MERITS AS DISCUSSED BY DR. TUSZYNSKI IN HIS
10 APPLICATION THAT WAS APPROVED FOR SPINAL CORD
11 INJURY. WE'VE BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE MAJOR JOURNALS,
12 AND WE HAVE A TERRIFIC TEAM.

13 INCLUDING THE ELEVEN APPLICATIONS ALREADY
14 APPROVED FOR FUNDING, IT APPEARS THAT THERE'S A
15 SURPLUS HERE OF AROUND 10 TO \$20 MILLION, INCLUDING
16 THE MONEY LEFT OVER FROM THE TRANSLATIONAL BUDGET
17 THAT WASN'T SPENT THIS YEAR.

18 AND I RESPECTFULLY DISAGREE WITH THE
19 COMMENTS THAT YOU CAN JUST REAPPLY IN MARCH. WHAT
20 YOU'VE JUST APPROVED IS A REDUCED BUDGET NEXT YEAR,
21 AN 80-PERCENT CUT IN THIS VERY COMPETITIVE DISCOVERY
22 ROUND OF GRANTS. SO IT'S NOT GOING TO BE SO EASY
23 NEXT TIME. SO IF YOU HAVE THE MONEY, I ENCOURAGE
24 YOU TO INVEST IN VERY STRONG APPLICATIONS LIKE OURS.

25 WE HAD A SCORE OF 80, THE SAME SCORE AS

1 THE APPLICATION JUST BUMPED UP. IN FACT, WE HAD
2 MORE MEMBERS OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP THAT
3 RECOMMENDED OUR APPLICATION FOR FUNDING, ABOUT HALF
4 OF THOSE FOLKS. SO THANK YOU FOR CONSIDERING TO
5 INCLUDE OUR APPLICATION IN THE FUNDING GROUP.

6 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: IS THERE ANY MORE
7 PUBLIC COMMENT? YES.

8 DR. KRIEGSTEIN: ARNOLD KRIEGSTEIN HERE.
9 I'M A PROFESSOR OF NEUROLOGY AT UC SAN FRANCISCO.
10 I'D LIKE TO COMMENT ON WHAT DR. NICHOLAS JUST
11 MENTIONED ABOUT THE EPILEPSY PROPOSAL. THIS PROJECT
12 BEGAN ABOUT A DECADE AGO IN A NUMBER OF ACADEMIC
13 LABS AT UCSF, MYSELF AND OTHER COLLEAGUES, USING
14 INHIBITORY NEURONS AS A POTENTIAL THERAPY FOR FOCAL
15 AND MEDICALLY INTRACTABLE EPILEPSY.

16 THIS IS A PROJECT THAT WAS FUNDED THROUGH
17 SEVERAL ROUNDS OF CIRM FUNDING. IT LED TO THE
18 DEVELOPMENT OF A HUMAN CELL THAT COULD POTENTIALLY
19 BE A CELL THERAPY FOR THIS DISORDER THAT WAS DONE IN
20 OUR ACADEMIC SETTING, AT WHICH POINT THE PROJECT WAS
21 MATURE ENOUGH TO ACTUALLY TALK ABOUT
22 COMMERCIALIZATION; THAT IS, HOW TO PRODUCE LARGE
23 NUMBERS OF THESE CELLS, TO DO THEM IN A GMP
24 FACILITY, AND SCALE THEM UP TO ACTUALLY START A
25 CLINICAL TRIAL.

1 AT THAT POINT WE DECIDED TO FOUND A
2 COMPANY, AND MYSELF, ARTURO ALVAREZ-BUYLLA, AND JOHN
3 RUBENSTEIN, ALONG WITH CORY NICHOLAS CO-FOUNDED THIS
4 START-UP IN ORDER TO DO THAT, IN ORDER TO TRY TO
5 MAKE THIS A TREATMENT THAT WE COULD USE IN A
6 CLINICAL SETTING. THAT'S PROCEEDED OVER THE LAST
7 TWO YEARS EXTREMELY WELL. THE PRODUCT AS IT WAS
8 CALLED IS HUMAN INHIBITORY CORTICAL CELL HAS NOW
9 BEEN DEVELOPED IN HUGE QUANTITIES, AND IT'S
10 SCALABLE, AND IT'S ACCORDING TO THE KIND OF SMALL
11 MOLECULES THAT YOU COULD USE FOR A THERAPEUTIC
12 PRODUCT. WE'RE AT THE THRESHOLD OF DEMONSTRATING
13 CLINICAL EFFICACY WITH THE CELL LINE IN EPILEPSY.

14 THAT'S WHAT THE PROPOSAL IS ABOUT. AND I
15 JUST WANTED TO MENTION THAT THESE ARE RELATIVELY
16 MODEST AMOUNTS OF MONEY FOR THESE QUEST PROGRAMS
17 THAT CAN HAVE A HUGE IMPACT, IN THIS CASE FOR A
18 DISEASE THAT ISN'T PART OF THE PORTFOLIO RIGHT NOW
19 FOR CIRM. SO I WOULD JUST URGE YOU TO RECONSIDER
20 THE POSSIBILITY OF FUNDING THIS TO GET US PAST THIS
21 IMPORTANT NEXT STEP. THANK YOU.

22 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: ANY ADDITIONAL PUBLIC
23 COMMENT? MARIA, WILL YOU CALL THE ROLL.

24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MR. JUELSGAARD HAS A
25 COMMENT.

1 DR. JUELSGAARD: SO BEFORE WE VOTE, THIS
2 IS A QUESTION, I THINK, FOR THE PROJECTS GROUP IN
3 TERMS OF THE AMOUNT OF MONEY. SO IF WE APPROVE THE
4 ONES THAT HAVE BEEN MOVED TO TIER I OR IN TIER II
5 MOVED TO TIER I AT THIS POINT, HOW MUCH MONEY WILL
6 WE BE SPENDING VERSUS HOW MUCH MONEY WE HAVE? SO WE
7 STILL HAVE THAT AMOUNT AVAILABLE. GOT IT.

8 WOULD SENATOR TORRES, I THINK HE MADE THE
9 MOTION, RIGHT?

10 MR. TORRES: THAT IS CORRECT, SECONDED BY
11 MR. JUELSGAARD.

12 DR. JUELSGAARD: WOULD YOU ACCEPT A
13 FRIENDLY AMENDMENT?

14 MR. TORRES: ARE WE GOING TO GO DOWN THIS
15 PATH AGAIN? YOU AND I ALWAYS GO DOWN THE FRIENDLY
16 AMENDMENT PATH. WHAT IS YOUR FRIENDLY AMENDMENT?

17 DR. JUELSGAARD: IT HAS TO DO WITH THIS
18 EPILEPSY INDICATION.

19 MR. TORRES: YOU WANT TO ADD IT ON?

20 DR. JUELSGAARD: YES.

21 MR. TORRES: THAT WOULD BE A SUBSTITUTE
22 MOTION WHICH THE BODY WOULD HAVE TO APPROVE.

23 DR. JUELSGAARD: OKAY. I'LL DO IT THAT
24 WAY THEN.

25 MR. TORRES: I COULD ACCEPT THE FRIENDLY

1 AMENDMENT TO SAVE TIME.

2 MR. TOCHER: COULD YOU REPEAT THAT PLEASE,
3 DR. JUELSGAARD?

4 DR. JUELSGAARD: YES. I WANT TO,
5 ACCORDING TO SENATOR TORRES, MAKE WHAT IS A
6 SUBSTITUTED AMENDMENT TO THE AMENDMENT THAT'S ON THE
7 FLOOR.

8 MR. TORRES: I WOULD ACCEPT IT AS A
9 FRIENDLY AMENDMENT, BUT I THINK IT MIGHT BE BETTER
10 IF THE ENTIRE BODY VOTED ON IT.

11 DR. JUELSGAARD: I AGREE WITH YOU.

12 MR. TORRES: SO YOUR MOTION CAN BE A
13 SUBSTITUTE MOTION.

14 DR. JUELSGAARD: SO THIS IS A SUBSTITUTE
15 MOTION TO INCLUDE IN THE TIER I GROUP OR TO MOVE
16 INTO THE TIER I GROUP DISC2 10525, DEVELOPMENT OF A
17 CELLULAR THERAPEUTIC FOR TREATMENT OF EPILEPSY.

18 DR. HIGGINS: SECOND.

19 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SECOND BY SENATOR
20 TORRES.

21 MR. TORRES: DR. HIGGINS.

22 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: DR. HIGGINS.

23 MR. TORRES: HE SPOKE BEFORE I COULD.

24 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO IS THIS ATTACHED TO
25 YOUR MOTION, SENATOR TORRES?

1 MR. TORRES: YES, THIS WOULD BE ATTACHED
2 TO THE MAIN MOTION.

3 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: OKAY. SO DO WE HAVE
4 PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS MOTION OR ANY BOARD COMMENT
5 ON THIS MOTION? THEN CAN WE CALL THE ROLL ON THE
6 AMENDMENT TO SENATOR TORRES' MOTION, AND THEN WE'LL
7 TAKE UP SENATOR TORRES' MOTION.

8 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. DULIEGE HAS A
9 QUESTION AND A COMMENT, MR. SUPERVISOR.

10 DR. DULIEGE: I WANTED TO ASK STEVE WHY HE
11 MADE SPECIFICALLY THIS AMENDMENT AND HIS IMPETUS FOR
12 HAVING THIS GRANT APPROVED COMPARED TO OTHERS THAT
13 WERE RANKED A LITTLE BIT HIGHER.

14 DR. JUELSGAARD: CERTAINLY. SO WE JUST
15 ESTABLISHED A PRECEDENT, WHICH I WAS RELUCTANT TO
16 ESTABLISH, OF APPROVING GRANTS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN
17 RECOMMENDED BY THE GWG. SO THAT SUGGESTS THAT WE
18 HAVE A BROADER, MORE PROGRAMMATIC PERSPECTIVE. AND
19 I WAS ALSO THEN PERSUADED BY THE TWO SPEAKERS OF THE
20 NEED IN EPILEPSY AND OF, I THINK, THE POSSIBILITY OF
21 THE APPROACH THAT THEY'RE SUGGESTING. AND WE HAVE
22 THE HEADWAY IN FUNDING, AND I NOTE THAT COMING UP A
23 LITTLE LATER IN THIS DISCUSSION WE'RE GOING TO TALK
24 ABOUT REDUCING. THIS IS QUEST DISCOVERY 2
25 PROVISIONS. WE'RE GOING TO DECREASE RATHER

1 SUBSTANTIALLY, OR THAT'S THE PROPOSAL ANYWAY, THE
2 AMOUNT OF MONEY STARTING NEXT YEAR THAT WE WOULD
3 PROVIDE TO THESE PROGRAMS.

4 DR. DULIEGE: I APPRECIATE THIS. SO WHY
5 CAN'T WE MAKE A MOTION OF THAT, VOTE ON THIS MOTION
6 SEPARATELY; AND THEN, BASED ON WHETHER IT'S ACCEPTED
7 OR NOT, GO BACK TO SENATOR TORRES' PROPOSAL? THAT
8 SEEMS CLEARER FOR US.

9 DR. JUELSGAARD: I THINK THAT'S EXACTLY
10 HOW WE'RE GOING TO PROCEED.

11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I THINK MR. TOCHER HAS A
12 COMMENT HERE.

13 MR. TOCHER: THAT'S RIGHT. THAT'S WHAT I
14 JUST WANT TO CLARIFY. JEFF, THIS WILL BE HANDLED AS
15 A SEPARATE MOTION TO AMEND SENATOR TORRES' MOTION
16 BECAUSE WE HAVE CONFLICTS THAT WE WILL NEED TO TAKE
17 CARE OF IN THIS PARTICULAR MOTION THAT WE CAN TREAT
18 DIFFERENTLY IN THE MORE OMNIBUS MOTION. SO THE VOTE
19 THAT YOU WILL BE ASKED TO TAKE HERE WILL BE TO AMEND
20 SENATOR TORRES' MOTION TO INCLUDE MOVING THE
21 APPLICATION 10525 UP TO TIER I.

22 MR. TORRES: WELL, JUST LET THE RECORD
23 SHOW THAT I PLAN TO VOTE FOR THIS MOTION EVEN THOUGH
24 MR. JUELSGAARD ABSTAINED FROM MY MOTION.

25 DR. DULIEGE: QUICKLY HERE, IF WE VOTE YES

1 ON THIS, THAT WOULD MEAN THAT WE VOTE YES FOR THIS
2 PARTICULAR GRANT TO BE APPROVED?

3 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES. IT'S ONLY
4 RELEVANT TO THIS PARTICULAR GRANT ADDED TO TIER I AS
5 PART OF SENATOR TORRES' MOTION. ADDITIONAL BOARD
6 COMMENT?

7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. LUBIN HAS A COMMENT.

8 DR. LUBIN: SO I JUST WAS CURIOUS IF YOU
9 CAN GIVE US SOME -- BECAUSE IT SOUNDS LIKE THE
10 EPILEPSY PROGRAM IS VERY COMPELLING AND PREVIOUS
11 GRANTS WERE SUPPORTED BY CIRM. WHY WASN'T IT IN THE
12 TOP CATEGORY? CAN YOU GIVE US ANY INFORMATION ABOUT
13 WHY --

14 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: DO WE HAVE A CONFLICT
15 OF INTEREST HERE?

16 DR. LUBIN: I'M ASKING A QUESTION. IT IS
17 A CONFLICT BECAUSE I AM REPRESENTING UCSF. I CAN'T
18 ASK A QUESTION. SORRY.

19 DR. PRIETO: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD I BRIEFLY
20 ASK WHAT WERE THE GWG CONCERNS ON THIS GRANT?

21 DR. SAMBRANO: THERE WERE SOME CONCERNS, I
22 THINK, IN SOME WAYS SIMILAR TO THE OTHER THAT WE
23 DISCUSSED, BUT NO MAJOR ISSUES OR FATAL FLAWS IN
24 THIS PROPOSAL. THERE WERE SOME GRANT STRUCTURE
25 ISSUES IN TERMS OF PROVIDING CLARITY FOR REVIEWERS

1 TO FULLY APPRECIATE OR UNDERSTAND WHAT THE
2 APPLICANTS WERE TRYING TO GET ACROSS.

3 THERE WERE SOME CONCERNS RELATED TO THE
4 APPROACH IN TERMS OF, FOR EXAMPLE, THE PRIMARY
5 ENDPOINT OF SEIZURES AND HAVING A LITTLE MORE
6 DEFINITION FROM THE APPLICANT AS TO EXACTLY WHAT
7 TYPE OF SEIZURES THEY WOULD BE STUDYING IN THEIR
8 MODEL, THE EXTENT TO WHICH THIS WOULD BE SIGNIFICANT
9 OR MEANINGFUL AS YOU LOOK FORWARD TOWARDS THE
10 CLINIC. SO THOSE ARE CONCERNS THAT WERE HIGHLIGHTED
11 BY THE GWG.

12 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: ANY PUBLIC COMMENT ON
13 THIS, ON MR. JUELSGAARD'S MOTION? MARIA, COULD YOU
14 CALL THE ROLL.

15 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNEMARIE DULIEGE.

16 DR. DULIEGE: NO.

17 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.

18 DR. HIGGINS: YES.

19 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.

20 DR. JUELSGAARD: YES.

21 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.

22 DR. MARTIN: YES.

23 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
24 PADILLA.

25 DR. PADILLA: YES.

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
2 MR. PANETTA: YES.
3 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
4 DR. PRIETO: AYE.
5 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
6 DR. QUINT: YES.
7 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
8 MR. ROWLETT: AYE.
9 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
10 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: ABSTAIN.
11 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ABSTAIN.
13 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
14 MR. TORRES: AYE.
15 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
16 MS. WINOKUR: YES.
17 MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.
18 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: NOW WE HAVE SENATOR
19 TORRES' MOTION. DO WE HAVE ANY BOARD DISCUSSION ON
20 SENATOR TORRES' AS AMENDED?
21 MR. TORRES: WOULD YOU RESTATE THE MOTION
22 PLEASE, MR. CHAIRMAN?
23 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SURE. THIS IS A
24 MOTION TO APPROVE ALL THE APPLICATIONS IN TIER I,
25 INCLUDING APPLICATION 10665 AND APPLICATION 10525,

1 FOR FUNDING AND TO NOT APPROVE THE REMAINING
2 APPLICATIONS FOR FUNDING. THAT'S HOW I UNDERSTAND
3 IT. IS THAT CONSISTENT?

4 MR. TOCHER: IF I COULD JUST REMIND FOLKS
5 WHO ARE VOTING ON THE APPLICATION REVIEW
6 SUBCOMMITTEE THAT MAY HAVE A CONFLICT WITH ANY
7 APPLICATION IN EITHER OF THOSE TIERS TO INDICATE
8 THEIR VOTE EXCEPT AS TO THOSE APPLICATIONS WITH
9 WHICH THEY ARE IN CONFLICT. THANKS, JEFF.

10 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SURE.

11 AND SO I'M NOT HEARING ANY BOARD COMMENT.
12 IS THERE PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE AMENDED MOTION?
13 MARIA, COULD YOU CALL THE ROLL.

14 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNEMARIE DULIEGE.

15 DR. DULIEGE: YES.

16 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.

17 DR. HIGGINS: YES.

18 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.

19 DR. JUELSGAARD: YES.

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.

21 DR. MARTIN: YES.

22 MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.

23 DR. PADILLA: YES.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.

25 MR. PANETTA: YES.

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
2 DR. PRIETO: AYE.
3 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
4 DR. QUINT: YES.
5 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
6 MR. ROWLETT: YES.
7 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
8 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.
9 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
10 DR. STEWARD: YES, EXCEPT FOR THOSE WITH
11 WHICH I'M IN CONFLICT.
12 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
14 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
15 MR. TORRES: AYE.
16 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
17 MS. WINOKUR: YES.
18 MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.
19 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU, MARIA. I
20 BELIEVE, CHAIRMAN THOMAS, THAT CONCLUDES THE
21 BUSINESS OF THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE.
22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR.
23 SUPERVISOR. WE'LL TAKE A BRIEF BREAK HERE TO GIVE
24 BETH A BREAK. AND WE'LL RESUME -- AND MR. SENATOR A
25 BREAK TOO -- RESUME IN FIVE TO TEN MINUTES HERE. SO

1 PLEASE HANG ON.

2 (A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

3 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: AGAIN, EVERYBODY, PLEASE
4 TAKE YOUR SEATS. OKAY. WE ARE RESUMING. WE ARE,
5 FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS, GOING TO TAKE ONE MORE ITEM
6 OUT OF ORDER. AND THAT IS ITEM NO. 7, CONSIDERATION
7 OF CONCEPT PLAN CHANGES TO THE DISCOVERY AND
8 TRANSLATION PROGRAMS. WE HAVE A PRESENTATION BY DR.
9 OLSON.

10 DR. OLSON: OKAY. CHAIRMAN THOMAS,
11 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, AND
12 TEAM CIRM, FIRST YOU HAVE JUST VOTED RESEARCH
13 FUNDING FOR 2018 FOR THE DISCOVERY AND TRAN
14 PROGRAMS. THANK YOU.

15 WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS WITH YOU NOW
16 ARE OUR PROPOSALS TO WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO TO
17 MAXIMIZE THAT FUNDING TO BETTER SERVE OUR MISSION,
18 AND THESE DO INVOLVE CONCEPT CHANGES. SO I'D LIKE
19 TO START WITH THE DISCOVERY PROGRAM.

20 SO THE 2018 DISCOVERY BUDGET THAT YOU JUST
21 APPROVED IS \$10 MILLION. AND AS WAS NOTED BY A
22 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC BEFORE AND NOTED HERE AGAIN, IT
23 IS DOWN FROM 52 MILLION IN THE PREVIOUS YEAR. WHAT
24 WE WOULD LIKE TO RECOMMEND IS THAT THAT FUNDING BE
25 FOCUSED ON THE DISC2, THE QUEST PROGRAM; AND THOSE

1 ARE THE APPLICATIONS THAT THE APPLICATION REVIEW
2 SUBCOMMITTEE JUST ACTUALLY FUNDED IN THE LATEST
3 ROUND.

4 THE RATIONALE FOR FOCUSING ON THE QUEST
5 PROGRAM IS IT IS A DIRECT FEED INTO OUR EARLY
6 TRANSLATION PROGRAM. SO THE GOAL IS A CANDIDATE TO
7 MOVE INTO TRANSLATION. AND THAT PROGRAM FUNDS THOSE
8 ACTIVITIES THAT ENABLE THAT TO HAPPEN.

9 IT IS, AS NOTED AGAIN BY GIL, IT IS THE
10 WORKHORSE OF OUR DISCOVERY PROGRAM. IT IS OUR MOST
11 POPULAR PROGRAM. IN THE TWO YEARS THUS FAR THAT
12 PROGRAM HAS BEEN ONGOING, THERE HAVE BEEN FOUR
13 ROUNDS, AND WE HAVE RECEIVED 321 APPLICATIONS WHICH
14 THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP HAS LOOKED AT IN ONE WAY OR
15 ANOTHER.

16 SO IT ALSO LEVERAGES PAST INVESTMENTS IN
17 BASIC RESEARCH. SO YOU HEARD FROM DR. KREIGSTEIN
18 ABOUT HOW THAT CIRM HAD FUNDED SOME BASIC BIOLOGY
19 PROGRAMS THAT ESSENTIALLY LED TO THEM BELIEVING THEY
20 ARE VERY NEAR HAVING A CANDIDATE TO MOVE INTO
21 TRANSLATION AND INTO CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT. SO
22 PREVIOUS CIRM WORK IS THE ONE THAT A LOT OF IT GOES
23 TO QUEST AND SAYING WE'RE READY TO MOVE FORWARD.

24 HOWEVER, WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO IS TO
25 MAXIMIZE THIS BUDGET ALLOCATION OF \$10 MILLION. WE

1 WOULD PROPOSE A REDUCTION IN THE DIRECT PROGRAM COST
2 CAPS TO MAXIMIZE THE BUDGET ALLOCATION AND ALLOW US
3 TO MAINTAIN SOMEWHAT OF A PIPELINE IN THIS AREA. SO
4 THESE ARE JUST A FEW POINTS ABOUT THIS PROGRAM.

5 CURRENTLY, SO IN THE ROUND THAT YOU JUST
6 DID AND FOR THE LAST TWO YEARS, CURRENTLY CAPS
7 DIRECT PROJECT COSTS AS FOLLOWS. IT'S ALWAYS UP TO,
8 AN APPLICANT CAN ALWAYS PROPOSE LESS, BUT IT'S UP TO
9 \$1.4 MILLION FOR THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATE DISCOVERY.
10 IT'S UP TO \$0.7 MILLION FOR A MEDICAL DEVICE,
11 DIAGNOSTIC, OR TOOL AND TECHNOLOGY CANDIDATE
12 DISCOVERY. AND THE RATIONALE FOR THAT DISCREPANCY
13 IS THAT, IN FACT, IT IS GENERALLY MORE EXPENSIVE TO
14 DO THOSE ACTIVITIES TO ACHIEVE A THERAPEUTIC
15 CANDIDATE AS IT IS TO ACHIEVE THOSE ACTIVITIES TO
16 ACHIEVE A DEVICE, A TECHNOLOGY, OR A DIAGNOSTIC
17 PROTOTYPE READY TO MOVE INTO DEVELOPMENT.

18 SO WHAT WE ARE RECOMMENDING FOR
19 CONSIDERATION BY THIS BOARD IS THAT WE REDUCE THE
20 DIRECT PROJECT COST CAPS AS FOLLOWS: FROM 1.4 TO
21 0.9 MILLION FOR THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATE DISCOVERY AND
22 FROM 0.7 TO 0.5 MILLION FOR MEDICAL DEVICE,
23 DIAGNOSTIC, AND TOOL AND TECHNOLOGY CANDIDATE
24 DISCOVERY. THIS IS PRETTY MUCH AN ACROSS-THE-BOARD
25 REDUCTION IN DIRECT PROJECT COSTS OF BETWEEN 30 AND

1 36 PERCENT FOR ALL OF THESE DIFFERENT PROGRAM TYPES.

2 I WILL POINT OUT TO YOU THAT BY AND LARGE
3 THE BULK OF OUR APPLICATIONS OF THOSE 321
4 APPLICATIONS ARE THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATE DISCOVERY.
5 SO, IN FACT, THAT ONE WE'RE PROPOSING A LITTLE BIT
6 MORE OF A REDUCTION.

7 THE RATIONALE IS TO MAXIMIZE THIS REDUCED
8 DISCOVERY BUDGET WHILE MAINTAINING A QUALITY
9 PIPELINE. SO WE ANTICIPATE THAT WE THINK THAT WE
10 CAN FUND WITH THESE KINDS OF CAPS BETWEEN SEVEN AND
11 EIGHT PROJECTS NEXT YEAR. SO IT KEEPS OUR PIPELINE
12 GOING, AND IT ALLOWS QUALITY APPLICATIONS TO
13 CONTINUE TO MOVE FORWARD.

14 I'D THEN ALSO LIKE TO TALK ABOUT ANOTHER
15 PROPOSED CONCEPT CHANGE, AND THIS IS TO THE TRAN
16 PROGRAM. SO YOU'VE HEARD ABOUT TRAN AND CLIN1 ARE
17 THE VALLEY OF DEATH. THIS IS THE STAGE THAT IS VERY
18 DIFFICULT TO GET RESEARCH FUNDING. SO TRAN IS
19 ESSENTIALLY EARLY DEVELOPMENT. THE ENTRY IS A
20 CANDIDATE THAT'S READY TO MOVE INTO DEVELOPMENT.
21 THE GOAL OF THE PROGRAM IS A PRE-IND MEETING, WHICH
22 IS WHAT YOU HOLD BEFORE YOU DO YOUR PIVOTAL STUDIES
23 AND READY YOURSELF TO MOVE INTO THE CLINIC. SO WE
24 CONSIDER THIS A PRETTY CRITICAL PROGRAM.

25 THE TRAN CONCEPT PLAN CURRENTLY DOES NOT

1 ALLOW NON-CALIFORNIA APPLICANTS TO APPLY FOR FUNDING
2 TO CONDUCT RESEARCH IN CALIFORNIA. THIS CHANGE TO
3 THE CONCEPT PLAN WAS IMPLEMENTED BY THE BOARD IN
4 DECEMBER LAST YEAR FOR BOTH THE DISC AND THE TRAN
5 PROGRAMS. WHAT WE ARE ASKING THE BOARD TO
6 RECONSIDER NOW IS FOR THE TRAN PROGRAM ONLY TO
7 REINSTATE ELIGIBILITY FOR NON-CALIFORNIA
8 ORGANIZATIONS.

9 THE RATIONALE FOR THAT IS WE WOULD LIKE TO
10 INCREASE OUR POOL OF APPLICANTS AND, THEREFORE, THE
11 OPPORTUNITY FOR MORE QUALITY AWARDS. SO I WOULD
12 POINT OUT THAT THIS YEAR, 2017, COMPARED TO LAST
13 YEAR, 2016, WE HAD A 33-PERCENT REDUCTION IN THE
14 NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS THAT WENT IN FRONT OF THE
15 GRANTS WORKING GROUP, AND WE HAD A 50-PERCENT
16 REDUCTION IN THE NUMBER OF AWARDS MADE COMPARED TO
17 2016. SO WE THINK THAT BY OPENING IT UP WE WILL GET
18 MORE APPLICATIONS AND THEN PRESUMABLY POTENTIALLY
19 MORE QUALITY PROGRAMS TO FUND.

20 AS CIRM RECOGNITION HAS GROWN, I'M NOT
21 SURE YOU'RE AWARE, BUT AS YOU CAN PERHAPS TELL FROM
22 DR. MILLAN'S PRESENTATION, CIRM'S BRAND, IF YOU LIKE
23 IT IN TALKING MARKETING, IS ACTUALLY BECOMING QUITE
24 WELL-KNOWN, AND SO WE'RE GETTING A LOT OF EXTERNAL
25 INTEREST IN THIS PROGRAM AS WELL.

1 THEN, IN ADDITION, FOR THOSE OUT-OF-STATE
2 APPLICATIONS, IT WOULD BE AN OPPORTUNITY TO ENGAGE
3 WITH US EARLY IN THE DEVELOPMENT PIPELINE IN ORDER
4 TO HELP THEM BE MORE SUCCESSFUL AT THE LATER STAGES
5 OF CIRM'S FUNDING WHERE THEY ARE ELIGIBLE. SO WE
6 WOULD ALSO, AS WITH THE CLIN PROGRAMS, THE CIRM
7 FUNDING WOULD ONLY BE FOR RESEARCH ACTIVITIES THAT
8 WERE CONDUCTED IN CALIFORNIA OR THAT ARE DIRECTLY
9 REQUIRED TO SUPPORT THE RESEARCH CONDUCTED IN
10 CALIFORNIA. SO, AGAIN, WE FOCUS ON FUNDING RESEARCH
11 THAT'S DONE IN CALIFORNIA.

12 SO THE REQUESTED ACTIONS OF THE BOARD IS
13 WE WOULD REQUEST THAT YOU APPROVE THE PROPOSED
14 AMENDMENT TO THE DISC CONCEPT PLAN TO ESSENTIALLY
15 PUT IN CAPS, LOWERED CAPS, ON THE DIRECT PROJECT
16 COSTS AND TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE
17 TRAN CONCEPT PLAN, WHICH WOULD BE TO ALLOW
18 OUT-OF-STATE APPLICANTS TO BE ELIGIBLE TO APPLY.

19 I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU
20 MAY HAVE AND THANK YOU.

21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO BEFORE WE PROCEED TO
22 ANY MOTIONS, ARE THERE QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE
23 BOARD?

24 DR. BERGLUND: SO I'M WONDERING, YOU
25 MENTIONED THERE WAS A 50-PERCENT REDUCTION OF FUNDED

1 PROPOSALS IN THE TRAN CONCEPT. CAN YOU TELL US HOW
2 MANY WERE FUNDED FROM OUTSIDE CALIFORNIA IN THE YEAR
3 2016?

4 DR. OLSON: FROM OUTSIDE OF CALIFORNIA, IN
5 2016, ONE WAS FUNDED.

6 DR. BERGLUND: AND IN 2017? AND BEFORE --

7 DR. OLSON: THEY WEREN'T ELIGIBLE IN 2017.

8 DR. BERGLUND: OKAY. SO THE ONE.

9 DR. OLSON: SO ONE IN 2016 WAS FUNDED.

10 DR. BERGLUND: OUT OF HOW MANY?

11 DR. OLSON: OUT OF ABOUT FOUR APPLICANTS,
12 BUT, AGAIN, THEY DIDN'T HAVE ANY OPPORTUNITY TO
13 REAPPLY. AND AS I NOTED, OUR NAME RECOGNITION IS
14 GROWING AND EXTERNAL INTEREST IS GROWING AMONG SOME
15 PEOPLE THAT WE ACTUALLY ARE EXCITED ABOUT.

16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OTHER QUESTIONS OF DR.
17 OLSON?

18 MS. WINOKUR: I WOULD ASK THAT IN ANY
19 MOTION THAT WE CHANGE THE WORDING ON THE TRAN
20 CONCEPT PLAN IT'S AVAILABLE TO OUT OF CALIFORNIANS
21 BUT FOR RESEARCH IN CALIFORNIA.

22 DR. OLSON: YES. AS I NOTE HERE, CIRM
23 FUNDS WOULD ONLY FUND RESEARCH -- OH, YOU WANT IT TO
24 BE REQUIRED AS PART OF THE MOTION. OKAY.

25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: LET'S TAKE THESE ONE AT

1 A TIME HERE. YOU HAVE ANOTHER COMMENT, DR. DULIEGE?

2 DR. DULIEGE: JUST WANTED TO MAKE A
3 COMMENT. I REALLY APPRECIATE YOUR PROPOSAL BOTH
4 WAYS. ON ONE HAND, IT'S FINANCIALLY MORE
5 CONSERVATIVE AND WE NEED TO DO SO; AND ON THE OTHER
6 HAND, IT WILL ALLOW TO INCREASE THE POOL OF HIGH
7 QUALITY PROPOSALS. SO THAT MAKES A LOT OF SENSE TO
8 ME.

9 DR. OLSON: THANK YOU.

10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANY QUESTIONS? WE HAVE
11 A COUPLE MORE HERE. DR. STEWARD AND THEN MR.
12 JUELSGAARD.

13 DR. STEWARD: SO JUST TO GET A SENSE OF
14 WHAT THIS MEANS IN TERMS OF PERCENT FUNDING, HOW
15 MANY OF THE DISC APPLICATIONS HAVE WE BEEN GETTING
16 OVER THE PAST COUPLE OF YEARS? DO YOU HAVE A SENSE
17 OF THAT?

18 DR. OLSON: OF THE QUEST APPLICATIONS, WE
19 HAVE RECEIVED 321 IN THE FOUR ROUNDS THAT HAVE
20 OCCURRED. NOW, AS YOU RECALL, THE GRANTS WORKING
21 GROUP GOES THROUGH A TWO-STAGE REVIEW PROCESS UNDER
22 THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES. YES, IT IS OUR MOST POPULAR
23 PROGRAM.

24 DR. STEWARD: AND OF THOSE FOUR REVIEWS,
25 WAS THAT ALL IN -- THAT'S SPREAD OUT OVER, WHAT, TWO

1 YEARS?

2 DR. OLSON: YES. THOSE FOUR REVIEWS WERE
3 OVER A TWO-YEAR PERIOD THAT THIS PROGRAM HAS BEEN IN
4 OPERATION.

5 DR. STEWARD: SO JUST TO POINT OUT THE
6 OBVIOUS, WHEN YOU DO THE MATH, THAT MEANS ABOUT 150
7 PER YEAR. YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT FUNDING MAYBE EIGHT
8 TO TEN. SO OUR SUCCESS RATE FOR FUNDED PROPOSALS IS
9 GOING TO BE LESS THAN NIH BY A GOOD BIT, WHICH IS --

10 DR. OLSON: YOU KNOW, I MEAN --

11 DR. STEWARD: I'M JUST POINTING IT OUT.

12 DR. OLSON: AND WE ALL RECOGNIZE THAT, AND
13 THERE JUST IS THE REALITY OF WHERE WE ARE IN OUR
14 FUNDING CYCLE.

15 DR. STEWARD: I TOTALLY APPRECIATE THAT.
16 I WANTED TO SAY IT OUT LOUD, THOUGH, SO THAT WE
17 UNDERSTAND WHERE WE ARE. AND I JUST ALSO WANT TO
18 SAY OUT LOUD THAT I THINK THAT WE DO NEED TO PAY
19 VERY CAREFUL ATTENTION TO THAT ENTRY STAGE BECAUSE I
20 THINK THERE ARE STILL SOME GREAT THINGS TO BE
21 DISCOVERED AND TO COME INTO THE PIPELINE. IT'S
22 REALLY UNFORTUNATE THAT WE'RE CLOSING DOWN THIS
23 VALVE RIGHT NOW. I UNDERSTAND THE NEED FOR IT, BUT
24 I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT OUT LOUD. THANK YOU.

25 DR. JUELSGAARD: DR. OLSON, I'D LIKE TO

1 JUST TURN TO THE TRAN PRESENTATION. SO YOU
2 INDICATED THAT RECENTLY WE VOTED, THIS GROUP VOTED
3 TO NOT HAVE NON-CALIFORNIA INSTITUTIONS PARTICIPATE,
4 AND NOW WE'RE RECOMMENDING TO TURN RIGHT AROUND AND
5 DO THE OPPOSITE. REMIND ME, WHAT WERE THE ORIGINAL
6 RECOMMENDATIONS GOING BACK TO THE VOTE TO NOT ALLOW
7 NON-CALIFORNIA ORGANIZATIONS TO PARTICIPATE? WHAT
8 WAS THE RATIONALE THAT WENT BEHIND DOING THAT?

9 DR. OLSON: THANK YOU FOR THAT QUESTION.
10 IN THE FIRST YEAR, IN 2016, WHICH WAS THE FIRST YEAR
11 OF THE TRAN PROGRAM, WE HAD 51 APPLICATIONS THAT
12 WERE REVIEWED, AND 12 WERE FUNDED. THIS YEAR, 2017,
13 WE ONLY HAD 34 APPLICATIONS THAT WE RECEIVED, SO A
14 REDUCTION, 33 PERCENT, AND WE ONLY FUNDED SIX. SO A
15 50-PERCENT REDUCTION IN THE NUMBER OF AWARDS.

16 SO BASICALLY WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO IS
17 BROADEN THE APPLICANT POOL WITH THE GOAL OF MORE
18 HIGH QUALITY, FUNDABLE APPLICATIONS.

19 DR. GASSON: I JUST WANTED TO FOLLOW UP ON
20 WHAT DR. STEWARD SAID. AND I UNDERSTAND WHY WE'RE
21 DOING WHAT WE'RE DOING, AND I FULLY SUPPORT IT. BUT
22 IN ADDITION TO THE SUCCESS RATE BEING LOW, THOSE OF
23 US WHO HAVE BEEN ON STUDY SECTIONS FOR NIH REALIZE
24 HOW DIFFICULT IT IS TO PICK THE RIGHT, IF YOU WILL,
25 TEN APPLICATIONS OUT OF A HUNDRED OR MORE. AND

1 UNFORTUNATELY I'M MAKING A COMMENT WITHOUT HAVING A
2 SOLUTION OR A PROPOSAL, WHICH I HATE TO DO, BUT I'M
3 JUST FOLLOWING UP ON WHAT DR. STEWARD SAID.

4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OTHER QUESTIONS OR
5 COMMENTS BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD ON THE PHONE BEFORE
6 WE PROCEED TO ANY MOTIONS? HEARING NONE, DO I HEAR
7 A MOTION TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE
8 DISC CONCEPT PLAN?

9 DR. MARTIN: SO MOVED.

10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY DR. MARTIN.
11 SECONDED BY --

12 DR. HIGGINS: SECOND.

13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: -- DR. HIGGINS. ANY
14 DISCUSSION BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? ANYBODY ON THE
15 PHONE? ANY PUBLIC COMMENT? HEARING NONE, WE'LL
16 PROCEED TO A VOICE VOTE PLUS ROLL OF THOSE ON THE
17 PHONE. ALL IN THE ROOM IN FAVOR OF THIS MOTION
18 PLEASE SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE. OPPOSED? ABSTAIN?
19 MARIA, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL OF THOSE ON THE PHONE.

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.

21 DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.

22 MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.

23 DR. BOXER: YES.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: JACK DIXON.

25 DR. DIXON: NO.

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. JOE
2 PANETTA.
3 MR. PANETTA: YES.
4 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
5 MR. ROWLETT: YES.
6 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
7 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.
8 MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
9 DR. VUORI: YES.
10 MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.
11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. DO I HAVE A
12 MOTION TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE TRAN
13 CONCEPT PLAN?
14 DR. HIGGINS: SO MOVED.
15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY DR. HIGGINS.
16 SECONDED BY --
17 DR. PRIETO: SECOND.
18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: -- DR. PRIETO.
19 ANY COMMENTS BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD
20 EITHER IN THE ROOM OR ON THE PHONE? ANY PUBLIC
21 COMMENT? WE DO HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT.
22 DR. CHIU: I TOTALLY UNDERSTAND THAT CIRM
23 WANTS TO FUND THE VERY BEST PROPOSALS TO THE EXTENT
24 POSSIBLE. I ALSO WANT TO REMEMBER THAT YOU MADE A
25 GREAT EFFORT TO RECRUIT REALLY GREAT SCIENTISTS INTO

1 CALIFORNIA, WHICH MAKES THE STEM CELL COMMUNITY HERE
2 FANTASTIC. AND TO SUPPORT THEM, TRAN IS SUCH A
3 POWERFUL MECHANISM TO HAVE THEIR IDEAS MOVE INTO THE
4 CLINIC. AND THIS IS A PROTECTED SPACE FOR THOSE WHO
5 CHOSE TO COME TO CALIFORNIA AND CALIFORNIA
6 INSTITUTIONS TO DO THE WORK IN CALIFORNIA AND MAKE
7 THIS STATE THE EPICENTER OF STEM CELL THERAPY.

8 I JUST FEEL THAT BY OPENING IT EVEN THIS
9 WAY TO THOSE OUTSIDE, THAT YOU ARE REDUCING THE
10 POSSIBILITIES FOR CALIFORNIA SCIENTISTS. THIS IS A
11 VERY TOUGH MECHANISM, AND CIRM STAFF GIVES A LOT OF
12 HELP TO MAKE SURE PEOPLE ARE MOVING IN THE RIGHT
13 DIRECTION. AND GIVEN THE TIGHT FUNDING NOW, I JUST
14 FEEL IN MY HEART THAT PERHAPS IT SHOULD STILL BE
15 CONSERVED FOR CALIFORNIAN SCIENTISTS AND
16 INVESTIGATORS AND COMPANIES. AND THAT IF THEY
17 REALLY WANT TO DO THIS, THEY SHOULD MOVE HERE AND
18 NOT OPEN THE DOOR TO THOSE OUTSIDE JUST BECAUSE THEY
19 WANT TO DO A FEW EXPERIMENTS OR USE A FEW FACILITIES
20 INSIDE CALIFORNIA. THAT'S JUST MY PERSONAL VIEW.

21 THANK YOU.

22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ADDITIONAL PUBLIC
23 COMMENT?

24 DR. LORING: THANKS, ARLENE. THAT'S
25 EXACTLY WHAT I WAS THINKING. I WANT TO SECOND YOUR

1 SUGGESTION.

2 CALIFORNIA, THIS WAS ALWAYS DESIGNED TO
3 MAKE CALIFORNIA THE CENTER OF STEM CELL THERAPY.
4 AND I KNOW A LOT OF PEOPLE OUTSIDE OF CALIFORNIA
5 WOULD LOVE TO USE CIRM AS A FUNDING SOURCE, AS AN
6 ADDITIONAL FUNDING SOURCE, BUT I THINK THAT WHAT HAS
7 MADE CIRM SO GREAT AND CALIFORNIA SO GREAT AT THIS
8 IS THE RESTRICTION TO PEOPLE WHO WANTED TO MOVE TO
9 CALIFORNIA IF THEY REALLY, REALLY WANTED A GRANT
10 LIKE THIS. THANKS.

11 DR. BLUMENTHAL: I'LL SIMPLY SECOND ALL OF
12 THOSE COMMENTS WHICH I THINK ARE REALLY GOOD.

13 DR. OLSON: SO I'D JUST LIKE TO MAKE TWO
14 COMMENTS. MY FIRST COMMENT IS THAT LAST YEAR, 2017,
15 THE PROGRAM HAD A BUDGET THIS BOARD APPROVED FOR THE
16 2017 TRAN BUDGET, \$45 MILLION. THE BOARD MADE --
17 THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP RECOMMENDED AND THE BOARD
18 APPROVED AWARDS TOTALING \$24 MILLION, HALF OF THE
19 ALLOCATED BUDGET.

20 THE SECOND POINT I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE, AS
21 THE BOARD, THE BOARD ALWAYS HAS THE PROGRAMMATIC
22 OPPORTUNITY TO PREFER IN A COMPETITIVE SITUATION A
23 CALIFORNIA ORGANIZATION OVER A NON-CALIFORNIA
24 ORGANIZATION. THAT IS A PROGRAMMATIC CONSIDERATION
25 THAT FALLS RIGHT WITHIN THE BOARD'S BAILIWICK.

1 DR. MALKAS: CAN I SPEAK?

2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OF COURSE. DR. MALKAS.

3 DR. MALKAS: SO WHAT DO WE TELL THE
4 CITIZENS OF CALIFORNIA?

5 DR. OLSON: WE TELL THEM THAT WE ARE
6 FUNDING ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED IN CALIFORNIA. THAT'S
7 WHAT WE TELL THEM, THAT THE ACTIVITIES FUNDED ARE
8 CONDUCTED IN CALIFORNIA, FUND CALIFORNIA
9 INVESTIGATORS, CALIFORNIA SUPPORT PEOPLE, CALIFORNIA
10 INSTITUTIONS. SO THAT'S WHAT WE TELL THEM.

11 AND ON THE BROADER LEVEL, WE TELL THEM
12 THAT THEY'RE FOR THERAPIES THAT WE HOPE WILL BENEFIT
13 PATIENTS, WILL MOVE FORWARD AND WILL BENEFIT
14 PATIENTS EVERYWHERE.

15 MR. TOCHER: I JUST WANT ADD A LITTLE
16 ADDITIONAL DETAIL TO PAT'S ANSWER. AS THE LANGUAGE
17 INDICATES, AND THIS IS SIMPLY RESTORING THE LANGUAGE
18 THAT WAS PART OF THE 2016 PROGRAM WHEN WE ALLOWED
19 OUT-OF-STATE APPLICANTS, THE ALLOWABLE COSTS FOR A
20 NON-CALIFORNIA ORGANIZATION INCLUDE THE COST OF
21 RESEARCH ACTIVITIES THAT ARE CONDUCTED IN THE STATE,
22 BUT ALSO FOR THE SHARE OF COSTS OF RESEARCH
23 ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED OUTSIDE OF CALIFORNIA THAT ARE
24 DIRECTLY REQUIRED TO SUPPORT THE CLINICAL RESEARCH
25 THAT'S CONDUCTED IN CALIFORNIA.

1 SO WE'VE USED, AS AN EXAMPLE, THE CASE
2 WHERE IF THERE WAS AN ANIMAL STUDY BEING CONDUCTED
3 IN CALIFORNIA, THE STUDY ITSELF WOULD BE PAID FOR
4 BECAUSE THOSE RESEARCH ACTIVITIES ARE CONDUCTED IN
5 THE STATE. BUT IN ADDITION, IF THERE WERE CELLS
6 THAT NEED TO BE MANUFACTURED FOR USE IN THE TRIAL,
7 IT WOULD BE A PRO RATA SHARE OF THOSE CELLS AND THE
8 COST FOR THOSE CELLS THAT WOULD BE USED IN THE
9 CALIFORNIA STUDY.

10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MR. SUPERVISOR, I
11 UNDERSTAND YOU HAVE A COMMENT.

12 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YEAH. I ACTUALLY TEND
13 TO AGREE WITH THE PUBLIC COMMENTERS. I THINK IT'S
14 IMPORTANT THAT THE FUNDING STAY IN CALIFORNIA TO THE
15 LARGEST DEGREE POSSIBLE. AND IF IT WAS POSSIBLE TO
16 MAKE AN AMENDMENT TO HAVE THIS LIMITED TO
17 CALIFORNIA, I WOULD MAKE THAT MOTION.

18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MR. TOCHER.

19 MR. TOCHER: WE ALREADY HAVE A MOTION ON
20 THE TABLE TO APPROVE THE CHANGES, AND THAT WAS MADE
21 BY DR. HIGGINS AND SECONDED BY DR. PRIETO.

22 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SORRY. I APOLOGIZE.

23 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. MARTIN, DO YOU HAVE
24 A COMMENT?

25 I WOULD JUST LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT MYSELF

1 HERE, WHICH IS THAT, IN MAKING THIS ELIGIBLE TO
2 OUT-OF-STATE APPLICANTS WHO HAVE COMPONENTS THAT ARE
3 WITHIN CALIFORNIA, THIS IS BRINGING IT INTO
4 CONSISTENCY WITH OUR CLIN2 PROGRAMS WHICH HAVE THAT
5 AVAILABILITY.

6 SECONDLY, I WOULD SAY HERE THAT GIVEN THE
7 TREND THAT DR. OLSON HAS IDENTIFIED AND THE MISSION
8 OF CIRM TO FUND THE BEST POSSIBLE PROJECTS, IF THERE
9 HAPPENED TO BE SOME FROM OUT OF STATE THAT HAVE
10 LEGITIMATE CALIFORNIA COMPONENTS, THAT WE CAN FUND
11 IF RECOMMENDED BY THE GWG. IN MY PERSONAL OPINION,
12 TO ADVANCE OUR MISSION, THAT IS A GOOD THING TO DO.

13 DR. STEWARD.

14 DR. STEWARD: TWO COMMENTS. I DO THINK
15 THIS IS DIFFERENT THAN THE CLINICAL PROGRAMS. IN
16 THE CLINICAL PROGRAMS, YOU CAN IMAGINE SITUATIONS
17 WHERE IT WOULD BE VERY DIFFICULT TO PUT TOGETHER A
18 CLINICAL TRIAL BECAUSE THE NUMBER OF PATIENTS IS
19 SMALL, AND SO YOU'RE GOING TO NEED TO RECRUIT
20 EXPERTISE FROM OUTSIDE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO
21 ACTUALLY MOVE THE TRIAL ALONG. I THINK THAT'S
22 HARDER TO MAKE IN THE TRAN COMPONENT OF THE WORK
23 BECAUSE IT'S JUST A LOT SIMPLER BECAUSE OF WHAT
24 YOU'RE TRYING TO DO. THAT'S ONE COMMENT.

25 THE SECOND COMMENT IS THAT, ALTHOUGH I

1 UNDERSTAND THE EXPLANATION THAT THE MONEY THAT WOULD
2 BE SPENT WOULD BE SPENT IN CALIFORNIA EVEN THOUGH IT
3 WAS AWARDED SOMEWHERE ELSE, I CAN'T EVEN EXPLAIN
4 THAT TO MYSELF. AND I THINK THAT'S A HARD CONCEPT
5 TO EXPLAIN TO THE CITIZENS OF CALIFORNIA EVEN THOUGH
6 IT'S SORT OF UNDERSTANDABLE WHEN YOU REALLY DIG
7 DEEP.

8 SO THOSE ARE THINGS THAT BOTHER ME ABOUT
9 THIS. AND JUST THE OTHER THING I'LL ASK A
10 PROCEDURAL QUESTION, WHICH IS THAT IF WE WANTED TO
11 MOVE TO JEFF'S MOTION, THEN I GUESS THE OPTION WOULD
12 BE TO VOTE NO ON THIS AND TO TAKE UP A SECOND
13 MOTION, WHICH IS WHERE I'M INCLINED TO GO RIGHT NOW.

14 MR. TORRES: OR YOU CAN PROVIDE A
15 SUBSTITUTE.

16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: BEFORE WE ASK MR. TOCHER
17 TO ADDRESS THAT COMMENT AND THE VARIETY OF OPTIONS
18 THAT HAVE BEEN PUT ON THE TABLE HERE, DR. OLSON,
19 WITH RESPECT TO DR. STEWARD'S QUESTION ABOUT SORT OF
20 HOW THAT WOULD WORK, WRAP HIS HANDS AROUND HOW YOU
21 COULD HAVE A TRAN WITH A CALIFORNIA COMPONENT,
22 PERHAPS YOU COULD ADDRESS THAT JUST SO THE BOARD
23 WOULD UNDERSTAND A FOR INSTANCE OF HOW THAT MIGHT
24 WORK.

25 DR. OLSON: OKAY. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, IN AN

1 AWARD THAT WAS -- AN EXAMPLE OF AN AWARD WOULD BE
2 YOU CONTRACT WITH A LAB AT ANY OF OUR MANY GREAT
3 INSTITUTIONS TO DO ANIMAL MODELS, YOU CAN TRACK TO
4 DO MANUFACTURING AT ANY OF OUR GMP FACILITIES WITHIN
5 THE STATE, YOU HAVE A COLLABORATOR WHO'S AN EXPERT
6 IN CERTAIN MECHANISTIC AND/OR MODELS, AND SO THAT
7 WOULD BE HOW IT WOULD WORK. THOSE ARE EXAMPLES.

8 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I'D LIKE MR. TOCHER TO
9 RESPOND PROCEDURALLY HERE HOW WE WOULD GO.

10 MR. TOCHER: IT SOUNDS LIKE THE FRIENDLY
11 OR UNFRIENDLY AMENDMENT WOULD BE THE OPPOSITE OF
12 WHAT THE MOTION IS THAT'S ON THE TABLE. I WOULD
13 RECOMMEND YOU JUST PROCEED, UNLESS IT'S WITHDRAWN,
14 THAT YOU JUST PROCEED WITH THE MOTION THAT'S ON THE
15 TABLE. AND IF IT IS DEFEATED, THEN MAKE A
16 SUBSEQUENT MOTION.

17 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. DR. MILLAN
18 AND THEN WE'LL GET TO A COUPLE BOARD MEMBER
19 COMMENTS.

20 DR. MILLAN: SO I JUST WANTED TO ADD TO
21 WHAT DR. OLSON'S RESPONSE WAS TO YOUR QUESTION,
22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS OR DR. STEWARD, IN TERMS OF
23 SCENARIOS.

24 SO AS I HAD MENTIONED IN MY TALK, WE WERE
25 AT AN FDA/NIH WORKSHOP LAST WEEK. AND ONE OF THE

1 BIG CHALLENGES IS STANDARDIZATION OF THINGS SUCH AS
2 HOW DO YOU STANDARDIZE IPSC PRODUCTION, FOR
3 INSTANCE, OR HOW DO YOU GET SOME OF THESE
4 DISCOVERIES SCALED UP, AND HOW DO WE GET AGREEMENT
5 IN THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY OF WHAT MAKES A PRODUCT
6 READY TO GO INTO PATIENTS. AND THOSE TYPES OF
7 CHALLENGES THAT WE FACE WE CAN'T ADDRESS IN A SINGLE
8 STATE. AND THAT'S NO. 1.

9 NO. 2, AS THIS FIELD IS MATURING, WE HAVE
10 BEEN IN CONVERSATIONS WITH A VARIETY OF
11 INVESTIGATORS OUTSIDE OF CALIFORNIA THAT HAVE REAL
12 POTENTIALLY TRANSFORMATIVE TECHNOLOGIES THAT THEY
13 CAN BRING TO BEAR TO THE PROBLEMS THAT WE HAVE,
14 INCLUDING BRINGING THEIR IP IN SO THAT IT CAN BE
15 DEVELOPED IN CALIFORNIA AND ACTUALLY DRAW FROM THE
16 EXPERTISE IN CALIFORNIA IN TERMS OF MANUFACTURING
17 AND THE CONDUCT OF THESE CLINICAL TRIALS AS WELL AS
18 CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES.

19 SO IT'S NOT THAT WE'RE OPENING UP AND
20 WE'RE LOSING THINGS. THE OPPORTUNITY HERE IS TO
21 GROW WHAT WE'VE ALREADY BUILT. AND I THINK IT'S SO
22 CRITICAL AT THIS STAGE AND WHERE WE ARE IN THIS
23 FIELD THAT WE PUT ALL THE FIREPOWER BEHIND IT. WE
24 HAVE AN AMAZING ECOSYSTEM WITHIN CALIFORNIA NOW THAT
25 WE'VE BUILT UP, BUT THERE'S MORE THAT NEEDS TO COME

1 IN. AND THIS IS GOING TO TAKE ALL THE EXPERTISE
2 AROUND THE COUNTRY AND INTERNATIONALLY TO TACKLE
3 THESE PROBLEMS.

4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WE HAVE A NUMBER OF
5 COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. START WITH DR.
6 MELMED.

7 DR. MELMED: I CAN FORESEE THIS DEBATE
8 BEING A DISTRACTION WHEN WE'RE GOING FOR OUR BALLOT
9 MEASURE. I THINK WE'RE FRAUGHT WITH UNNECESSARY
10 DISTRACTION. AND IF WE CAN'T EXPLAIN IT TO
11 OURSELVES IN THIS ROOM, IT'S GOING TO BE SO
12 CHALLENGING AND UNFORTUNATE TO GET INTO THE PUBLIC
13 DEBATE. SO THAT'S WHY I THINK THAT I WOULD SUPPORT
14 THE REVERSE RESOLUTION.

15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. MARTIN.

16 DR. MARTIN: I WASN'T HERE, BUT I CONSIDER
17 THE 2016 CLOSING TO A RESTRICTION TO CALIFORNIA
18 APPLICANTS AN EXPERIMENT. AND I THINK THE RESULTS
19 OF THE EXPERIMENT YOU GAVE US, PAT, AND THAT IS THAT
20 THERE WAS NOT A FIXED PERCENTAGE OF THE APPLICANTS
21 WHO WERE FUNDED AND THERE WAS NOT A FIXED
22 EXPENDITURE FOR THE APPLICANTS. IT WAS A QUALITY
23 JUDGMENT THAT REDUCED THE NUMBER OF APPLICANTS TO 50
24 PERCENT. AND, THEREFORE, NONE OF THE CALIFORNIA
25 APPLICANTS WERE DISADVANTAGED BY ITS BEING OPEN

1 PRIOR TO THAT OR BY CLOSING IT OUTSIDE. THEY WERE
2 NOT ADVANTAGED AT ALL. AND SO IT'S JUST A MATTER OF
3 TAKING, AS I UNDERSTAND IT NOW, AND I THINK THE
4 EXPERIMENT SEEMS TO BE -- THE CONCLUSION IS PRETTY
5 CLEAR, THAT BY OPENING IT BACK UP TO CALIFORNIA, WE
6 HAVE MORE QUALITY APPLICANTS TO FUND WITHOUT
7 DISADVANTAGING ON THE RESULTS ANY CALIFORNIA
8 APPLICANT.

9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DIANE.

10 MS. WINOKUR: OVER A PERIOD OF SEVERAL
11 YEARS NOW, THERE'S BEEN AN ILLUMINATING CHANGE IN
12 THE WAY RESEARCHERS OPERATE. WHEN I FIRST GOT
13 INVOLVED IN THIS, MOST OF THE RESEARCH WAS DONE IN
14 ONE LAB WITH ONE GROUP OF PEOPLE AND THEY WERE VERY
15 CAREFUL NOT TO LET THEIR NEIGHBORS IN THE NEXT LAB
16 KNOW WHAT THEY WERE DOING. THAT DESCRIBES IT. AND
17 THAT'S NO LONGER THE CASE. COLLABORATION IS THE
18 NAME OF THE GAME. AND MOST RESEARCH, WHATEVER IT'S
19 IN OR WHEREVER IT'S BEING DONE, IS DONE
20 COLLABORATIVELY. AND COLLABORATIVELY IN OUR DAY AND
21 AGE MEANS ACROSS STATE LINES, ACROSS COUNTRY LINES,
22 CITY LINES. IT'S JUST THE REALITY.

23 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. HIGGINS.

24 DR. HIGGINS: MAYBE MORE CRUDELY I'D JUST
25 LIKE TO EXPAND DR. MILLAN'S AND DR. OLSON'S POINT

1 THAT IF WE AS CALIFORNIANS WHO GO OUTSIDE THE STATE
2 WITH OUR CHECKBOOK IN OUR HAND, WE'RE GOING TO GET A
3 BETTER AUDIENCE THAN IF WE'RE JUST GOING OUT AND
4 TRYING TO MAKE FRIENDS. I THINK MONEY CAN BE USED
5 HERE AS A TOOL TO HELP GAIN THE RESOURCES AND THE
6 THINGS THAT WE NEED THAT WOULD COME FROM OUT OF
7 STATE. SO I WOULD SUPPORT THIS SELFISHLY BECAUSE
8 IT'S GIVING US MORE LEVERAGE TO BRING TECHNOLOGY AND
9 RESOURCES IN THE STATE.

10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. PRIETO.

11 DR. PRIETO: I DO UNDERSTAND AND
12 APPRECIATE THOSE ARGUMENTS, BUT I'M CONCERNED ABOUT
13 THE OPTICS OF THIS AND THE ASSURANCES THAT WE GAVE
14 TO THE PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA WHEN THIS INITIATIVE WAS
15 PASSED. I'M NOT SURE HOW I'M GOING TO VOTE ON THIS
16 MOTION YET, BUT IT DOES RAISE SOME CONCERNS.

17 CALIFORNIA IS A BIG PLACE WITH A BIG
18 ECONOMY AND VERY ROBUST RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURE,
19 RESEARCH AND CLINICAL AND BASICALLY ANYTHING YOU CAN
20 NAME, WHICH WE CONTRIBUTE SIGNIFICANTLY TO. I DON'T
21 WANT TO LOSE SIGHT OF THAT.

22 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES. SO, ONCE AGAIN,
23 I COME TO THE REALITY THAT WE'RE FACING LIMITED
24 RESOURCES. AND SO THERE'S AGREEMENT WHICH WE CAN
25 CONCENTRATE IN CALIFORNIA AND TO MAINTAIN, TO EXPAND

1 OUR INTELLECTUAL INFRASTRUCTURE, I THINK, THE MORE
2 IMPORTANT THAT IS.

3 BUT THE SECOND THING IS JUST, NOT TO BE
4 CRUDELY POLITICAL, BUT WHEN THERE WAS THE TOBACCO
5 TAX FOR CANCER INITIATIVE A FEW YEARS BACK, ONE OF
6 THE THINGS THAT BROUGHT THAT DOWN AND CAUSED IT TO
7 FAIL WAS THAT THEY WERE FUNDING RESEARCHERS OUTSIDE
8 OF CALIFORNIA. I STILL REMEMBER THE TV AD THAT RAN.
9 SO I JUST -- PEOPLE EXPECTED PROP 71 TO BE FOR
10 CALIFORNIA. I'M IN AGREEMENT WITH. I THINK WE
11 HAVE --

12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I'M SORRY. WE'RE LOSING
13 YOU THERE.

14 DR. DIXON: I SAID I'D QUOTE THE PREVIOUS
15 SPEAKER. I THINK YOU MADE A PROMISE TO THE PEOPLE
16 IN THE STATE, AND FLIPPING BACK AND FORTH, I CANNOT
17 HELP BUT THINK WILL SIMPLY MUDDY THE WATER HERE.
18 AND WE SPENT A GOOD PART OF THE MORNING BASICALLY
19 DECIDING IF WE WERE GOING TO MOVE ONE GRANT CATEGORY
20 TO A FUNDED CATEGORY. IF YOU LOOK AT THE DIFFERENCE
21 BETWEEN THE SCORES OF THOSE, THEY WERE 81, 82, 83,
22 84. IT WAS NOT THAT THERE WERE THREE TERRIFIC
23 GRANTS AND WE COULDN'T -- AND WE COULD ONLY FUND
24 THREE. MANY OF THOSE GRANTS WERE SEPARATED FROM
25 EACH OTHER BY SIMPLY ONE OR TWO POINTS.

1 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. STEWARD.

2 DR. STEWARD: I DON'T MEAN TO PROLONG THE
3 DISCUSSION. I THINK WE PROBABLY OUGHT TO VOTE ON
4 THIS, BUT JUST TO SAY I WILL PROLONG IT. MARIA'S
5 GOING COME ON, GET GOING HERE. BUT IN THE SAME
6 REQUESTED ACTION, WE JUST REDUCED THE PERCENT OF
7 FUNDING TO AROUND 5 PERCENT; WHEREAS, NIH IS FUNDING
8 SOMEWHERE ABOVE 10 PERCENT. AND NOW WE'RE GOING TO
9 BE SENDING MONEY OUT OF STATE. THE OPTICS ARE THAT
10 WE'RE FUNDING PEOPLE OUTSIDE OF CALIFORNIA. I JUST
11 THINK THOSE ARE TWO IMPOSSIBLE MESSAGES FROM THE
12 POINT OF VIEW OF OPTICS RIGHT NOW. SO I WILL VOTE
13 AGAINST IT. I HAVE BEEN GOING BACK AND FORTH. AND,
14 MARIA, I HEAR YOU AND, PAT, I HEAR YOU, BUT THAT'S
15 THE WAY I'M GOING TO VOTE.

16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. MALKAS, DID YOU HAVE
17 ANOTHER COMMENT?

18 DR. MALKAS: ACTUALLY IT WAS THE POINT
19 ABOUT STANDARDIZATION OF THE STEM CELL PREPS AND
20 THINGS LIKE THAT. WHY DON'T YOU JUST DO IT WITHIN
21 THE STATE? SO WE HAVE MANY INSTITUTIONS. AND I
22 THINK IF WE WERE ACTUALLY ABLE TO STANDARDIZE THE
23 PREPS ACROSS OUR STATE, THAT BECOMES AN INCREDIBLE
24 MILE FOR THE REST OF THE COUNTRY. BUT I LOVE YOU.

25 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU, DR. MALKAS. AND

1 WE AGREE, AND THAT IS SOMETHING THAT WE ARE
2 PROMOTING.

3 DR. BERGLUND: I APPRECIATE ALL THE POINTS
4 RAISED, AND I CAN SEE THE VALUE OF CIRM BEING A
5 NATIONAL BRAND. AND I CAN SEE THE VALUE OF THAT
6 MIGHT ACTUALLY HELP OUR RESEARCHERS HERE GET FUNDING
7 OUTSIDE. WHAT I'M WONDERING IS, IN A SITUATION LIKE
8 THIS, AND I APOLOGIZE THAT I DON'T KNOW THE RULES,
9 IS THERE A DEMAND FOR MATCHING FUNDS FROM OUTSIDE
10 CALIFORNIA THAT ACTUALLY COVERS PERSONNEL AND ALL
11 THESE COSTS OUTSIDE, AND OTHERWISE IT WOULDN'T BE
12 FUNDED SO, IN EFFECT, IT DRAWS MONEY OUTSIDE INTO
13 THE PROJECT?

14 DR. OLSON: SO FOR FOR-PROFIT ENTITIES
15 THAT WOULD APPLY IN CALIFORNIA OR EX CALIFORNIA,
16 THERE'S A 20-PERCENT CO-FUNDING REQUIREMENT.

17 DR. BERGLUND: IF YOU HAVE AN ACADEMIC
18 PARTNER, YOU COULD REQUEST THEY MIGHT HAVE TO RAISE
19 MONEY ON THEIR END AS WELL.

20 DR. OLSON: WELL, AS I SAY, THE APPLICANT
21 IS REQUIRED TO MEET THE CO-FUNDING REQUIREMENT. IF
22 THEY HAVE AN ACADEMIC PARTNER WITHIN CALIFORNIA, NO,
23 THERE'S NO REQUIREMENT THAT THE ACADEMIC PARTNER
24 HAVE. IT'S THE APPLICANT THAT THE CO-FUNDING
25 REQUIREMENT FALLS ON AND A FOR-PROFIT APPLICANT.

1 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ARE THERE ADDITIONAL
2 COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS ON THE PHONE? I THINK WE'RE
3 GOING TO NEED A ROLL CALL ON THIS ONE. MR. TOCHER,
4 PLEASE RESTATE THE MOTION.

5 MR. TOCHER: THE MOTION IS TO APPROVE THE
6 PROPOSED TRAN CONCEPT PLAN AMENDMENT, WHICH IS TO
7 ALLOW OUT-OF-STATE APPLICANTS WITH THE ASSOCIATED
8 ALLOWABLE COSTS.

9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

10 MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.

11 DR. BLUMENTHAL: NO.

12 MS. BONNEVILLE: LARS BERGLUND.

13 DR. BERGLUND: I WOULD ABSTAIN.

14 MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.

15 DR. BOXER: NO.

16 MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS. JACK
17 DIXON.

18 DR. DIXON: NO.

19 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

20 DR. DULIEGE: YES.

21 MS. BONNEVILLE: HOWARD FEDEROFF. JUDY
22 GASSON.

23 DR. GASSON: YES.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.

25 DR. HIGGINS: YES.

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
2 DR. JUELSGAARD: YES.
3 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHERRY LANSING. BERT
4 LUBIN.
5 DR. LUBIN: YES.
6 MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
7 DR. MALKAS: NO.
8 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
9 DR. MARTIN: YES.
10 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
11 DR. MELMED: NO.
12 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
13 PADILLA.
14 DR. PADILLA: YES.
15 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
16 MR. PANETTA: NO.
17 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
18 DR. PRIETO: NO.
19 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
20 DR. QUINT: NO.
21 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
22 MR. ROWLETT: NO.
23 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
24 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: NO.
25 MS. BONNEVILLE: OSWALD STEWARD.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

DR. STEWARD: NO.

MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.

MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.

MR. TORRES: NO.

MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.

DR. VUORI: NO.

MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.

MS. WINOKUR: NO.

MS. BONNEVILLE: IT FAILS 7 TO 15 AND 1
ABSTENTION.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, EVERYBODY.

WE ARE GOING TO REQUEST THAT WE GO, THAT
LUNCH IS OUT THERE, AND IF EVERYBODY COULD GO AND
GET THEIR LUNCH AND GET BACK AT YOUR EARLIEST
CONVENIENCE. FIFTEEN MINUTES.

MS. BONNEVILLE: FIFTEEN MINUTES.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MARIA SAYS 15 MINUTES,
BUT, PLEASE, WE DO HAVE A BIT OF A TIME CONSTRAINT
ON THE NEXT ISSUE. BUT IF YOU CAN COME BACK AND
WE'LL RESUME IN 15. THANK YOU.

(A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: COULD EVERYBODY COME
TAKE YOUR SEATS. WE ARE GOING NOW TO RESUME. WE'RE
GOING TO PROCEED TO ITEM NO. 6, DISCUSSION OF

1 TRANSITION AND SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING AND
2 POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING SUSTAINABILITY STRATEGY.
3 SO FOR THOSE ON THE PHONE, WE'RE ON THE LINK TO MY
4 PRESENTATION. SO, AMY, COULD YOU PLEASE, NEXT
5 SLIDE.

6 SO THE PURPOSE OF THIS DISCUSSION IS TO,
7 AS YOU HAVE HEARD IN DR. MILLAN'S PRESENTATION,
8 THERE'S EVERY LIKELIHOOD THAT WE WILL BE RUNNING OUT
9 OF FUNDS PRIOR TO NOVEMBER OF 2020 OR TO THE YEAR
10 2020, WHICH WE HAD ORIGINALLY ANTICIPATED AS THE
11 DATE THAT WOULD HAPPEN. SO YOU GO TO NEXT SLIDE
12 PLEASE.

13 WE WANTED TO START THIS YEAR IN ADDRESSING
14 THE NOTION OF WHAT CAN WE DO TO SUSTAIN CIRM AND ITS
15 WORLD-CLASS PORTFOLIO OF PROJECTS. AND TOWARDS THAT
16 END, IN THE JUNE ICOC MEETING, I CALLED FOR THE
17 ESTABLISHMENT OF A TRANSITION SUBCOMMITTEE TO
18 ADDRESS ISSUES DEALING WITH THE TRANSITION AND
19 SUSTAINABILITY. THE FIRST MEETING OF THAT
20 SUBCOMMITTEE OCCURRED IN SEPTEMBER. AND AT THAT
21 MEETING WE WENT THROUGH A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT IDEAS
22 THAT WERE PUT ON THE TABLE TO ADDRESS THE
23 SUSTAINABILITY QUESTION. HAD A ROBUST DISCUSSION
24 WITH PROS AND CONS AIRED ON EACH AND PRESENTED A
25 REVIEW OF THAT AT THE SEPTEMBER ICOC MEETING, AT

1 WHICH POINT WE NOTED THAT, IN THE TRANSITION
2 SUBCOMMITTEE ITSELF, WE HAD DEALT SOLELY WITH THE
3 SUSTAINABILITY ISSUE. WE DID NOT AT THAT MEETING
4 ADDRESS THE ISSUE OF HOW WE WOULD SPEND DOWN OUR
5 REMAINING FUNDS TO GET US TO THAT POINT.

6 AND BECAUSE THAT TOPIC ENCROACHED ON THE
7 TERRAIN OF THE SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE, THE NEXT MOVE
8 WAS TO CONVENE A JOINT SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING OF THE
9 SCIENCE AND TRANSITION SUBCOMMITTEES, WHICH MEETING
10 TOOK PLACE ON NOVEMBER 27TH HERE AT CIRM'S OFFICES.
11 AND AT THAT MEETING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, HAVING HAD
12 THE FIRST MEETING OF THE TRANSITION SUBCOMMITTEE, WE
13 DISTILLED DOWN THE COMMENTS AND IDEAS AND THOUGHTS
14 OF THAT MEETING AND INCORPORATED THEM INTO THE
15 AGENDA OF THE NOVEMBER JOINT SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING.
16 AND IT IS ON THAT JOINT SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING THAT
17 I'M GOING TO REPORT NOW.

18 WE HAVE UP THERE, JUST SO YOU COULD SEE,
19 WHAT THE AGENDA WAS FOR THAT. WE WENT THROUGH THE
20 DISCUSSION OF SOME OF THE THINGS WE TALKED ABOUT IN
21 THE SEPTEMBER MEETING, AND WE HAD IDENTIFIED THAT,
22 IN ORDER TO GIVE OURSELVES THE BEST POSSIBLE CHANCE
23 AT GETTING ONGOING FUNDING ONCE CIRM HAD RUN OUT OF
24 FUNDS, THERE WAS A TWOFOLD STRATEGY. NO. 1 WAS TO
25 CONTEMPLATE A CITIZEN-LED BOND MEASURE IN NOVEMBER

1 OF 2020. AND IF, IN FACT, WE WERE TO RUN OUT OF
2 FUNDS, AS WE HAVE OUTLINED HERE IN DR. MILLAN'S
3 PRESENTATION, IN ADVANCE OF THAT TO PUT TOGETHER A
4 BRIDGE FUNDING FUND-RAISING EFFORT WITH SELECT
5 PHILANTHROPISTS TO GET US THROUGH THAT PERIOD THAT
6 WOULD GET US TO THE NOVEMBER 2020 ELECTION.

7 WE THEN WENT AND HAD -- DR. MILLAN GAVE
8 THE PRESENTATION, WHICH SHE LARGELY DUPLICATED HERE
9 TODAY, TALKING ABOUT HOW WE WOULD SPEND DOWN THE
10 REMAINING FUNDS, INCORPORATING THE CAP CONCEPT, AND
11 THE PROPOSED BUDGET GOING FORWARD.

12 AND THEN I TALKED WITH BOB ABOUT THIS
13 NOTION OF THE BRIDGE FUNDING IDEA TO TIDE US OVER TO
14 NOVEMBER 2020, AND THEN WE TALKED ABOUT SOME OTHER
15 FUND-RAISING IDEAS THAT HAD THE MOST POTENTIAL BASED
16 ON WHAT WE HAD TALKED ABOUT AT THE SEPTEMBER
17 MEETING.

18 NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. SO THE OPTIONS THAT
19 CAME OUT OF THE JOINT SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING THAT WE
20 HAVE DECIDED TO FOCUS ON ARE, NO. 1, A CITIZEN-LED
21 BOND MEASURE IN 2020. WE ALSO HAD AS A BACKUP,
22 WHICH WAS VERY ELEGANTLY DISCUSSED PREVIOUSLY BY
23 SENATOR TORRES, GOING TO THE LEGISLATURE AND
24 PURSUING THE IDEA THAT THEY WOULD PUT SUCH A BALLOT
25 MEASURE ON THE BALLOT. AS YOU KNOW, THERE ARE TWO

1 WAYS. YOU CAN QUALIFY THROUGH SIGNATURES, WHICH IS
2 WHAT WAS DONE WHEN BOB RAN PROP 71, AND/OR YOU CAN
3 HAVE THE LEGISLATURE PUT THE BALLOT MEASURE ON
4 THERE. THERE ARE MANY PROS AND CONS ATTACHED TO
5 EACH, BUT WE FEEL THAT THE BEST MEASURE, BEST WAY TO
6 GO HERE IS THE CITIZEN-LED MEASURE WITH THE
7 LEGISLATIVE OPTION IN OUR BACK POCKET IN THE EVENT
8 THAT WE NEED, FOR WHATEVER REASON, TO PURSUE THAT.

9 NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. OKAY. SO I THINK,
10 WITHOUT FURTHER ADO, AS WE DID AT THE JOINT
11 SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING IN NOVEMBER, BOB KLEIN IS HERE
12 TO TALK TO US CONCEPTUALLY ABOUT A CITIZEN-LED BOND
13 MEASURE AND WHAT THAT WOULD ENTAIL. SO VERY HAPPY
14 TO HAVE BOB AND MARY -- IS YIMY HERE TOO? HI,
15 YIMY -- ALL OF WHICH ARE HERE TOO, WITH AMERICANS
16 FOR CURES AS IS OR WAS DON REED. I'M NOT SURE IF
17 DON IS STILL HERE. THERE HE IS. YOU'RE BEHIND THE
18 PODIUM.

19 THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH FOR COMING, ALL
20 YOUR TREMENDOUS WORK IN EDUCATING THE PUBLIC THROUGH
21 AMERICANS FOR CURES ON WHAT HAS HAPPENED WITH CIRM
22 AND HOW IT IS PROGRESSING AND HOW OUR PROJECTS ARE
23 PROGRESSING. AND WE GREATLY APPRECIATE ALL OF THAT
24 VERY HARD WORK.

25 AND NOW, BOB, WOULD INVITE YOU TO SPEAK TO

1 US ABOUT THOUGHTS ON A CITIZEN-LED BOND MEASURE IN
2 NOVEMBER OF 2020.

3 MR. KLEIN: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. IT'S
4 ALWAYS A GREAT PRIVILEGE TO ADDRESS THIS BOARD. IT
5 IS EXTRAORDINARY THE WORK THAT YOU'VE DONE IN
6 CARRYING FORWARD THE VISION OF PROPOSITION 71. AND
7 IN THANKING THE BOARD, OF COURSE, I HAVE TO THANK
8 THE DEDICATED STAFF THAT HAS MADE REMARKABLE
9 PROGRESS WITH THE GRANT PROGRAM, BOTH IN VETTING
10 WITH THE PEER REVIEW GROUPS, THE BEST OF THE BEST
11 RESEARCH AND THERAPY PROVISIONS AS WELL, AND
12 ANALYZING AND STRATEGICALLY RECOMMENDING THE BUDGET
13 AND MOVING THIS VERY BROAD PROGRAM, WHICH INCLUDES
14 THE ALPHA CLINICS AND THE BRIDGES PROGRAM, FORWARD
15 IN A REMARKABLE WAY.

16 THE PATIENTS WHO HAVE COURAGEOUSLY
17 COMMITTED THEMSELVES TO THE HUMAN TRIALS ARE A PART
18 OF THIS INCREDIBLE PROGRESS AS IS THE PUBLIC
19 SUPPORT. AND I WOULD BE REMISS NOT TO THANK THE
20 PAST STAFF BECAUSE IT'S BEEN A CONTINUUM OF REALLY
21 PHENOMENAL PEOPLE. AND SO IT'S A PRIVILEGE THAT
22 ARLENE CHIU IS HERE TODAY AS OUR FIRST SCIENTIFIC
23 DIRECTOR. SHE WAS AT A REMARKABLE STAGE OF PUTTING
24 TOGETHER THESE INITIAL PROGRAMS.

25 IT IS, IN FACT, EXTRAORDINARY IF YOU LOOK

1 AT THE NUMBERS AND STATISTICS, BUT WHAT IS SO
2 COMPELLING AS A PATIENT ADVOCATE, AS A CALIFORNIAN
3 IS TO KNOW AND HAVE THE PRIVILEGE OF KNOWING SOME OF
4 THE PATIENTS THAT HAVE BEEN THROUGH THESE PROGRAMS.
5 EVANGELINA, WHOSE BANNER IS ON THE WALL, WHICH SAYS
6 CURED WHO HAD SCID, IS A YOUNG GIRL WHO MOVED FROM A
7 TOTALLY PROTECTED, ISOLATED, INSULATED ENVIRONMENT
8 WITH VERY LITTLE HUMAN INTERACTION TO BEING ABLE TO
9 SURF ON THE BEACHES OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. THAT IS
10 A JOURNEY THAT IS TRULY REMARKABLE. IT IS A REWARD
11 TO ALL OF US AND CERTAINLY TO THE BOARD, THE STAFF,
12 AND THE PATIENT ADVOCATES WHO HAVE PARTICIPATED IN
13 THAT JOURNEY.

14 CHRIS BOISSON, THE QUADRIPLLEGIC; JAKE
15 JAVIER, WHOSE BANNER IS ON THE WALL, WHO, LIKE CHRIS
16 BOISSON, HAS REGAINED HIS UPPER BODY MOVEMENT AND
17 SOME OF HIS STRENGTH SO HE COULD REALLY PARTICIPATE
18 IN SOCIETY AND COME FROM BEING A QUADRIPLLEGIC ON A
19 VENTILATOR TO PARTICIPATING IN THE LIFE OF HIS
20 FAMILY IS A REMARKABLE STORY.

21 AND SO TAKING ALL OF THESE STORIES
22 TOGETHER WITH THE DOCTORS AND SCIENTISTS WHO HAVE
23 DEDICATED THEIR LIVES TO MAKING THIS POSSIBLE, THIS
24 IS THE CONTEXT FOR WHICH I'M GOING TO DISCUSS THE
25 MORAL IMPERATIVE, I THINK, OF HAVING THE BEST

1 POSSIBLE OPTION FOR CONTINUING THIS GREAT
2 EXPERIMENT, THIS VISION THAT THE PEOPLE OF
3 CALIFORNIA ENDORSED, CAME OUT TO VOTE, AND PASSED
4 EVEN THOUGH IT WAS NO. 71 ON THE BALLOT. THAT'S
5 BELOW THE FEDERAL ELECTION OFFICERS, IT'S BELOW THE
6 STATE, IT'S BELOW THE LOCAL ELECTION, IT'S BELOW THE
7 LOCAL BALLOT MEASURES. IT'S AT THE BOTTOM. AND
8 EVEN THOUGH IT WAS AT THE BOTTOM OF THAT LIST OF
9 VOTING OPTIONS, THE U.S. SENATOR AT THE TOP OF THAT
10 BALLOT IN 2004 GOT THE MOST VOTES OF ANY U.S.
11 SENATOR IN ANY ELECTION IN THE HISTORY OF
12 CALIFORNIA, AND PROPOSITION 71 AT THE BOTTOM BECAUSE
13 THE PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA GOT JUST AS MANY VOTES,
14 SETTING A RECORD FOR THE NATION FOR ANY INITIATIVE.

15 IT IS THAT GREAT LEGACY THAT YOU'VE
16 UTTERED IN ALL OF YOUR SERVICE; BUT WE NOW HAVE A
17 VERY SPECIAL CHALLENGE IN GOING FORWARD BECAUSE, IN
18 THE LAST 20 YEARS, THE SCIENTIFIC JOURNALISTS WHO
19 CONTRIBUTED SO MUCH IN 2004 ARE LARGELY GONE IN THE
20 PUBLIC MEDIA. NINETY PERCENT OF THE SCIENTISTS IN
21 PUBLIC MEDIA, IN NEWSPAPERS, IN RADIO AND TELEVISION
22 HAVE BEEN REPLACED WITH SPORTS WRITERS OR JUST
23 TOTALLY CUT WITHOUT REPLACEMENT.

24 THE MEDIA IS VERY DIFFERENT. IT OFFERS
25 OPPORTUNITIES IN SOCIAL MEDIA, AND IT OFFERS

1 CHALLENGES BECAUSE WE HAVE TO MAKE CERTAIN THAT WE
2 ARE INFORMING THE PUBLIC NOW, NOT IN 2019 OR 2020,
3 BUT NOW SO THE PUBLIC HAS A STREAM OF VALIDATED
4 MESSAGES ASSOCIATED WITH THE GREAT EDUCATIONAL
5 INSTITUTIONS AND SCIENTIFIC CENTERS OF CALIFORNIA,
6 SO WHEN THEY GET TO THE POINT OF VOTING, THEY CAN
7 DISTINGUISH TRUE SCIENTIFIC NEWS AND ACHIEVEMENTS
8 FROM FICTIONAL ATTACKS, WHICH WILL BE PLENTY.

9 WE NEED TO KNOW THAT THIS GREAT REVOLUTION
10 IS EMBRACED AGAIN BY THE CIVIC ORGANIZATIONS,
11 STARTING WITH THE PATIENT ADVOCATES, BUT INCLUDING
12 THE STATE CHAMBERS OF COMMERCE, WHICH ENDORSED THIS
13 INITIATIVE, FROM SAN DIEGO TO SAN FRANCISCO,
14 INCLUDING THE ORANGE COUNTY BUSINESS COUNCIL. AND
15 STATE CHAMBER DOESN'T HAVE A HISTORY OF ENDORSING A
16 GREAT NUMBER OF ISSUES RELATED TO BONDS AND TAXES,
17 BUT THEY DID STEP UP TO THE PLATE, UNDERSTANDING
18 THAT THIS IS REALLY THE FUTURE -- THIS IS
19 CALIFORNIA'S CONTRIBUTION TO THE FUTURE OF MEDICINE.

20 FROM WHERE I STAND IN UNDERSTANDING THAT
21 IT'S ONLY 2007 WHERE IN MAY WE GOT OUT OF THE STATE
22 SUPREME COURT AND COULD BEGIN OUR MAJOR FUNDING, WE
23 ARE AT TEN YEARS. AND I CAN TELL YOU THAT WHETHER
24 IT'S WHAT WE PUT INTO THE LEGISLATIVE ANALYSTS IN
25 2003 OR WHAT THE REAL ADS WERE AS VERSUS THE

1 HYPOTHETICAL ADS PEOPLE HAVE TALKED ABOUT, THIS IS
2 FAR BEYOND THE ACHIEVEMENTS WE EXPECTED IN THIS TIME
3 FRAME. TO HAVE 43 HUMAN TRIALS FUNDED BY THIS
4 AGENCY, TO HAVE ANOTHER 14 HUMAN TRIALS WHERE THE
5 ORIGINAL WORK WAS FUNDED BY THIS AGENCY BUT OTHERS
6 ARE NOW FUNDING IT IS AN UNBELIEVABLE LEVEL OF
7 SUCCESS. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE OBJECTIVES THE
8 BOARD ADOPTED IN THE ANCIENT DAYS WHEN OSWALD
9 STEWARD AND FRANCISCO PRIETO AND JEFF SHEEHY WERE ON
10 THE BOARD, THIS BLOWS PAST THOSE GOALS AT AN
11 EXTRAORDINARY LEVEL.

12 AND THERE ARE THOSE WHO ASK, WELL, THIS
13 MAY BE GREAT ACHIEVEMENTS. WELL, WILL THE PUBLIC
14 STAND AGAIN BEHIND THIS VISION? THIS TIME WE DON'T
15 HAVE JUST A VISION. I MEAN WE HAVE A SURFER. WE
16 HAVE PATIENTS WHO HAVE HAD THEIR LIFE RESTORED,
17 WHETHER IT'S CHRIS BOISSON OR JAKE OR CANCER
18 PATIENTS.

19 (THE PHONE TRANSMISSION WAS DROPPED
20 AND THEN CONTINUED AS FOLLOWS:)

21 -- WHAT THE VALUE IS FOR THE SOCIETY.
22 THIS IS THE BRIDGE TO THE FUTURE OF HEALTHCARE.
23 THIS IS CALIFORNIA'S CONTRIBUTION. ONE SPAN OF ONE
24 BRIDGE VERSUS A BRIDGE TO THE FUTURE OF HEALTHCARE
25 AS A CONTRIBUTION FROM CALIFORNIA SCIENTISTS,

1 DOCTORS, PATIENT ADVOCATES, AND CIVIC SOCIETY.

2 IF WE LOOK BACK TO THE HISTORY OF HOW WE
3 ACCOMPLISHED THE FIRST INITIATIVE, WE MEANING A
4 BROAD GROUP OF CITIZENS ADVISORY GROUP, A PATIENT
5 ADVOCACY ADVISORY COMMITTEE, A SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY
6 COMMITTEE, AND A GREAT NUMBER OF DEDICATED DONORS,
7 WHO WE DEEPLY APPRECIATE, AND WE LOOK FORWARD, WE
8 CAN EXPECT THAT THE 7 MILLION VOTES, THE 7 MILLION
9 VOTERS WHO VOTED FOR THIS INITIATIVE, IF THEY HAVE
10 THE INFORMATION ABOUT THE ACHIEVEMENT, GOES FAR
11 BEYOND JUST THE CLINICAL TRIALS WHICH ARE
12 EXTRAORDINARY AND DEEPLY IMPORTANT TO THESE ADVANCES
13 AS A PATH TO SUCCESS, WE HAVE 2600 PEER REVIEWED
14 DISCOVERIES PUBLISHED IN MAJOR SCIENTIFIC
15 LITERATURE. WE HAVE TRANSLATIONAL PIPELINES IN
16 PLACE WHICH HAVE TO BE MAINTAINED. WE HAD 40 NOBEL
17 PRIZE WINNERS WHO SUPPORTED THE ORIGINAL INITIATIVE.
18 I THINK IT IS SAFE TO SAY THAT, LOOKING AT THE
19 ACHIEVEMENTS THAT HAVE OCCURRED, THAT IS AN ELEMENT
20 OF SUPPORT WE CAN COUNT ON GOING FORWARD.

21 BUT WHAT WE NEED TO UNDERSTAND IS THAT
22 ULTIMATELY IT IS THE VOTERS OF CALIFORNIA THAT WE
23 HAVE TO INFORM IN A REPORT BACK TO THE PUBLIC TO
24 HONOR OUR OBLIGATION TO THEM BECAUSE THEY PUT FAITH
25 IN THIS VISION. AND THROUGH AMERICANS FOR CURES,

1 FOR WHICH I SERVE AS CHAIRMAN, WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO
2 WORK WITH THE ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS, WITH THE
3 RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS, INDEPENDENT RESEARCH
4 INSTITUTIONS OF THE STATE TO PROVIDE AN
5 INFORMATIONAL MARRIAGE OF THE PATIENT ADVOCATES
6 MESSAGING AND THE SCIENTIFIC MESSAGING SO THE PUBLIC
7 IS REALLY FULLY INFORMED.

8 TODAY WE KNOW THAT THAT SUPPORT FROM THE
9 PUBLIC IS IN THE RANGE OF 70 PERCENT EVEN IN
10 POLLING. EVEN AFTER A NEGATIVE MESSAGE HAS REBUTTED
11 THE POSITIVE MESSAGE, THE VOTERS HOLD AT ABOUT A
12 70-PERCENT APPROVAL. FOR THAT TO CONTINUE, IN THE
13 FACE OF WHAT WE CAN EXPECT WILL BE A LOT OF
14 DISINFORMATION, WE HAVE A LOT OF WORK TO DO IN
15 COMMUNICATING WITH THE VOTERS WITH INDIVIDUAL
16 PATIENT STORIES, WITH SCIENTIFICALLY VETTED
17 ARTICLES, WITH SPOKESMEN FROM SCIENCE SIDE BY SIDE
18 WITH PATIENT ADVOCATES.

19 AND WHEN YOU LOOK TO THE VOTERS, YOU ASK
20 WHY 2020? WHY NOT 2018? WE HAVE TREMENDOUS
21 PROGRESS. WE HAVE A GREAT STORY TODAY. WELL, FIRST
22 OF ALL, THE POLLS ARE NOT ALWAYS RIGHT, AS WE CAN
23 GUESS FROM THE LAST ELECTION IN THIS COUNTRY FOR THE
24 PRESIDENCY. SECONDLY, IF YOU BUILD A VERY STRONG
25 FOUNDATION OF DEEP INFORMATION THAT IS VALIDATED BY

1 THE INSTITUTIONS WITHIN EACH REGION, YOUR ABILITY TO
2 PREDICT TURNOUT, JUST AS WE DID IN 2004, IS MUCH
3 HIGHER.

4 IF WE LOOK BACK AT THE NUMBERS, IN 2004
5 THERE WERE 7 MILLION VOTES FOR PROPOSITION 71, AS I
6 STATED EARLIER, OUT OF A TOTAL OF 12.5 MILLION
7 VOTES. IF YOU LOOK AT WHAT HAS HAPPENED IN THE
8 OFF-YEAR ELECTIONS IN CALIFORNIA, AFTER THE
9 INSTITUTION IN PARTICULAR OF THE RULE THAT THE TOP
10 TWO CANDIDATES, EVEN THOUGH THEY MIGHT BE THE SAME
11 PARTY, WILL STAND FOR ELECTION FOR STATEWIDE OFFICE,
12 YOU SEE A HUGE DROP-OFF IN TURNOUT IN OFF-YEAR
13 ELECTIONS. IN 2014 JERRY BROWN, A POPULAR GOVERNOR,
14 WAS REELECTED WITH 4,000,380 VOTES OUT OF A TOTAL
15 VOTE TURNOUT OF 7 MILLION. THINK ABOUT THAT. A
16 DECADE AFTER PROPOSITION 71, THE STATE HAS GROWN,
17 AND YET, INSTEAD OF 12 MILLION VOTES TURNING OUT,
18 THERE'S 7 MILLION VOTES THAT TURN OUT. WELL, WHAT
19 HAPPENS WHEN YOU HAVE A LOW TURNOUT? YOU SKEW
20 TOWARDS VERY CONSERVATIVE VOTERS WHO ARE NOT AS
21 VISIONARY, WHO ARE NOT AS PROGRESSIVE, AND WE NEED
22 TO UNDERSTAND THAT. JUST AS WE GAVE THE ENTIRE
23 STATE THE BEST OPPORTUNITY TO TURN OUT AND VOTE IN
24 2004, WE NEED TO DO THAT AGAIN IN 2020.

25 AGAIN, BY COMPARISON, EVEN THOUGH IN 2014

1 THE TURNOUT WAS 7.3 MILLION, IN A PRESIDENTIAL
2 ELECTION IN 2016, THE TURNOUT WAS 14.2 MILLION. SO
3 THE PATTERN IS --

4 (THE PHONE TRANSMISSION WAS
5 INTERRUPTED. AFTER IT WAS REINSTATED, MR. KLEIN'S
6 PRESENTATION CONTINUED AS FOLLOWS:)

7 MR. KLEIN: ...FOR PATIENTS. THEY HAVE
8 SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY BOARDS, THEY HAVE ATTORNEYS.
9 WHAT REALLY IS HAPPENING HERE IS THAT THE
10 ORGANIZATIONS THAT REPRESENT PATIENTS, WHICH ARE A
11 SURROGATE FOR THE 45 PERCENT OF THE PUBLIC THAT AT
12 ANY ONE TIME HAS A FAMILY MEMBER, A BROTHER, A
13 SISTER, A GRANDPARENT, A CHILD WHO HAS A CHRONIC
14 DISEASE, THIS IS THEIR SURROGATE. THEY LOOK AT
15 THESE ORGANIZATIONS AND THINK, LOOK, ONE OR TWO OF
16 THEM CAN BE WRONG. SEVENTY, 80 OF THEM, COULD THEY
17 REALLY BE WRONG? THE ODDS ARE NOT HIGH. THIS IS A
18 TRUSTED GROUP THAT REPRESENTS THE PUBLIC.

19 SO WE HAVE AN ADVANTAGE THAT IF WE HAVE
20 HIGH TURNOUT WITH A PUBLICLY ADVANCED INITIATIVE,
21 WITH A PRIVILEGED MESSENGER, BECAUSE PATIENT
22 ADVOCATES BEING A TRUSTED SURROGATE ARE PRIVILEGED
23 MESSENGERS, AND WE HAVE A PRIVILEGED MESSAGE. THIS
24 IS ABOUT THE HEALTH AND FUTURE HEALTH OPPORTUNITY OF
25 SOMEONE THEY LOVE. THAT IS A MESSAGE THAT

1 PENETRATES A LOT OF NOISE. AND IF YOU NEED TO
2 COMMUNICATE THROUGH SOCIAL MEDIA, YOU BETTER BE ABLE
3 TO PENETRATE THROUGH A LOT OF NOISE.

4 THAT'S PARTICULARLY TRUE IF YOU DON'T HAVE
5 A LOT OF PUBLIC MEDIA WITH GREAT SCIENCE JOURNALISTS
6 IN PLACE. AND WE DEEPLY APPRECIATE THOSE PUBLIC
7 SCIENCE MEDIA JOURNALISTS WHO ARE IN PLACE.

8 SO IF YOU LOOK AT WHERE WE ARE TEN YEARS
9 INTO THIS PROCESS AND REALIZE THAT IN 2004 WE SAID
10 IT WOULD BE 14 YEARS BEFORE WE HAVE THE FIRST
11 THERAPY THAT WOULD BE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC,
12 THAT'S FOUR YEARS FROM NOW, AND WE HAVE THERAPIES
13 NOW GETTING TO THE POINT THEY'RE AVAILABLE TO THE
14 PUBLIC. IT'S JUST THE BREAKING EDGE, BUT IN THE
15 NEXT THREE YEARS WE SHOULD STRATEGICALLY HAVE,
16 THROUGH THE HUMAN TRIALS THAT ARE GOING ON NOW,
17 OTHERS GETTING TO THAT POINT. SO WE WILL HAVE MORE
18 VISIBLE, SPECIFIC BENEFITS TO PATIENTS. IT IS
19 IMPORTANT TO REALIZE THAT THE HUMAN TRIALS ARE VERY
20 STRONG MILESTONES THAT THE PUBLIC CAN CREDIBLY
21 BELIEVE BECAUSE THE BREADTH OF THOSE TRIALS WILL
22 LEAD TO THERAPIES TO HELP THEIR FAMILIES, THEIR
23 FRIENDS, THEIR LOVED ONES. WE WILL HAVE A DEEPER
24 FOUNDATION IN PROVIDING THAT PROOF TO THE PUBLIC.

25 IT IS IMPORTANT TO REALIZE AND BE

1 REALISTIC ABOUT THE DIFFICULTIES THAT ARE BEFORE US.
2 WE HAVE TO ORGANIZE FOR THESE CHALLENGES, AND WE
3 HAVE TO MAKE CERTAIN THAT THERE IS BRIDGE FUNDING IN
4 PLACE. WHETHER IT'S 222 MILLION OR IT'S 300
5 MILLION, WE NEED VERY SUBSTANTIAL BRIDGE FUNDING.
6 HOPEFULLY IT'S MORE THAN YOUR MINIMUM THRESHOLD TO
7 CONTINUE THIS PIPELINE BECAUSE, AS WE SAW TODAY,
8 THERE ARE TREMENDOUS OPPORTUNITIES THAT ARE THERE
9 THAT AREN'T AVAILABLE FOR FUNDING BECAUSE OF THE
10 CONSTRAINT. OUR FUNDING LEVEL IS, AS DR. STEWARD
11 HAS SAID, EVEN BELOW NIH FUNDING LEVELS BECAUSE OF
12 THE SCARCITY OF RESOURCES AT THIS POINT.

13 SO IT IS A PRIVILEGE FOR ME TO BE PART OF
14 THIS EFFORT. AND AS DICKENS, CHARLES DICKENS SAID,
15 "IT IS THE BEST OF TIMES. IT IS THE WORST OF TIMES.
16 IT IS A TIME OF GREAT WISDOM AND GREAT FOOLISHNESS."
17 MAY WE, WITH THE PUBLIC IN CALIFORNIA, REPRESENT
18 WISDOM AS THE BENEFICIARIES OF THE GREAT SCIENTISTS
19 AND DOCTORS OF CALIFORNIA, FOR THE BENEFIT OF OUR
20 PATIENTS AND OUR PUBLIC. IT IS A PRIVILEGE TO
21 HOPEFULLY PARTICIPATE IN THE LEADERSHIP OF A PUBLIC
22 INITIATIVE IN 2020. THE PUBLIC WILL MAKE THE
23 DECISION AT THAT TIME IF THAT'S THE RIGHT OPTION.
24 THANK YOU.

25 (APPLAUSE.)

1 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
2 BOB.

3 ANY COMMENTS BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD?

4 MR. TORRES: I JUST WANT TO THANK YOU,
5 BOB, FOR TAKING UP THE CHALLENGE ONCE AGAIN. IT'S
6 NOT OFTEN THAT WE HAVE SOMEONE WHO IS WILLING TO GO
7 BACK TO THE FIELD AND BACK TO CENTER STAGE AT GREAT
8 COST TO YOU AND TO YOUR FAMILY. BECAUSE OF YOUR
9 COMMITMENT I, FOR ONE, AND I'M SURE ALL THE BOARD,
10 APPRECIATES THE FACT THAT YOU'RE WILLING TO TAKE ON
11 THIS LEADERSHIP AGAIN IN 2020. THANK YOU.

12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE. HERE. I THINK
13 THAT ABOUT SUMS UP THE SENTIMENT THAT EVERYBODY HAS
14 HERE. SO THANK YOU. THANK YOU, BOB, VERY MUCH.
15 AND THANK YOU TO THE MEMBERS OF YOUR TEAM FOR ALL
16 THE HARD WORK ON EVERYTHING YOU'RE DOING.

17 SO, AMY, NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.

18 SO, AS I MENTIONED, DR. MILLAN HAS
19 IDENTIFIED THAT WE NOW BELIEVE, BASED ON OUR CURRENT
20 SPENDING RATE AND THE QUALITY OF BEST-IN-CLASS
21 PROJECTS IN THE PIPELINE, THAT WE COULD WELL RUN OUT
22 OF RESEARCH DOLLARS BY Q4 2019.

23 WE HAD A DISCUSSION IN-HOUSE WHICH POSED
24 THE FOLLOWING QUESTION: IF WE WERE TO FUND PROGRAMS
25 IN A WAY THAT WOULD SUSTAIN CIRM'S ACTIVITIES AND

1 GET US FROM Q4 OF 2019 TO NOVEMBER OF 2020 IN A WAY
2 THAT MAINTAINS THE MOMENTUM THAT KEEPS THINGS GOING
3 AT A RATE THAT WILL ALLOW US TO ADDRESS VERY
4 SUBSTANTIALLY THE DIFFERENT PRONGS OR PILLARS OF OUR
5 EFFORT, WHAT WOULD THE DOLLAR AMOUNT BE THAT WE
6 MIGHT NEED TO BRIDGE FROM Q4 OF 2019 TO NOVEMBER OF
7 2020? AND THE FIGURE THAT THE TEAM CAME UP WITH WAS
8 \$222 MILLION.

9 SO THE DISCUSSIONS THEN TURNED TO HOW
10 WOULD WE AMASS 222 MILLION, WHICH IS CERTAINLY A
11 VERY, VERY NONTRIVIAL NUMBER. SO WE'VE HAD
12 DISCUSSIONS PRELIMINARILY ON THE CONCEPT OF RAISING
13 A BRIDGE FINANCING ROUND, BRIDGE FUNDING ROUND,
14 RATHER, TO TALK TO SUPPORTERS OF CIRM FROM THE HIGH
15 NET WORTH COMMUNITY WHO COULD POSSIBLY BE INTERESTED
16 IN PARTICIPATING IN A CONSORTIUM OF BRIDGE FUNDERS
17 TO HELP US MEET OUR \$222 MILLION GOAL, WHICH WOULD
18 BE EXTRAORDINARY, AND, IF WE'RE LUCKY, PERHAPS EVEN
19 BEYOND THAT TO ALLOW FOR ENLARGING THE AMOUNT OF
20 FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR EACH OF THE PILLARS.

21 ON THE SLIDE YOU'VE GOT UP THERE, WE BREAK
22 DOWN THE 222. AND YOU CAN SEE UP THERE HOW THAT
23 BREAKS DOWN AND WHERE THE MONEY WOULD GO: 114
24 MILLION TO THE CLIN AWARDS, 40 MILLION TO THE TRAN
25 AWARDS, 20 TO THE DISC, 16 TO THE EDUCATION. THAT

1 EDUCATION WOULD CONTEMPLATE POTENTIALLY TRAINING
2 AWARDS THAT WE HAVEN'T HAD FOR A COUPLE OF YEARS,
3 BUT ARE THINGS THAT POTENTIAL DONORS FIND VERY
4 INTERESTING AND WORTHY OF SUPPORT.

5 ON THE INFRASTRUCTURE FRONT, ANOTHER 16
6 MILLION. WE COULD CONTEMPLATE ADDING TWO MORE ALPHA
7 CLINICS TO WHAT WE HAVE NOW, WHICH, AS YOU KNOW FROM
8 THE SEPTEMBER BOARD MEETING, IS FIVE.

9 AND THEN LAST, BUT NOT LEAST, THOUGH WE
10 HAVE ADMIN FUNDS THAT TODAY WILL TAKE US THROUGH
11 EARLY 2021, THE CONCEPT WOULD BE TO HAVE 80 PERCENT
12 OF WHATEVER WE RAISE GO TOWARDS ADDITIONAL ADMIN
13 FUNDS JUST TO MAKE SURE WE HAD ENOUGH MONEY THAT IN
14 THE, WE HOPE, UNLIKELY AND CERTAINLY UNHAPPY EVENT
15 THAT THE BOND MEASURE DOESN'T PASS IN 2020, WE WOULD
16 HAVE ENOUGH ADMIN DOLLARS TO COMPETENTLY ADMINISTER
17 THE THEN BALANCE OF THE EXISTING PORTFOLIO AS WE
18 WOULD HAVE TO WIND DOWN CIRM THROUGH THE YEAR 2023,
19 THE LAST AWARDS HAVING BEEN MADE IN 2019. SO THAT
20 IS THE 222.

21 WE'VE HAD SOME PRELIMINARY CONFIDENTIAL
22 DISCUSSIONS WITH PARTICULAR PARTICIPANTS THAT WE
23 HOPED WOULD JOIN US IN THIS EFFORT. WE THINK THAT
24 THE CHANCE TO STAND ON THE SHOULDERS OF \$3 BILLION
25 WORTH OF FUNDING AND A WORLD-CLASS PORTFOLIO THAT IS

1 SECOND TO NONE IS A VERY INTERESTING AND POSITIVE
2 OPPORTUNITY. SO GOING FORWARD, WE ARE LOOKING TO
3 MAKE THAT HAPPEN.

4 AMY, NEXT SLIDE. SO SPECIFICALLY, THE
5 DONATIONS CAN TAKE MANY FORMS. THE BEST FORM WOULD
6 BE UNRESTRICTED, WHICH WOULD ALLOW CIRM TO PUT THE
7 FUNDING INTO THINGS AND PILLARS AS WE SEE FIT, BUT
8 YOU COULD END UP HAVING FUNDING FOR DIFFERENT
9 PROGRAMS. YOU COULD HAVE FUNDING FOR DIFFERENT
10 DISEASES. THERE ARE LOTS OF WAYS TO COBBLE THIS
11 TOGETHER. BUT WE THINK THAT COMBINED THAT WE ARE
12 OPTIMISTIC HERE THAT WE CAN MAKE THIS VERY, VERY
13 CHALLENGING THING HAPPEN.

14 AS YOU SEE HERE, WE'VE SET AS GOALS FOR
15 THIS BRIDGE FUND-RAISING, THE FIRST 55 MILLION AS OF
16 Q4 OF 2018; THE SECOND -- AND WE HAD TO MAKE THIS
17 ALL ADD UP TO 222, SO THE NUMBER IS SLIGHTLY
18 DIFFERENT FROM GOAL YEAR TO GOAL YEAR -- Q2 2019, AN
19 ADDITIONAL 55.5, ANOTHER 55.4 BY Q4 2019, AND THE
20 BALANCE OF 56 BY Q1 2020. AND IF WE ARE SUCCESSFUL,
21 WE WILL THEN HAVE FULLY FUNDED WHAT WE BELIEVE TO
22 HAVE BEEN A VERY ROBUST ADDITIONAL YEAR OF ALL FIVE
23 OF OUR PROGRAMS PLUS ADDITIONAL ADMINISTRATIVE
24 EXPENSE. AND THEN WHEN WE GET TO Q4 2020, AT WHICH
25 POINT WE WOULD HAVE THE BOND INITIATIVE WHICH WE ALL

1 ARE VERY OPTIMISTIC AND HOPEFUL, BASED ON A VARIETY
2 OF FACTORS, NOT THE LEAST OF WHICH IS WE HAVE A
3 TREMENDOUS ASSET THAT WE'RE SELLING HERE TO THE
4 PUBLIC ON WHAT WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO ACHIEVE, WE ARE
5 VERY MUCH HOPEFUL THAT THAT WILL BE THE CONCLUSION
6 OF THE ELECTORATE AT THAT POINT AS WELL.

7 GO TO THE NEXT. SO THE ONE OTHER FUNDING
8 MECHANISM THAT'S GETTING SOME RESONANCE AND SOME
9 EARLY CONFIDENTIAL DISCUSSIONS WITH POTENTIAL
10 FUNDERS IS THE NOTION OF CO-FUNDING PROJECTS EITHER
11 THAT WE HAVE PREVIOUSLY FUNDED BECAUSE THEY'RE IN A
12 SUBJECT MATTER THAT A DONOR FINDS INTERESTING OR IN
13 A SUBJECT MATTER THAT THEY FIND INTERESTING THAT WE
14 MAY BE FUNDING GOING FORWARD. IN ORDER TO IMPLEMENT
15 THIS, THE POTENTIAL DONOR WOULD AGREE TO ABIDE BY
16 THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE GWG, WOULD NOT ENTERTAIN
17 THE IDEA OF GOING AND CONDUCTING THEIR OWN
18 INDIVIDUAL REVIEW, AND WOULD JUST PIGGYBACK, AS I
19 SAY ON THE SLIDE HERE, WITH THE GWG AND THE BOARD
20 APPROVAL. SO WE THINK THAT THIS MAY BE SOMETHING
21 THAT PROVIDES POTENTIALLY SOME SIGNIFICANT FUNDING
22 IN ADDITION TO THE BRIDGE IDEA, OR IT COULD BE
23 INCORPORATED INTO IT TO HELP MAKE IT HAPPEN.

24 SO THOSE ARE THE IDEAS. THIS IS WHAT WE
25 DISCUSSED AT THE TRANSITION SUBCOMMITTEE. WE THINK

1 WE HEAR AT CIRM AND BOB AND HIS TEAM AT AMERICANS
2 FOR CURES THINKS THIS IS A VIABLE GAME PLAN THAT
3 WOULD ALLOW US TO SUSTAIN THE AGENCY GOING FORWARD.
4 NOBODY EXPECTS THIS TO BE EASY. EVERY ASPECT OF IT
5 IS GOING TO BE A MAJOR CHALLENGE, BUT WE BELIEVE
6 THIS IS THE BEST WAY TO GO TO SUSTAIN OUR WORK.

7 SO WITH THAT, ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS OR
8 COMMENTS?

9 DR. HIGGINS: TO WHAT EXTENT IS THE BOARD
10 AND THE STAFF PROHIBITED FROM BEING INVOLVED IN
11 THIS?

12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: EXCELLENT QUESTION.
13 MR. TOCHER.

14 MR. TOCHER: UP TO THE POINT WHERE THE
15 BALLOT MEASURE IS ACTUALLY QUALIFIED AND ON THE
16 BALLOT, THERE'S A FAIR AMOUNT OF LATITUDE IN TERMS
17 OF PREPARING COMMENTARY ON IT AND PROVIDING
18 INFORMATION AND WORKING ON CRAFTING LANGUAGE, IF
19 THAT INPUT IS SOUGHT. HOWEVER, ONCE A MEASURE
20 QUALIFIES FOR THE BALLOT, THE BOARD'S ACTIONS ARE
21 RESTRICTED TO TAKING A FORMAL ENDORSEMENT ON THE
22 MEASURE IN A PUBLICLY NOTICED HEARING THAT PROVIDES
23 FOR PUBLIC INPUT, PROVIDING OBJECTIVE ANALYSIS ON
24 THE BOARD WEBSITE, FOR INSTANCE, RESPONDING TO
25 REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION THAT DO NOT TAKE THE FORM

1 OF ADVOCATING FOR A MEASURE'S DEFEAT OR PASSAGE.
2 SO YOUR ACTIVITY MUST BE MUCH MORE
3 CIRCUMSPECT AFTER A MEASURE QUALIFIES FOR THE
4 BALLOT. THAT DOES NOT RESTRICT YOU FROM ANYTHING
5 YOU WOULD DO IN YOUR PERSONAL CAPACITY, OF COURSE.
6 AND WE HAVE HISTORICALLY SENT OUT INFORMATION,
7 MEMORANDA DESCRIBING THIS IN GREATER DETAIL FOR YOU,
8 AND WE WILL DO SO AGAIN.

9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ANY OTHER COMMENTS BY
10 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD EITHER HERE OR ON THE PHONE?
11 OKAY. I DON'T BELIEVE THIS IS ANYTHING THAT
12 REQUIRES A VOTE. THIS IS SORT OF AN INFORMATIONAL
13 ITEM. WE WILL OBVIOUSLY KEEP YOU POSTED AT EVERY
14 STEP OF THE WAY HERE AND LOOK FORWARD TO MAKING THIS
15 HAPPEN. IT BASICALLY HAS TO HAPPEN BECAUSE WE HAVE
16 TOO MANY GOOD THINGS GOING ON HERE TO HAVE IT COME
17 TO A SCREECHING HALT WHEN WE RUN OUT OF FUNDS.

18 NO OTHER COMMENTS, ANYBODY ON THE PHONE?
19 OKAY. THANK YOU. AND, BOB AND TEAM, THANK YOU. I
20 KNOW YOU HAVE TO RUN. THANKS VERY MUCH FOR BEING
21 HERE FOR THIS MEETING AND THE SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING.
22 AND HAVE A GOOD TRIP BACK TO PALO ALTO.

23 MR. KLEIN: VERY NICE PRESENTATION.

24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. OKAY. I
25 THINK THIS LOOKS LIKE, SINCE WE'VE BEEN DOING

1 VARIOUS THINGS -- OKAY. WE'RE GOING TO DO SOMETHING
2 ELSE OUT OF ORDER. THE ALWAYS POPULAR CONSENT
3 CALENDAR, WHICH HAS A NUMBER OF NONCONTROVERSIAL
4 BUT, NONETHELESS, VERY IMPORTANT POINTS.

5 I'M SORRY. BEFORE GET TO THE CONSENT
6 CALENDAR, MARY BASS FROM AMERICANS FOR CURES WOULD
7 LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT.

8 MS. BASS: THANK YOU. AS CHAIRMAN THOMAS
9 MENTIONED, MY NAME IS MARY BASS. AND I'M THE
10 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF AMERICANS FOR CURES, THE
11 NONPROFIT OF WHICH BOB KLEIN IS CHAIR.

12 SO FIRST I WANT TO THANK EACH AND EVERY
13 ONE OF YOU FOR BEING HERE AND ALL THE WORK THAT YOU
14 DO, TO DR. MILLAN, TO CHAIRMAN THOMAS, TO SENATOR
15 TORRES, SUPERVISOR SHEEHY, AND ALL OF YOU HERE.

16 I WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT TO PAY TRIBUTE TO
17 AND RECOGNIZE THE PATIENTS AND THE PATIENT ADVOCATES
18 WHO ARE THE REASON BEHIND WHY WE ALL DO WHAT WE DO.
19 AND THAT INCLUDES BOTH THOSE HERE TODAY AND THOSE
20 WHO ARE NO LONGER WITH US. SO SPECIFICALLY, DAVID
21 AND FRANCES SALDANA WHO TRAGICALLY LOST THEIR SON
22 MICHAEL TO HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE AND CONTINUE TO
23 ADVOCATE, TO FIGHT HUNTINGTON'S. TO ADRIENNE
24 SHAPIRO, WHO IS HERE AS WELL, WHOSE DAUGHTER HAS
25 SICKLE CELL DISEASE. TO DON REED WHOSE SON ROMAN,

1 OF COURSE, WAS PARALYZED. TO DIANE WINOKUR, WHOSE
2 SON'S LIFE WAS TRAGICALLY CLAIMED BY ALS. AND TO
3 JENNIFER RAUB, WHO'S NOT HERE TODAY, BUT WHO IS A
4 TIRELESS ADVOCATE FOR PARKINSON'S, AS, OF COURSE, IS
5 DAVID HIGGINS. AND, OF COURSE, TO BOB KLEIN WHOSE
6 SON WAS, IS THE REASON THAT ALL OF THIS EXISTS
7 TODAY.

8 SO WITH THESE STORIES, WE'RE EXCITED FOR
9 THE JOURNEY THROUGH 2020 TO EDUCATE THE PUBLIC ON
10 THE TREMENDOUS SUCCESSES OF THE CALIFORNIA
11 EXPERIMENT, AT WHICH POINT THE VOTERS WILL MAKE THE
12 CHOICE OF WHETHER TO CONTINUE THEIR INVESTMENT. SO
13 THANK YOU ALL.

14 (APPLAUSE.)

15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARY.

16 SO DO WE HEAR A MOTION TO APPROVE THE
17 CONSENT ITEMS?

18 DR. JUELSGAARD: SO MOVE.

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY MR. JUELSGAARD.

20 DR. GASSON: SECOND.

21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECONDED BY DR. GASSON.

22 ANY COMMENT ON ANY OF THESE? HEARING NONE, ANY
23 COMMENT BY MEMBERS ON THE PHONE? WE CAN DO THIS ON
24 A VOICE VOTE PLUS ROLL.

25 MR. TOCHER: PUBLIC COMMENT.

1 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SORRY. PUBLIC COMMENT.
2 I FORGOT. NO PUBLIC COMMENT. MARIA, I WILL FIRST
3 ASK, AND IF YOU CAN POLL THOSE ON THE PHONE. ALL
4 THOSE IN FAVOR OF THIS MOTION IN THE ROOM PLEASE SAY
5 AYE. OPPOSED? ABSTENTIONS? MARIA, PLEASE CALL THE
6 ROLL.

7 MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.

8 DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.

9 MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.

10 DR. BOXER: YES.

11 MS. BONNEVILLE: JACK DIXON.

12 DR. DIXON: YES.

13 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.

14 MR. PANETTA: YES.

15 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.

16 MR. ROWLETT: YES.

17 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY. KRISTINA
18 VUORI.

19 DR. VUORI: YES.

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.

21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. WE'LL NOW
22 PROCEED TO THE CHAIR'S REPORT. SO I HAVE, IN
23 ADDITION TO THE JOINT SUBCOMMITTEE PRESENTATION, I
24 HAVE A NUMBER OF THINGS I WANTED TO BRING TO THE
25 BOARD'S ATTENTION AS I THOUGHT THEY WERE ITEMS OF

1 INTEREST. NO. 1, IN OCTOBER, AS WE'VE ALWAYS HAD,
2 THERE WAS THE MEETING ON THE MESA DOWN IN LA JOLLA,
3 WHICH IS AN ANNUAL CONVENING OF BIOTECH COMPANIES IN
4 THE STEM CELL SPACE, INVESTORS, PATIENT ADVOCATES,
5 SOME POLITICAL FOLK, ETC. IT'S ALWAYS ONE OF THE
6 SORT OF BELLWETHER EVENTS AT WHICH YOU CAN GAUGE THE
7 PROGRESS OF THE INDUSTRY.

8 A NUMBER OF US WERE DOWN THERE FOR THIS.
9 JUST A FEW STARS OF INTEREST. THERE WERE 60
10 PRESENTING COMPANIES AT THE EVENT PLUS A WHOLE BUNCH
11 OF OTHERS THAT DIDN'T PRESENT. AND OF THOSE, A
12 NUMBER ARE VERY FAMILIAR NAMES: ASTERIAS, CAPRICOR,
13 CELLULAR DYNAMICS THAT WAS INVOLVED WITH IPS CELL
14 BANK, JCYTE, ORCHARD, WHICH IS THE COMPANY THAT DON
15 KOHN FORMED, SANGAMO, AND VIACYTE, AMONGST OTHERS.
16 SO CIRM-FUNDED PROJECTS WERE VERY WELL REPRESENTED.
17 THEY HAD JUST UNDER A THOUSAND ATTENDEES AT THIS
18 YEAR'S MEETING, AND ARE SORT OF WORKING THEIR WAY
19 INTO OUTGROWING THE ESTANCIA HOTEL IN LA JOLLA,
20 WHICH HAS BEEN A GREAT VENUE SINCE ITS INCEPTION.

21 THE BIG PURPOSE OF THIS EVENT IS TO GET
22 MEETINGS, NETWORKING MEETINGS, POTENTIAL
23 COLLABORATION MEETINGS. THEY SAID THAT THEY HAD
24 1450 SUCH MEETINGS. I'M NOT QUITE SURE HOW THEY
25 GAUGE THAT, BUT THERE WERE -- I KNOW MARIA AND ABLA

1 AND PAT AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE TEAM HAD A WHOLE
2 BUNCH OF MEETINGS SORT OF DAWN TO DUSK AND MADE
3 SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS IN DISCUSSIONS WITH EITHER
4 EXISTING AWARDEES OR POTENTIAL. SO IT WAS, AS IT
5 TENDS TO BE, A REAL SUCCESS.

6 SECOND THING I WANTED TO REPORT TO YOU
7 WAS, AS YOU KNOW, ANNUALLY WE REPORT TO THE STATE
8 CONTROLLER, BETTY YEE, GREAT FRIEND OF THE
9 SENATOR'S, WHO CONVENES THE SO-CALLED CFAOC, WHICH
10 IS A COMMISSION THAT MEETS TO HEAR ABOUT CIRM AND
11 THE PROGRESS THAT IT'S MADE, THE BUDGETARY MATTERS,
12 HOW IT'S HANDLING THE DOLLARS IN A WAY THAT IS
13 COMMENSURATE WITH EXPERT STEWARDSHIP ON BEHALF OF
14 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. CHILA SILVA-MARTIN DID A
15 GREAT JOB IN TALKING ABOUT THE FINANCES OF CIRM.
16 DR. MILLAN GAVE A PRESENTATION ON THE PROGRAMS AND
17 THE PROGRESS MUCH LIKE A LOT OF THE MATERIAL YOU
18 HEARD ABOUT TODAY. THAT PRESENTATION WAS VERY
19 ENTHUSIASTICALLY RECEIVED. I SPOKE ABOUT TRANSITION
20 MATTERS; AND AT THE END OF ALL THIS, WE HAD A VERY,
21 VERY POSITIVE RESPONSE FROM THE CONTROLLER AND ALL
22 MEMBERS OF HER GROUP AND WERE UNFAILINGLY IMPRESSED
23 WITH EVERYTHING THAT WE HAVE GOING AND ARE
24 UNANIMOUSLY OF A VIEW THAT CIRM IS DOING GREAT WORK.
25 AND SO I JUST WANTED TO PASS THAT ALONG TO YOU SO

1 YOU ARE AWARE OF THAT.

2 AN ITEM THAT'S GOTTEN ACTUALLY SOME
3 INTERESTING PRESS, AS YOU RECALL, BACK IN SEPTEMBER
4 WE APPROVED, AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, ANOTHER COUPLE
5 OF STEM CELLS ALPHA CLINICS. AND THESE ARE
6 THEMSELVES BEST IN CLASS IN THE WORLD AND HAVE THE
7 IMPRIMATUR OF CIRM ON THEM, SOMETHING THAT GIVES A
8 GREAT DEAL OF COMFORT TO ANYBODY PARTICIPATING IN
9 THE CLINICAL TRIALS THAT ARE BEING UNDERTAKEN AT
10 THOSE FACILITIES.

11 WE HAVE ON THE FLIP SIDE OF THAT A
12 PROLIFERATION OF UNLICENSED STEM CELL CLINICS,
13 SO-CALLED STEM CELL TOURISM, WHETHER IT'S HERE OR
14 IT'S OTHER STATES OR OTHER COUNTRIES OR WHATEVER,
15 THAT IS RAISING INCREASING ALARM BECAUSE IT IS
16 SELLING A PRODUCT THAT IS UNREGULATED AND UNTESTED
17 AND UNPROVEN TO MANY PEOPLE THAT ARE DESPERATE IN
18 LOOKING FOR ANYTHING. AND YOU'RE STARTING TO SEE
19 BODIES ACKNOWLEDGING THAT AS A MAJOR PROBLEM AND
20 ACTING UPON THAT. SO TOWARDS THAT END, ON OCTOBER
21 2D, SENATOR'S GOOD FRIEND, SENATOR ED HERNANDEZ,
22 SACRAMENTO, HAD A BILL PASSED WHICH SET UP PROTOCOLS
23 FOR UNLICENSED -- WHAT WILL HAPPEN TO UNLICENSED
24 STEM CELL CLINICS IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, WHICH
25 HAS THE CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD AS THE OVERSEER.

1 THERE ARE PENALTIES ATTACHED WHICH GET PROGRESSIVELY
2 WORSE. IT IS ACKNOWLEDGING THE PROBLEM.

3 I BELIEVE, SENATOR, CORRECT ME IF I'M
4 WRONG, I THINK THIS IS THE FIRST OF ITS KIND IN ANY
5 STATE FOR SUCH LAW PASSED IN ANY STATE IN THE
6 COUNTRY TO TRY TO DEAL WITH THIS ISSUE. AND I'M
7 CERTAIN THAT, AS CALIFORNIA TENDS TO BE, WILL BE THE
8 MODEL OF LEGISLATION IN OTHER STATES TO ADDRESS THIS
9 ISSUE IN A SIMILAR FASHION.

10 AT THE SAME TIME THE FDA IS CRACKING DOWN
11 ON THIS. THERE HAVE BEEN SOME CELEBRATED INSTANCES
12 OF REAL ABUSE BY UNREGULATED STEM CELL CLINICS THAT
13 YOU RECALL THE STORY OF THE THREE WOMEN WHO WENT TO
14 A CLINIC IN FLORIDA GETTING STEM CELL TREATMENTS FOR
15 MACULAR DEGENERATION, ALL THREE OF WHICH ENDED UP
16 BLINDED BY THE TREATMENTS. THIS IS THE SORT OF
17 THING THAT CAN HAPPEN.

18 THE FDA ON NOVEMBER 16TH CAME OUT WITH A
19 COMPREHENSIVE NEW POLICY APPROACH TO FACILITATING
20 THE DEVELOPMENT OF INNOVATIVE REGENERATIVE MEDICAL
21 PRODUCTS TO IMPROVE HUMAN HEALTH. THAT'S A
22 MOUTHFUL. THE IDEA IS THAT THEY'RE PUTTING IN PLACE
23 NOW PROCEDURES AND PRACTICES FROM A REGULATORY
24 STANDPOINT THAT WILL FURTHER ADDRESS THE ISSUE. I
25 DON'T THINK ANYBODY BELIEVES THAT WHAT HAS BEEN DONE

1 TO DATE IS GOING TO COMPREHENSIVELY TACKLE THIS, BUT
2 THESE ARE MAJOR MOVES THAT ARE GETTING US IN THAT
3 DIRECTION. I'M SURE WE'LL BE HAVING FURTHER
4 DISCUSSION ON THIS TOPIC DOWN THE ROAD. JUST WANTED
5 YOU TO BE AWARE OF THAT.

6 MR. TORRES: MR. CHAIRMAN.

7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES, MR. SENATOR.

8 MR. TORRES: THIS LEGISLATION WAS THE
9 RESULT OF A PROGRAM THAT ED PENHOET, MY PREDECESSOR,
10 AND I PUT TOGETHER FOR THE LEGISLATURE TO ALLOW THEM
11 TO BRING IN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY FELLOWS FROM
12 BIOTECH AND FROM OTHER FIELDS TO EDUCATE THE
13 LEGISLATURE AND TO BE PART OF CERTAIN OFFICES IN THE
14 SENATE AND THE ASSEMBLY.

15 WELL, DR. HERNANDEZ' FELLOW IS THE ONE
16 THAT HELPED DRAFT THE LEGISLATION ALONG WITH THE
17 HELP OF KEVIN MC CORMACK, WHO'S HERE IN THE
18 BACKGROUND, AND REALLY WAS A STELLAR PERFORMANCE BY
19 A YOUNG VIETNAMESE AMERICAN WOMAN, A FELLOW, A
20 PH.D., WHO WORKED VERY CLOSELY WITH DR. HERNANDEZ.
21 SO IT WAS CLEARLY A VERY INTERESTING COLLABORATION.
22 AND THE FACT THAT I'M JUST PROUD OF THE FACT THAT
23 THE PROGRAM THAT AND ED AND I STARTED WITH A GRANT
24 FROM THE GORDON MOORE FOUNDATION ENDED UP PROVIDING,
25 NOT ONLY REAL FELLOWS FOR THE LEGISLATORS, BUT NOW A

1 REAL TRUE MANIFESTATION OF A CONCRETE PROPOSAL WHICH
2 IS NOW LAW IN CALIFORNIA.

3 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. SENATOR.
4 DR. STEWARD.

5 DR. STEWARD: JUST TO ACTUALLY BUILD ON
6 WHAT YOU JUST FINISHED SAYING, I THINK IT'S REALLY
7 IMPORTANT TO TAKE THIS INTO THE SAME SORT OF CONTEXT
8 THAT WE WERE JUST CONSIDERING ABOUT THE FUTURE OF
9 CIRM AND GOING FORWARD IN THE PUBLIC ARENA TO BUILD
10 ON RECREATING PROP 71 IN 2020.

11 I THINK ONE OF THE REALLY IMPORTANT
12 EDUCATIONAL THINGS THAT WE'RE ALL GOING TO HAVE TO
13 UNDERTAKE IS EXACTLY THIS THING OF DIFFERENTIATING
14 BETWEEN THE SCIENCE OF DEVELOPMENT OF STEM CELL
15 TREATMENTS AND THERAPIES VERSUS THIS OTHER VERY
16 DANGEROUS ASPECT OF THINGS THAT ARE OUT THERE. AND
17 IT'S ALL CALLED STEM CELLS, AND IT'S GOING TO BE
18 REALLY HARD TO WORK ON THAT IN A PUBLIC WHO DOESN'T
19 REALLY PAY TOO MUCH ATTENTION TO THE DETAILS OF
20 SCIENCE.

21 I WONDER IF THE CIRM SCIENCE TEAM AND SOME
22 OF OUR PUBLIC EDUCATION EFFORTS REALLY MIGHT
23 USEFULLY BE DIRECTED IN THAT. AND I DON'T KNOW
24 QUITE HOW TO DO IT. ENOUGH SAID ABOUT THE
25 DIFFICULTY OF IT, BUT JUST TO SORT OF LAY THAT OUT

1 THERE AS SOMETHING THAT WE ALL SHOULD BE THINKING
2 ABOUT. THANK YOU.

3 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, DR. STEWARD.

4 MOVING ON, I WANTED TO NOTE THAT, AS YOU
5 ARE PROBABLY AWARE OF, THIS PAST YEAR HAS SEEN THE
6 ADVENT OF ANOTHER VERY MAJOR SOURCE OF FUNDING FOR
7 SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH HEADED BY THE CHAN ZUCKERBERG
8 INITIATIVE, WHO HAVE DEDICATED \$3 BILLION TO CHAN
9 ZUCKERBERG SCIENCE, WHICH, AMONGST OTHER THINGS, 600
10 MILLION OF THAT HAS GONE TO THE SO-CALLED BIOHUB
11 WHICH IS BASED OUT OF UCSF AND INCLUDES STANFORD AND
12 BERKELEY.

13 DR. OLSON AND I AND DR. NUGUEN WENT OVER
14 AND MET WITH STEVE QUAKE WHO'S FROM STANFORD WHO IS
15 RUNNING THE BIO HUB. WE HAD AN INTERESTING
16 CONVERSATION. THE POINT OF IT WAS SORT OF UP TO US
17 TO TELL THEM WHAT WE'RE DOING AND TO HEAR WHAT
18 THEY'RE DOING AND TO SEE IF THERE ARE ANY POTENTIAL
19 AREAS OF COLLABORATION. THE AREAS OF FOCUS ARE A
20 LITTLE DIFFERENT FROM WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT.
21 THEY'RE LOOKING AT HUMAN GENE MAPPING, INFECTIOUS
22 DISEASE, SOME OTHER THINGS, BUT THERE ARE SOME
23 POTENTIAL IDEAS FOR COLLABORATION HERE. AND I THINK
24 THAT THAT IS ALWAYS A GOOD THING.

25 LAST, BUT NOT LEAST, THERE'S BEEN AN EVENT

1 THE LAST FEW YEARS CALLED THE WORLD ALLIANCE FORUM,
2 WHICH IS AN EVENT THAT PULLS TOGETHER MANY
3 SCIENTISTS FROM JAPAN, COMES OVER TO THE STATES TO
4 CONVENE A STEM CELL CONFERENCE OUT IN GOLDEN GATE
5 PARK THAT ADDRESSES A VARIETY OF ISSUES THAT ARE
6 RELEVANT TO THE SPACE. THIS YEAR THEY SORT OF
7 INCREASED THE SCOPE OF WHAT THEY WERE LOOKING AT,
8 NOT JUST STEM CELLS, BUT WHAT THEY CALLED HEALTHCARE
9 GAME CHANGERS.

10 THEY HAD OVER 300 PARTICIPANTS THERE TO
11 ENGAGE IN A NUMBER OF PANELS AND DISCUSSIONS, BOTH
12 IN REGENERATIVE MEDICINE, BUT ALSO IN THE FIELD OF
13 DIGITAL HEALTH AND HEALTHCARE I.T., GENE THERAPY,
14 AND CANCER IMMUNOTHERAPY. NEIL LITTMAN FROM OUR
15 TEAM WENT OVER REPRESENTING CIRM, PARTICIPATED ON A
16 LIVELY PANEL ON FUNDING INNOVATIONS WITH
17 REPRESENTATIVES FROM ROCHE'S VENTURE FUND, PETER
18 THIEL'S BREAKOUT LABS, DEFTA PARTNERS, SILICON
19 VALLEY BANK, AND PROVIDENCE VENTURES, SO IT WAS SORT
20 OF A GROUP THAT CAME AT THIS FUNDING IDEA FROM A
21 NUMBER OF DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVES. THE PANEL WAS
22 VERY WELL RECEIVED. SO, NEIL, THANK YOU.

23 THEY ALSO ALWAYS HAVE A NICE EVENT AT THE
24 JAPANESE CONSULATE THE NIGHT BEFORE WHERE THE
25 JAPANESE CONSUL GENERAL HOSTS ATTENDEES OF THE

1 CONFERENCE, AND IT'S ALWAYS A GOOD CHANCE FOR
2 NETWORKING AND TALKING ABOUT ISSUES OF THE DAY. SO
3 I JUST WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW THAT.

4 SO THAT CONCLUDES THE CHAIR'S REPORT. WE
5 WILL NOW -- ANYBODY HAVE ANY COMMENTS, THOUGHTS,
6 ANYTHING ANYBODY WANTS TO SAY?

7 OKAY. SO I THINK WITH THAT, THAT
8 CONCLUDES THE VARIOUS ACTION ITEMS. WE'RE NOW ON TO
9 REPORTS AND DISCUSSION ITEMS. FIRST UP IS GOING TO
10 BE THE CLINICAL PROGRAM UPDATE. KEVIN MC CORMACK IS
11 GOING TO LEAD US IN THAT DISCUSSION.

12 MR. MC CORMACK: CHAIRMAN THOMAS, MEMBERS
13 OF THE BOARD, MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, AND COLLEAGUES,
14 I HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH THE CLINICAL PROGRAM, I'M
15 HAPPY TO SAY BECAUSE I'D PROBABLY MAKE A MESS OF IT.
16 I'M THE DIRECTOR OF PATIENT ADVOCATE OUTREACH. ONE
17 OF THE GREAT PRIVILEGES AND PLEASURES OF MY JOB IS I
18 GET TO SEE THE REAL WORLD CONSEQUENCES OF WHAT YOU
19 DO HERE AND THE DECISIONS THAT YOU MAKE HERE AND THE
20 PEOPLE WHOSE LIVES ARE TOUCHED BY THAT.

21 AND TODAY WE'RE FORTUNATE ENOUGH TO BE
22 HEARING FROM SEVERAL OF THOSE PEOPLE AND THE IMPACT
23 THAT IT'S HAD, THE RESEARCH AND THE FUNDING THAT
24 YOU'VE AWARDED OVER THE YEARS, THE IMPACT IT'S HAD
25 ON THEM IN TERMS LIFE-CHANGING, EVEN LIFESAVING

1 TREATMENTS.

2 WE'RE GOING TO BEGIN WITH ADRIENNE
3 SHAPIRO, WHO MARY BASS TALKED ABOUT EARLIER. AND
4 ADRIENNE IS A REMARKABLE WOMAN ON MANY LEVELS, A
5 CHAMPION OF STEM CELL RESEARCH, BUT ALSO A GREAT
6 ADVOCATE FOR SICKLE CELL DISEASE.

7 MS. SHAPIRO: HELLO. I'VE GOT A LITTLE
8 BIT OF A SCRATCHY THROAT, SO I APOLOGIZE BEFOREHAND.

9 IT'S FUNNY BECAUSE YOU GUYS ARE THE FIRST
10 PEOPLE I EVER STOOD UP IN FRONT OF AND ASKED FOR
11 SOMETHING. I WAS HERE IN L.A. WHEN DR. KOHN'S
12 RESEARCH PROJECT CAME BEFORE YOU AND ASKED FOR
13 FUNDING, AND I WAS TERRIFIED. AND I NEVER THOUGHT
14 EVER IN THE WORLD I COULD DO THIS, BUT I WAS NEVER
15 EVER GOING TO DO ANYTHING LIKE IT AGAIN. AND I HAVE
16 TO LET YOU KNOW THAT SINCE THEN, I HAVE SPOKEN FROM
17 ONE ON ONE TO PEOPLE WHO THOUGHT THAT STEM CELL
18 RESEARCH WAS SATANISTIC RITUAL TO BEING IN FRONT OF
19 A CROWD OF, I THINK, 5,000 OR 2,000 JAPANESE
20 SCIENTISTS ALL LOOKING AT ME VERY POLITELY.

21 SO I SAID, WELL, WHAT COULD TAKE A MOM,
22 BECAUSE I'M JUST A MOM, ON A JOURNEY LIKE THIS? HOW
23 COULD THIS HAPPEN? WHAT HAPPENED? WELL, FIRST, I
24 WANTED MY DAUGHTER TO BE FIXED, AND I HAD DONE ALL
25 MY RESEARCH AND I KNEW THAT STEM CELL WAS GOING TO

1 BE THE FIX. WHEN YOU GUYS GOT READY, WHEN YOU HAD
2 THE ABILITY TO TAKE STEM CELLS AND TURN THEM INTO
3 SKIN -- SKIN CELLS INTO STEM CELLS, I CALLED YOU UP
4 AND, "I THINK YOU'RE READY FOR ME," WHICH WAS EVEN
5 STRANGER, WAS THAT YOU GUYS SAID, "YES, COME ON."
6 AND I CAME ON THIS JOURNEY.

7 SO WHAT ELSE HAVE I LEARNED IN THIS
8 JOURNEY? I LEARNED THAT MY CHILD AND I, BEING
9 FOURTH GENERATION OF LIVING WITH A TERRIBLE DISEASE,
10 WERE NOT ALONE. I LEARNED THAT THERE WERE MOTHERS
11 OUT THERE WHO WERE WATCHING OVER THEIR CHILDREN WHO
12 HAD MUCH WORSE CONDITIONS THAN MY CHILD. I MET MY
13 SUPER HERO FRANCES, WHO EVERY DAY WHEN I GET UP AND
14 I SAY WHAT I'M GRATEFUL FOR, I'M GRATEFUL FOR
15 FRANCES BECAUSE SHE SHOWED ME THAT HAVING LIVED
16 THROUGH MY WORLD'S WORSE NIGHTMARE, THE LOSS OF A
17 CHILD, THAT SHE STILL GETS UP EVERY DAY. AND I CAN
18 GET UP EVERY DAY BECAUSE SHE'S GONE THROUGH IT THREE
19 TIMES.

20 I HAVE MET SOME OF THE MOST FASCINATING
21 PEOPLE IN THE WORLD. I'VE MET RESEARCH DOCS WHOSE
22 BRAINS OUGHT TO BE LIKE THE SIZE OF THIS BUILDING,
23 BUT WHOSE HEARTS WERE DOUBLE THAT SIZE. I'VE MET
24 PEOPLE WHO SIT, AND SOMETIMES THEY'D MAKE JOKES
25 ABOUT YOU PEOPLE -- SORRY, SCIENTISTS -- ABOUT HOW

1 YOU CAN'T SPEAK, YOU CAN'T TALK, BUT WHO SAT WITH ME
2 AND BROKE THEIR SCIENCE DOWN TO THE POINT WHERE I
3 COULD GO IN AND EXPLAIN IT TO FIVE-YEAR-OLDS.

4 I HAVE BEEN ON VENDOR FLOORS AT
5 CONFERENCES WHERE THEY WILL TAKE THE TIME. I WALK
6 UP, I GO UP, "I'M JUST A MOM, I'M JUST HERE, I WANT
7 TO KNOW WHAT YOU DO." AND THEY'VE TAKEN THE TIME TO
8 EXPLAIN TO ME THE WHOLE PROCESS, ALL THE PROCESSES
9 THAT IT TAKES TO TAKE STEM CELLS FROM ONE PLACE TO
10 ANOTHER PLACE TO ANOTHER PLACE, TO GUARANTEE THAT
11 WHOEVER RECEIVES THAT, WHOEVER RECEIVES THAT
12 MATERIAL IS GETTING A QUALITY PRODUCT.

13 I'VE GONE FROM BEING A SELFISH MOMMY, AND
14 I'M TELLING YOU SELFISH AS IN MY KID, US, ME, MY
15 FAMILY, TO BEING A MOTHER, A MOTHER WHO SEES A NEW
16 WORLD, NOT JUST FOR HER CHILDREN, BUT FOR SO MANY
17 OTHER CHILDREN, MILLIONS, THOUSANDS, IN WAYS THAT
18 YOU CANNOT COMPREHEND BECAUSE UNLESS YOU'VE LIVED
19 WITH THE IDEA THAT EVERY DAY YOU SHARE WITH YOUR
20 CHILD COULD BE THE LAST. THERE WERE MANY, MANY
21 MOTHERS LIKE ME. AND IT'S AN INTERESTING THING WHAT
22 HOPE DOES.

23 I'LL JUST GIVE YOU ONE EXAMPLE OF HOPE.
24 AS PART OF MY WORK, I'VE BEEN ADVOCATING WITH PEOPLE
25 WITH SICKLE CELL WHO DON'T HAVE PARENTS. I HAD THIS

1 ONE PARTICULAR YOUNG WOMAN I'VE BEEN FIGHTING FOR,
2 GETTING TREATMENT, AND MAKING SURE THINGS WERE OKAY,
3 AND MEETING ALL HER CHALLENGES. AND I HAD GONE TO
4 INTERNATIONAL STEM CELL SUMMIT. AND I WALKED IN THE
5 VENDOR STORE -- I CALL IT A STORE REALLY BECAUSE AT
6 THE END OF IT, THEY DON'T WANT TO CARRY ANY OF THAT
7 STUFF HOME, SO THEY GIVE ME TONS OF IT. I HAVE TO
8 TAKE BOXES OF IT HOME. SO I COME HOME. WHEN I GOT
9 HOME, I FOUND OUT THAT LAKEISHA, AND I'M GOING TO
10 USE HER NAME, WAS IN THE HOSPITAL AND NOT DOING
11 WELL. SO I PACK UP THIS THING, AND THERE'S THIS
12 LITTLE ANIMAL THAT WE USE TO BE LIKE A STEM CELL
13 GUY. AND THEN THERE WAS THIS BIG, HUGE T-SHIRT.
14 AND THEN THERE WERE ALL OF THESE -- JUST A BUNCH OF
15 GOODIES. AND I TOOK THEM TO THE HOSPITAL ROOM, AND
16 I SAID, "YOU'LL NEVER GUESS WHERE I'VE BEEN. LET ME
17 TELL YOU WHO I MET, AND LET ME TELL YOU WHAT'S GOING
18 ON, AND LET ME TELL YOU WHAT THAT MEANS FOR YOU, AND
19 WHAT THAT MEANS FOR YOUR DAUGHTER WHO IS A TRAIT
20 CARRIER, AND THIS WHOLE NEW WORLD THAT'S COMING TO
21 US, IT'S REAL. IT'S COMING TO US."

22 SHE PUT ON THE T-SHIRT, SHE GOT THE TOYS,
23 SHE WAS SO HAPPY, SHE SAVED PART OF THE STUFF FOR
24 HER KID WHO WAS GOING TO COME AND VISIT. WE HAD A
25 WONDERFUL, WONDERFUL CHAT. BUT HER EYES WERE

1 GLEAMING, AND I WAS CRYING BECAUSE WE WERE SHARING
2 THE FACT THAT THERE WAS REALLY, REALLY HOPE WHERE WE
3 KNEW THAT HOPE AND HOPE FOR HER DAUGHTER WHO WASN'T
4 GOING TO CARRY ON.

5 SO TWO DAYS LATER LAKEISHA GOT HERSELF
6 DISMISSED FROM THE HOSPITAL, PACKED UP ALL HER
7 GOODIES, WENT HOME. I WENT OVER TO VISIT, AND HER
8 CHILD WAS PLAYING WITH THE TOYS AND THE LITTLE
9 PUZZLE THING. AND LAKEISHA WAS REALLY UNWELL. AND
10 WE TALKED ABOUT WHAT STEM CELLS MEANT, NOT JUST TO
11 SICKLE CELL, BUT TO EVERYONE FOR WHEN SHE GOT THE
12 CURE, SHE COULD FIX HER KNEE. WHEN SHE GOT THE
13 CURE, THERE WERE ALL THESE OTHER THINGS THAT HAD
14 GONE WRONG, WHICH WERE KIDNEYS AND THIS, THAT, AND
15 THE OTHER. AND ALL THAT WOULD ULTIMATELY BE FIXED.
16 BUT THE BEST PART OF ALL WAS THAT HER DAUGHTER WOULD
17 NOT HAVE TO SUFFER THROUGH THIS.

18 SO FOUR AND A HALF HOURS AFTER I HAD THIS
19 DISCUSSION WITH LAKEISHA SHE DIED. AND THE THING
20 ABOUT THAT DEATH WAS, ON ONE HAND, I THOUGHT, OH, MY
21 GOD. THIS IS HORRIFIC, THIS IS HORRIBLE, I'M NOT
22 CUT OUT FOR THIS WORK. I WAS SO CRUSHED. BUT THEN
23 I THOUGHT A DEATH WITH HOPE VERSUS A DEATH THAT WAS
24 JUST SOMETHING THAT SAYS, OKAY, I'M GOING AND
25 THERE'S GOING TO BE NOTHING TO FOLLOW ME. A DEATH

1 WITH REAL HOPE. AND I NEED YOU TO UNDERSTAND WHAT
2 THAT MEANS TO THOSE OF US WHO HAVE HAD NO HOPE, THAT
3 THE WORK YOU DO, WHAT YOU SUPPORT. I KNOW IT'S
4 MEASURABLE ON A SPREADSHEET, BUT IT IS REAL AND IT
5 IS TANGIBLE AND IT'S LIKE -- IT'S UNBELIEVABLE. SO
6 THANK YOU. THANK YOU SO VERY MUCH.

7 (APPLAUSE.)

8 MR. MC CORMACK: I THINK WHEN ADRIENNE
9 SAYS JUST A MOM, I THINK IT'S ONE OF THE BIGGEST
10 UNDERSTATEMENTS YOU'LL EVER HEAR BECAUSE IT'S
11 MOTHERS LIKE HER WHO ARE HELPING DRIVE THIS AND WHO
12 ARE HELPING CHAMPION WHAT WE DO. AND WE'RE GOING TO
13 HEAR FROM ANOTHER ONE NOW, FRANCES SALDANA.

14 MS. SALDANA: HI. I'M FRANCES SALDANA.
15 MANY OF YOU I'VE SEEN MANY TIMES BEFORE, AND YOU ALL
16 PROBABLY KNOW THAT HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE IS A GENETIC
17 DISEASE. WHEN I MARRIED THE FATHER OF MY CHILDREN,
18 I HAD NO IDEA WHAT I WAS IN FOR. SO WE IMMEDIATELY
19 WENT INTO HAVING CHILDREN. SO JUST TO GET TO THE
20 BOTTOM OF WHERE THIS ENDED, I HAD ALL MY CHILDREN,
21 THREE CHILDREN, WITHIN A SEVEN-YEAR PERIOD. THEY
22 WERE THE MOST WONDERFUL YEARS A MOTHER COULD HAVE,
23 THE MOST WONDERFUL GIFT.

24 HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE TOOK ALL THEM AWAY
25 FROM ME WITHIN SEVEN YEARS. THESE ARE MY CHILDREN.

1 MY CHILDREN WERE FIGHTERS. AND WE SAID GOODBYE TO
2 MICHAEL TWO MONTHS AGO.

3 I HAVE A PICTURE HERE OF MY VERY FIRST
4 CIRM MEETING, WHICH WAS EXACTLY SEVEN YEARS AGO. WE
5 HAD SO MUCH HOPE. WHEN THEIR FATHER DIED, THAT WAS
6 DEVASTATING. HE DIED IN '89. WE HAD NO IDEA HOW TO
7 TREAT HUNTINGTON'S. WE DIDN'T KNOW HOW TO TEST. WE
8 HAD NOTHING. WE DIDN'T HAVE THE INTERNET. SO IT
9 WAS A NIGHTMARE FOR US, AND THE WORST NIGHTMARE FOR
10 ME WAS KNOWING THAT MY CHILDREN MAY BE HAVING THIS
11 VERY SAME DISEASE.

12 SO I TRIED TO MAKE LIFE AS WONDERFUL AS I
13 COULD FOR MY CHILDREN, INVOLVED THEM IN ACTIVITIES,
14 TRIED TO LEARN ALL I COULD ABOUT HUNTINGTON'S, WENT
15 TO THE UCI LIBRARY, CHECKED OUT VOLUMES OF BOOKS,
16 NOTHING ON HUNTINGTON'S, MAYBE A PARAGRAPH. SO WE
17 TRIED TO PRETEND IT WASN'T GOING TO HAPPEN. MY
18 CHILDREN WOULD ASK ME, "MOM, ARE WE GOING TO HAVE
19 DAD'S DISEASE?" I WOULD TELL THEM, KNOWING I WAS
20 LYING TO THEM, "OF COURSE YOU'RE NOT. YOU LOOK LIKE
21 ME. YOU'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE HUNTINGTON'S." SO WE
22 JUST WENT ON WITH LIFE.

23 MARGIE WAS VERY ACTIVE IN MUSICAL THEATER.
24 SHE LOVED THAT. SO SHE MOVED TO L.A. AND WOULD
25 WRITE HER OWN SCRIPT AND DID HER OWN STUDENT VIDEOS,

1 AND SHE WAS JUST SUCH A LOVABLE, VIBRANT DAUGHTER.
2 SHE WAS A SOCIALITE. EVERYTHING HAD TO -- SHE WAS A
3 PRINCESS. THAT'S WHAT SHE WAS. EVERYTHING WAS
4 POSSIBLE. IF YOU EVER SAW THE MOVIE "ENCHANTED,"
5 THAT WAS HER. SHE CONVINCED BY YOUNGEST DAUGHTER
6 MARIE, WHO IS NOW 17 YEARS OLD AND SYMPTOMATIC, AND
7 I KNEW, BUT I DIDN'T HAVE THE HEART TO TELL HER,
8 "BABY, I THINK YOU HAVE HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE."
9 THERE WAS NOTHING. WHY SHOULD I TELL HER?

10 SO SHE WAS IN THE MISS TEEN ORANGE COUNTY
11 BEAUTY PAGEANT AND DID WELL, BUT I KNEW, I KNEW.
12 EVEN WHEN SHE WAS -- WHEN SHE ENTERED, SHE WOULDN'T
13 BE ABLE TO ANSWER THE Q AND A QUESTIONS WELL. AND
14 WHEN SHE DANCED, SHE WAS LIKE ONE OR TWO BEATS
15 BEHIND EVERYBODY ELSE. BUT I JUST ENCOURAGED HER TO
16 DO WHAT SHE WANTED TO DO.

17 MICHAEL WAS THE ENTREPRENEUR, WANTED TO
18 HAVE HIS OWN RESTAURANT IN MANHATTAN ONE DAY. AND
19 WENT AS A TEENAGER BY HIMSELF WITHOUT MY PERMISSION
20 TO PARIS TO STUDY CULINARY ARTS AND TOOK FRENCH
21 CLASSES. AND THAT LITTLE KID, I COULDN'T STOP HIM.
22 AND I NOW KNOW THAT WAS PART OF THE JUVENILE ONSET
23 OF HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE. THEY'RE FEARLESS. THEY
24 THINK EVERYTHING IS POSSIBLE.

25 SO HE CAME BACK AND WANTED TO RAISE MONEY

1 FAST. SO HE WENT TO ALASKA AND GOT JOBS ON THOSE
2 FISHING EXPEDITIONS.

3 WHEN DAVID, MY HUSBAND, AND I DECIDED TO
4 GET MARRIED, I ASKED HIM TO COME BACK BECAUSE I
5 WANTED HIM TO WALK ME DOWN THE AISLE AND HE DID.
6 WHEN HE WALKED ME DOWN THE AISLE, HE STARTED
7 TRIPPING. AND AT A TIME WHEN I SHOULD HAVE BEEN SO
8 HAPPY, GETTING MARRIED TO DAVID WHO'S GOING TO BE MY
9 LIFELONG PARTNER, MY MIND IS ON HUNTINGTON'S
10 DISEASE. DOES MY SON HAVE IT?

11 SO THIS IS WHERE I JUST STARTED -- IN 2000
12 I JUST WENT FULL SPEED AHEAD TO ADVOCATE FOR
13 HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE AND STARTED THE HDSA ORANGE
14 COUNTY CHAPTER, AND BY NOW MY CHILDREN, ALL THREE OF
15 THEM, WERE PRETTY SYMPTOMATIC. SO YOU KNOW THEY
16 STARTED LOSING FRIENDS. WHEN THEY'RE WELL AND
17 VIBRANT, THEY'VE GOT LOTS OF FRIENDS. BUT THEY
18 STARTED GETTING SICK, FRIENDS GO AWAY.

19 LOOKING FORWARD TO THE HUNTINGTON'S
20 DISEASE WALKS, THE FUND RAISERS, LOOKING FORWARD TO
21 MARGIE'S BAKE SALE THAT SHE HAD EVERY YEAR, LOOKING
22 FORWARD TO THE BOWL-A-THON. THAT WAS LIKE CHRISTMAS
23 ONE TIME. SO IN 2007, WHEN KEN CERVIN (PHONETIC)
24 AND BOB KLEIN INVITED ME TO ATTEND MY VERY FIRST HD
25 OR CIRM BOARD MEETING, I JUST THOUGHT, OH, MY GOD.

1 THERE'S HOPE. THERE'S HOPE. MY CHILDREN ARE GOING
2 TO BE FINE. MY CHILDREN ARE GOING TO BE CURED.

3 SO HERE WE ARE, DR. PACIFICI, HANS
4 KIERSTEAD, MY DAUGHTER MARGIE, AFTER SHE SPOKE,
5 MYSELF, AND SHE'S SMILING. THERE'S A VIDEO OF HER
6 AT THIS TALK WHERE SHE'S -- THERE'S MOVEMENT ALL
7 OVER THE PLACE, AND SHE TALKS ABOUT HER CHILDREN
8 JUST ARE KEEPING THEIR DISTANCE FROM HER BECAUSE
9 THEY DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON. THAT WAS DECEMBER
10 2007. SO THE FIGHT GOES ON.

11 NOW MARIE IS NO LONGER ABLE TO WALK. AND
12 SINCE I'M STILL EMPLOYED, I CAN'T TAKE CARE OF HER
13 AT HOME. SHE'S SICK AND SHE'S BED-BOUND. SO I PULL
14 HER OF THE CARE HOME AND PUT HER IN A WHEELCHAIR TO
15 GO AND LET STUDENTS AND CHILDREN SEE WHAT
16 HUNTINGTON'S IS AND TO TELL THEM ABOUT IT AND THANK
17 THEM FOR FUND-RAISING FOR HER. HERE SHE IS JUST
18 THANKING ALL THE CHILDREN FOR RAISING FUNDS FOR
19 HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE RESEARCH.

20 SO HERE'S MICHAEL PASSING OUT WATER. HE
21 CAN'T WALK ANYMORE, BUT HE'S SMILING AND HE'S HAPPY
22 THAT WE'RE DOING ALL THESE THINGS.

23 AND 2012 I DECIDED I REALLY HAVE A LOT OF
24 FAITH AND HOPE IN THE WORK THAT DR. THOMPSON IS
25 DOING. I WANT TO SUPPORT DR. THOMPSON. I WANT TO

1 KNOW THAT NOT 5 PERCENT, 10 PERCENT, 15 PERCENT IS
2 GOING TO RESEARCH. I WANT ALL OF IT TO GO TO
3 RESEARCH, BUT I ALSO WANT FUNDING TO GO TO THE
4 CLINIC AT UCI, WHICH WE STARTED IN 2005, AND HAVE
5 MONEY FOR PATIENTS WHO DON'T HAVE INSURANCE,
6 PATIENTS THAT WANT A BLOOD TEST. SO WE STARTED HD
7 CARE AT UCI IN 2012. AND WE'RE ALL VOLUNTEERS. WE
8 DON'T GET SALARIES OR ANYTHING. SO WE GIVE 75
9 PERCENT TO LESLIE THOMPSON TO DO HER WORK ON INDUCED
10 PLURIPOTENT STEM CELLS OR ANYTHING SHE NEEDS FOR HER
11 LAB OR IF SHE WANTS TO SEND A POST-DOC TO A
12 CONFERENCE OR WHATEVER. WHATEVER SHE WANTS. IT'S
13 NOT DESIGNATED. SHE CAN DO WHAT SHE WANTS, AND THE
14 SAME WITH NEIL HERMANOWICZ.

15 SO NOW THE CLOCK IS TICKING, AND MY LITTLE
16 BABY MARIE PASSED AWAY IN 2009. SHE WAS STILL
17 SMILING AND STILL SINGING THE SONGS SHE SANG AS A
18 COUNSELOR AT THE YMCA. SHE WAS SUFFERING SO MUCH.
19 WHEN SHE PASSED AWAY SHE WEIGHED 67 POUNDS,
20 RECURRING SEPSIS, SEIZURES, GRAND MAL SEIZURES, THAT
21 WE HAD TO JUST DO SOMETHING DIFFERENT. DOCTOR TOLD
22 ME, "MRS. SALDANA, TALK TO YOUR DAUGHTER TO ASK GOD
23 TO TAKE HER." THAT WAS THE HARDEST THING I EVER HAD
24 TO DO, BUT I DID. AND SHE NODDED NO, NO, NO BECAUSE
25 SHE WAS A FIGHTER.

1 THE NEXT MORNING I WENT TO SEE HER, SHE
2 SMILED AT ME. I ASKED HER IF SHE LOVED ME. SHE
3 NODDED YES. I SAID I LOVE YOU TOO AND SHE PASSED
4 AWAY.

5 MY DAUGHTER MARGIE WENT THE BEST WAY.
6 THEY DIVIDED US BETWEEN THREE FAMILIES TO GET A
7 PRIVATE CAREGIVER FOR HER, AND SHE WENT TO SLEEP IN
8 2014, JUST WENT TO SLEEP AND THEN I WAS CALLED. I
9 DIDN'T GET TO BE THERE WHEN SHE TOOK HER LAST
10 BREATH. SHE ALWAYS THOUGHT SHE WAS GOING TO BE
11 CURED. AND HER WORST NIGHTMARE WAS TO THINK THAT
12 HER CHILDREN MIGHT INHERIT HER DISEASE.

13 WE CONTINUE WITH THE FIGHT IN HER MEMORY
14 AND IN THE MEMORY OF ALL THE FAMILIES THAT I'VE MET,
15 ALL THE DADS AND MOMS AND CHILDREN THAT I'VE MET
16 WHOSE LOVED ONES HAVE DIED.

17 MY SON, OH, MY GOD. HE WAS THE FIGHTER,
18 AND THEY'RE EVEN DOING STUDY ON HIM AT THE HOSPITAL
19 BECAUSE HE WAS SO SICK. BECAUSE YOU'RE YOUNG, THEIR
20 ORGANS ARE STRONG, BUT THE BRAIN IS DESTROYING THEIR
21 BODY. AND RECURRING SEPSIS, FOUR MONTHS IN AND OUT
22 OF THE HOSPITAL. AGAIN, THEY TOLD ME,
23 "MRS. SALDANA, THERE'S NOTHING WE CAN DO FOR HIM.
24 LET'S PUT HIM IN A HOSPICE. LET'S PUT HIM IN ON
25 COMFORT CARE." SO WE DID. I SAID, "WELL, HOW LONG

1 WILL IT TAKE?" "TWO DAYS," HE SAID. NO, 42 DAYS.
2 HIS HEART WAS STRONG. UNTIL I FINALLY GOT DOWN ON
3 MY KNEES AND PRAYED AND ASKED, "GOD, PLEASE TAKE MY
4 SON. HE'S SUFFERING THE WAY YOUR SON DIED. PLEASE
5 TAKE HIM RIGHT NOW." AND AS I LOOKED UP, I SAID,
6 "FRANCES, I THINK THIS IS IT." AND I GOT UP AND HE
7 TOOK HIS LAST BREATH.

8 SO MY GRANDDAUGHTER NOW WHO WAS LITTLE
9 WHEN ALL THIS STARTED, JUST A LITTLE GIRL FOUR YEARS
10 OLD PASSING OUT FLIERS. SHE'S NOW A YOUNG LADY, 19
11 YEARS OLD, AND NOW SHE'S AT RISK, BUT SHE'S WILLING.
12 SHE'S WILLING TO JOIN ME AND TO KEEP GOING ON WITH
13 THE FIGHT. THAT WOULD BE THE WORST NIGHTMARE FOR ME
14 IF SHE HAS HUNTINGTON'S, IF SHE TESTS POSITIVE, AND
15 WE STILL HAVE NOTHING. AT THAT POINT I DON'T KNOW
16 IF I WANT TO GO ON LIVING. BECAUSE I GOT TO SEE MY
17 MOTHER-IN-LAW ONLY ONE TIME. MY LATE HUSBAND DIED
18 AT THE AGE OF 42, AND NOW ALL MY CHILDREN ARE GONE.
19 I DON'T WANT TO SEE MY GRANDCHILDREN GONE.

20 I HAVE SO MUCH FAITH IN THE WORK THAT
21 DR. THOMPSON IS DOING, THE WORK THAT JAN NOLTA IS
22 DOING, ALL THE WORK THAT HD RESEARCHERS ARE DOING.
23 THEY'RE TRULY MY ANGELS. I'VE EVEN SAID MANY TIMES
24 TO LESLIE, JUST THESE PEOPLE, THEY'RE SO NICE. I
25 WORKED IN THE BUSINESS WORLD ALL MY LIFE SINCE I WAS

1 20, AND THIS IS NOT THE WAY IT IS IN THE BUSINESS
2 WORLD, BUT YOUR LAB TECHNICIANS, THEY'RE JUST LIKE A
3 DIFFERENT BREED. I THINK IT'S BECAUSE THEY REALLY
4 CARE. THEY WANT TO REALLY HEAL PEOPLE. IN FACT,
5 NINE OF THEM ACTUALLY WENT TO MICHAEL'S BEDSIDE
6 BEFORE HE DIED. THEY WENT JUST A FEW DAYS BEFORE HE
7 PASSED AWAY. THAT'S HOW MUCH THEY CARE, AND THEY'VE
8 GONE TO THE FUNERALS, AND THEY REALLY HAVE BECOME
9 PART OF OUR FAMILY. THEY GO TO OUR FUND RAISERS.

10 SO I JUST WANT TO THANK YOU ALL BECAUSE
11 THIS IS WHERE HOPE IS. IT'S RIGHT HERE, RIGHT HERE.
12 AND WITH ALL THE WORK THAT OUR RESEARCHER ARE DOING
13 IN THE LABS. WITH THAT SAID, I JUST WANT TO SAY MY
14 CHILDREN WERE FIGHTERS. I SEE THAT PICTURE OF THAT
15 LITTLE GIRL. THAT'S HOW I WANT TO SEE MY
16 GRANDDAUGHTER AND OUR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND
17 FAMILIES WITH HUNTINGTON'S CAN LOOK JUST LIKE.
18 THANK YOU.

19 (APPLAUSE.)

20 MR. MC CORMACK: IN THE INTEREST OF
21 BALANCE FROM HEARING FROM TWO JUST MOMS, WE'LL HEAR
22 FROM JUST DAD. THIS IS DAVID NOW.

23 MR. SALDANA: THANK YOU, KEVIN. THANK
24 YOU, EVERYONE. MY SAME IS DAVID SALDANA. I'M
25 FRANCES SALDANA'S HUSBAND. AND ACTUALLY I WAS ASKED

1 TO COME UP AND SAY A COUPLE OF WORDS ON BEHALF OF
2 MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS, WHICH I DO NOT HAVE, AND I'LL
3 EXPLAIN MY CONNECTION WITH THAT. BEFORE I DO, AND
4 I'LL KEEP IT SHORT, I'M ALSO HERE AS A WITNESS.

5 FRANCES AND I ARE CELEBRATING OUR 20TH
6 ANNIVERSARY THIS YEAR. THANK YOU. ACTUALLY IT'S ON
7 CHRISTMAS EVE IS OUR OFFICIAL ANNIVERSARY. BUT
8 WE'VE ALREADY STARTED HAVING A CELEBRATION. WE HAD
9 A BEAUTIFUL DINNER THE OTHER DAY WITH FRIENDS AND
10 FAMILY. BUT I CAN TELL YOU THAT OVER THESE 20
11 YEARS, I'VE WITNESSED, I'VE WITNESSED HUNTINGTON'S
12 DISEASE. I SAW MY STEPDAUGHTER MARIE. I REMEMBER
13 HAVING TO GO DOWNSTAIRS, AND SHE'S CUDDLING WITH HER
14 BOYFRIEND ON THE COUCH AND SAYING, "IT'S TIME TO GO.
15 IT'S LATE." I SAW HER. SHE'S WALKING IN HER HIGH
16 HEELS AND GETTING AROUND JUST FINE, AND I SAW HER GO
17 FROM THAT TO WHERE SHE WAS FALLING DOWN, FALLING
18 DOWN THE STAIRS, FALLING EVERYWHERE, TO A WHEELCHAIR
19 TO A NURSING HOME TO PASSING AWAY.

20 MY STEPDAUGHTER MARGIE, FRANCES AND I GOT
21 TOGETHER RIGHT WHEN MARGIE WAS HAVING MY
22 STEP-GRANDCHILDREN. I SAW THEM -- I'VE KNOWN THEM
23 SINCE THEY WERE BRAND-NEW BABIES. AND MARGIE WAS
24 DRIVING THEM AROUND, AND SHE WAS VIVACIOUS, AND SHE
25 COULD DO ANYTHING. ANYTHING SHE WANTED TO DO, SHE

1 FOUND A WAY TO MAKE IT HAPPEN. USUALLY FRANCES
2 HELPED HER A LOT, BUT I SAW MARGIE GO FROM THAT TO
3 NOT BEING ABLE TO DRIVE ANYMORE TO BEING WHEELCHAIR
4 BOUND AND THEM, OF COURSE, SHE ALSO PASSED.

5 AND MICHAEL, I REMEMBER MY FIRST
6 CONVERSATION WITH MICHAEL WAS LETTING HIM KNOW THAT
7 WE WERE GETTING MARRIED. AND HE WAS A COMMERCIAL
8 FISHERMAN. AND WE GAVE HIM A CALL. I THINK HE WAS
9 IN SEATTLE AT THE TIME, AND I JUST REMEMBER HOW
10 HAPPY HE WAS. AND THEN HE CAME DOWN FROM SEATTLE
11 SOON AFTER THAT, ATTENDED OUR WEDDING AS FRANCES
12 DESCRIBED, AND I SAW HIM DECLINE, AND EVENTUALLY HE
13 PASSED AWAY.

14 SO I'VE SEEN THIS, AND I'VE SEEN THE
15 INDIGNITIES. I'VE SEEN HOW PEOPLE LOOK AT THEM.
16 AND I'VE SEEN HOW THEY'RE TREATED AND HOW FOLKS
17 DON'T UNDERSTAND THE DISEASE. I'VE ALSO SEEN
18 RESEARCH. WHEN FRANCES AND I GOT MARRIED 20 YEARS
19 AGO, SHE WAS VERY HOPEFUL. SHE SAYS, "OH, THERE'S
20 GOING TO BE TREATMENT IN FIVE YEARS," BUT THERE
21 WASN'T REALLY ANYTHING GOING ON 20 YEARS AGO. THERE
22 WAS VERY LITTLE, BUT I'VE SEEN THAT GO FROM VERY
23 LITTLE TO JUST BARELY UNDERSTANDING THINGS LIKE
24 HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE, THE GENE THAT JUST RECENTLY
25 HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED PROBABLY JUST A FEW YEARS BEFORE

1 THAT. SO SEEING THE RESEARCH GO FROM BASICALLY
2 NOTHING TO WHERE THERE MIGHT BE A TREATMENT. THERE
3 WAS AN ANNOUNCEMENT JUST A COUPLE DAYS AGO, AND THEN
4 THERE'S OTHER LABORATORIES THAT HAVE SOME REALLY
5 PROMISING RESULTS, DR. LESLIE THOMPSON BEING ONE OF
6 THEM.

7 OH, WE'RE WATCHING, AND WE'RE DOING
8 EVERYTHING WE CAN TO ASSIST HER. AND WE'RE VERY
9 HOPEFUL. AND I GUESS THAT'S THE THEME BETWEEN
10 ADRIENNE SHAPIRO AND FRANCES IS HOPE.

11 I WAS ASKED TO PUT IN A WORD ON BEHALF OF
12 VISITORS, ANYTHING TO PROMOTE MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS.
13 AND I WANTED TO DO THAT, AND THERE'S A CONNECTION IN
14 OUR FAMILY WITH MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS AS WELL. AND MY
15 BROTHER-IN-LAW, FRANCES' BROTHER-IN-LAW, HE'S
16 MARRIED TO FRANCE'S SISTER. HE'S GOT A VERY
17 DEVASTATING, PROGRESSIVE FORM OF MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS.
18 AND I'VE WITNESSED HIM. SO I'VE BEEN A WITNESS NOT
19 JUST FOR HD, BUT FOR MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS. I'VE SEEN
20 HIM WHEN HE WAS VIBRANT, ABLE TO GET AROUND EASILY,
21 AND DO ALL KINDS OF RIDING A MOTORCYCLE, GOING ON --
22 HAD A BOAT TO NOW IT'S GOTTEN TO THE POINT WHERE I
23 HELP HIM. IF WE HAVE A PUBLIC EVENT, I HELP HIM
24 GO -- AND HE HAS TO GO TO THE RESTROOM, I SAY,
25 "DON'T LOCK THE RESTROOM DOOR BECAUSE, IF SOMETHING

1 HAPPENS, I NEED TO BE ABLE TO GET IN TO HELP YOU."
2 AND, IN FACT, THAT'S STARTING TO HAPPEN. I HAVE TO
3 GO IN AND HELP HIM. HE CAN'T REALLY STAND UP
4 ANYMORE. PROBABLY HIS DAYS OF GOING TO PUBLIC
5 EVENTS ARE PROBABLY ABOUT OVER. AND IT'S REALLY A
6 SHAME.

7 WITH HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE, EVERYTHING
8 DEGENERATES, NOT ONLY THE BODY, BUT THE MIND
9 DEGENERATES. WITH MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS, YOUR BODY
10 DEGENERATES, BUT YOUR MIND IS THERE. MY
11 BROTHER-IN-LAW HAS TWO PH.D.'S. HE WAS AN ENGINEER.
12 HE WAS A MATHEMATICIAN. AND THEN BECAUSE OF
13 HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE, BECAUSE HIS NIECES AND NEPHEW
14 HAD HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE, HE GOT ANOTHER PH.D. IN
15 COMPUTATIONAL BIOLOGY, AND HE WORKS FOR DR. LESLIE
16 THOMPSON. HE WORKS IN HER LAB OR HE USED TO WORK IN
17 HER LAB. NOW HE WORKS PART TIME FROM HOME BECAUSE
18 HE CAN'T GET THERE. HE'S BRILLIANT. BUT IT'S
19 ALMOST TO THE POINT WHERE HE CAN'T USE A COMPUTER
20 ANYMORE.

21 BUT ANYBODY WITH MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS, WITH
22 A DEGENERATIVE DISEASE, THE INDIGNITIES OF LOSING
23 THE USE OF YOUR LIMBS, LOOSING YOUR HANDS, BEING
24 CONFINED TO A WHEELCHAIR, TO A SCOOTER, AND THEN NOT
25 HAVING ANY HOPE THAT THERE'S ANYTHING ON THE

1 HORIZON. WITH HIS FORM OF MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS,
2 THERE'S REALLY NOTHING AVAILABLE FOR HIM. AND HIS
3 WIFE, MY SISTER-IN-LAW, ASKED ME, "CAN YOU JUST PUT
4 IN A PLUG FOR MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS?"

5 I KNOW THAT CIRM HAS FUNDED SOME PROJECTS
6 FOR MS, AND I WANT TO ASK YOU TO CONTINUE TO LOOK AT
7 MS AS A POSSIBLE RECIPIENT OF RESEARCH. THESE
8 DISEASES WHERE YOUR BODY ATTACKS YOUR OWN BODY AND
9 YOU LOSE THE CAPACITY TO FUNCTION, THESE ARE
10 DEVASTATING. IT'S NOT MS. THERE'S SO MANY OTHERS.
11 I THINK YOU CALL THEM AUTOIMMUNE. IS THAT WHAT YOU
12 CALL THESE KIND OF DISEASES? IT'S A HORRIBLE THING,
13 AND I THINK WE ALL KNOW SOMEONE WHO HAS MS, WHO'S
14 HAD MAYBE KIDNEY. THERE'S SO MANY DIFFERENT TYPES
15 OF DISEASES WHERE YOUR BODY JUST TURNS ON ITSELF.
16 SO ANYTHING THAT CIRM CAN DO TO PROMOTE THAT KIND OF
17 RESEARCH, WE'LL BE CHEERING FOR THE RESEARCHERS, FOR
18 YOU. AND FOR MY BROTHER-IN-LAW, WE'RE CONSTANTLY
19 READING AND KEEP OUR EYES OPEN FOR NEW REPORTS OF
20 PROGRESS. AND IF POSSIBLE, IF THERE'S ANYTHING THAT
21 CAN HELP HIM BECAUSE HE'S JUST BEEN ON A DOWNWARD
22 TRAJECTORY, BUT WE WANT TO SEE THAT TURN AROUND.
23 THANKS FOR LISTENING.

24 (APPLAUSE.)

25 DR. CARAS: SO I THINK THOSE INCREDIBLY

1 MOVING AND HEART-WRENCHING STORIES ARE REAL
2 REMINDERS OF WHY WE'RE ALL HERE, AND I THINK WE'RE
3 ALL STRUGGLING TO HOLD BACK OUR TEARS. THANK YOU.

4 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, MEMBERS OF THE
5 PUBLIC, I'M GOING TO BE PRESENTING OUR QUARTERLY
6 CLINICAL UPDATE. AND I'LL BE FOCUSING ON ONCOLOGY.

7 THIS SLIDE SHOWS CIRM'S ENTIRE --

8 MY NAME IS INGRID CARAS, AND I'M A MEMBER
9 OF THERAPEUTICS TEAM HERE AT CIRM.

10 THIS SLIDE WE'RE SHOWING NOW SHOWS CIRM'S
11 ENTIRE CLINICAL STAGE PORTFOLIO. IT CONTAINS 43
12 CLINICAL TRIALS THAT CIRM HAS FUNDED, 38 OF WHICH
13 ARE CURRENTLY ACTIVE, AND THERE ARE EIGHT PROGRAMS
14 THAT ARE WORKING TOWARDS AN IND FILING. AND, AS YOU
15 CAN SEE, IT'S A HIGHLY DIVERSE PORTFOLIO, AND WE'RE
16 CONTINUING TO BUILD ON THAT.

17 SO THERE ARE TEN ACTIVE ONCOLOGY CLINICAL
18 TRIALS. AND ON THE NEXT FEW SLIDES, I'M GOING TO
19 GIVE YOU AN UPDATE ON WHAT THEY ARE, AND WHAT THEY
20 COVER, AND WHY WE THINK IT'S AN EXCITING PORTFOLIO.

21 SO IF WE LOOK AT THESE TRIALS BY
22 THERAPEUTIC MODALITY, WHAT YOU CAN SEE IS THAT THE
23 MAJORITY, SIX OUT OF TEN, ARE CELL THERAPIES. THREE
24 ARE USING A BIOLOGIC AND ONE TRIAL A SMALL MOLECULE.
25 AND TO EXPLAIN WHY CIRM IS FUNDING SMALL MOLECULES

1 AND BIOLOGICS, I JUST WANT TO BRIEFLY REMIND YOU
2 ABOUT THE CANCER STEM CELL CONCEPT.

3 SO IT'S NOW WELL ESTABLISHED THAT HUMAN
4 TUMORS ARE VERY HETEROGENEOUS. NOT ALL CELLS IN THE
5 TUMOR ARE ALIKE. WHAT THE CANCER STEM CELL CONCEPT
6 SAYS IS THAT TUMOR GROWTH IS FUELED BY SMALL NUMBERS
7 OF SELF-RENEWING CANCER STEM CELLS WITHIN THE TUMOR.
8 THESE CANCER STEM CELLS ARE RESISTANT TO
9 CONVENTIONAL THERAPIES LIKE RADIATION AND
10 CHEMOTHERAPY. SO THEY SURVIVE TREATMENT AND CAN
11 THEN REGROW THE TUMOR AND DRIVE RELAPSE AFTER
12 REMISSION AS ILLUSTRATED IN THIS CARTOON.

13 THIS EXPLAINS WHY TUMORS ALMOST INVARIABLY
14 COME BACK AFTER INITIALLY SUCCESSFUL THERAPY.
15 CANCER STEM CELLS CAN ALSO SPREAD TO DISTANT SITES
16 AND ARE BELIEVED TO DRIVE METASTASES. AND TAKEN
17 TOGETHER, I THINK THIS LEADS TO THE INEVITABLE
18 CONCLUSION THAT CANCER STEM CELLS MUST BE ERADICATED
19 TO ACHIEVE A CURE.

20 SO BEFORE WE GO TO THE PORTFOLIO, I THINK
21 IT'S RELEVANT TO TAKE A LOOK AT THE EVOLUTION OF
22 CANCER TREATMENT. SO THROUGH MOST OF THE 20TH
23 CENTURY, CANCER WAS TREATED WITH RADIATION AND
24 CHEMOTHERAPY. THESE KILL DIVIDING CELLS, AND SO
25 THEY MOSTLY TARGET CANCER, BUT THEY ALSO KILL SOME

1 NORMAL CELLS, SO THEY COME WITH SIGNIFICANT
2 TOXICITY.

3 IN THE LATE 1990S, THE FIRST TARGETED
4 THERAPIES CAME INTO USE. THESE CAME OUT OF
5 INCREASING UNDERSTANDING OF THE BIOLOGY OF CANCER.
6 THEY'RE MORE CANCER SPECIFIC AND, THEREFORE, LESS
7 TOXIC, AND THEY INCLUDE THERAPEUTIC MODALITIES LIKE
8 THERAPEUTIC ANTIBODIES, SMALL MOLECULES, AND OTHER
9 BIOLOGICS.

10 SO WE'RE NOW IN THE 21ST CENTURY. AND SO
11 FAR IT'S TURNING OUT TO BE THE AGE OF IMMUNOTHERAPY.
12 SO THERE ARE MANY DIFFERENT WAYS TO APPROACH
13 IMMUNOTHERAPY, BUT THEY ALL AIM TO COOPT AND BOOST
14 THE IMMUNE SYSTEM'S NATURAL CAPACITY TO DETECT AND
15 DESTROY ABNORMAL CELLS. THESE THERAPIES CAN BE
16 HIGHLY SPECIFIC. THEY CAN ALSO BE EXTREMELY
17 POWERFUL. SOME EXAMPLES ARE CHECKPOINT INHIBITORS,
18 WHICH TAKES THE BRAKES OFF THE T-CELL ARM OF THE
19 IMMUNE SYSTEM. ANOTHER EXAMPLE ARE ENGINEERED CAR-T
20 CELLS. AND IN THIS THERAPY THE PATIENT'S OWN
21 T-CELLS ARE ENGINEERED TO EXPRESS A CHIMERIC ANTIGEN
22 RECEPTOR, CAR FOR SHORT, WHICH TARGETS THE T-CELLS
23 TO THE TUMOR AND BOOSTS THE ANTITUMOR RESPONSE.

24 AS I THINK WE ALREADY HEARD MENTIONED BY
25 DR. MILLAN EARLIER, 2017 WAS A LANDMARK YEAR FOR

1 THIS APPROACH WITH THE FIRST FDA APPROVED CAR-T CELL
2 THERAPY CALLED KYMRIAH, AND IT'S INDICATED FOR
3 PEDIATRIC ALL.

4 SO WITH THAT IN MIND, THIS IS AN OVERVIEW
5 OF OUR CLINICAL TRIALS IN HEMATOLOGICAL
6 MALIGNANCIES, BLOOD CANCERS. THESE ARE ALL EARLY
7 STAGE CLINICAL TRIALS, PHASE 1 OR EARLY PHASE 2S,
8 AND THEY'RE COLOR CODED BY THERAPEUTIC APPROACH. SO
9 THE TWO AT THE TOP -- AND I'LL BE GIVING YOU SOME
10 MORE DETAIL ON ALL OF THESE. ON THE NEXT FEW
11 SLIDES, THE TWO TOP ONES ARE USING AN IMMUNOTHERAPY
12 APPROACH. THE NEXT ONE IS A TARGETED THERAPY AIMED
13 AT CANCER STEM CELLS. AND THE LAST THREE ARE CELL
14 THERAPIES THAT DO NOT DIRECTLY TARGET CANCER, BUT
15 ARE DESIGNED TO PROVIDE IMMUNE SUPPORT FOR PATIENTS
16 WHO ARE HEAVILY IMMUNOSUPPRESSED BECAUSE THEY'RE
17 UNDERGOING AGGRESSIVE CHEMOTHERAPY TO TREAT THEIR
18 CANCER.

19 AND THESE ARE OUR SOLID TUMOR TRIALS. AND
20 AS YOU CAN SEE, THERE ARE THREE IMMUNOTHERAPY
21 APPROACHES AND ONE TARGETED THERAPY IN CANCER STEM
22 CELLS.

23 SO WITH THE FIRST CAR-T CELL APPROVAL THIS
24 YEAR, THERE IS A LOT OF EXCITEMENT IN THE FIELD FOR
25 THIS APPROACH. AND CIRM HAS ACTUALLY BEEN

1 SUPPORTING THIS TECHNOLOGY FOR SOME TIME. AND SO I
2 NOW WANT TO TELL YOU ABOUT THREE DIFFERENT PROGRAMS
3 IN OUR PORTFOLIO ALL USING ENGINEERED T-CELLS.

4 SO STARTING WITH THIS TEAM LED BY
5 CHRISTINE BROWN AT CITY OF HOPE WHO IS DEVELOPING A
6 CAR-T THERAPY FOR MALIGNANT GLIOMA, BRAIN CANCER.
7 AS I'M SURE YOU'RE ALL AWARE, BRAIN CANCER IS A
8 HIGHLY LETHAL AND HORRIBLE DISEASE.

9 THERE ARE A FEW FEATURES ABOUT THIS
10 PROGRAM THAT MAKE IT UNIQUE. FIRST, IT'S TARGETING
11 A SOLID TUMOR; WHEREAS, MOST OF THE SUCCESSES WITH
12 CAR-T CELL THERAPIES TO DATE HAVE BEEN IN BLOOD
13 CANCERS.

14 SECOND, AS FAR AS I'M AWARE, THIS IS THE
15 FIRST TIME THAT ENGINEERED T-CELLS ARE BEING USED IN
16 THE BRAIN.

17 AND THIRD, THIS PROGRAM IS FOCUSED ON A
18 POPULATION OF T-CELLS CALLED STEM CELL MEMORY
19 T-CELLS, WHICH CAN SELF-RENEW AND DIFFERENTIATE AND
20 ARE VERY IMPORTANT FOR LONG-TERM PERSISTENCE OF THE
21 CELLS. SO THIS IS SPECIFICALLY DESIGNED TO OVERCOME
22 A PROBLEM THAT'S BEEN SEEN WITH SOME OF THE EARLIER
23 GENERATION CAR-T CELL THERAPIES WHERE THE CELLS DO
24 NOT PERSIST IN THE BODY. AND AS THEY DISAPPEAR, THE
25 TUMORS CAN COME BACK.

1 THIS CLINICAL TRIAL IS A PROGRESSION FROM
2 A CIRM EARLY TRANSLATION AWARD. SO CIRM HAS
3 ACTUALLY BEEN SUPPORTING THE APPROACH ALMOST FROM
4 THE BEGINNING. AND THE APPROACH HAS SHOWN SOME VERY
5 EARLY, BUT VERY PROMISING CLINICAL RESULTS WHICH
6 WERE PUBLISHED LAST YEAR IN THE *NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL*
7 *OF MEDICINE*.

8 THIS NEXT TRIAL FROM POSEIDA THERAPEUTICS
9 IS SIMILAR TO THE PREVIOUS ONE IN THAT IT'S ALSO A
10 CAR-T CELL THERAPY ALSO FOCUSED ON STEM CELL MEMORY
11 T-CELLS FOR SELF-ASSISTANCE, BUT IT'S TARGETING A
12 DIFFERENT, NOVEL ANTIGEN OR DIFFERENT INDICATION,
13 MULTIPLE MYELOMA. AND THIS WILL BE A FIRST-IN-HUMAN
14 CLINICAL TRIAL. THIS WILL BE THE FIRST TIME THIS
15 PARTICULAR CAR-T IS BEING TESTED IN HUMANS.

16 AND THIRD IS THIS PROGRAM LED BY ANTHONY
17 RIBAS AT UCLA. THIS TEAM IS ENGINEERING T-CELLS TO
18 TARGET A TUMOR ANTIGEN THAT'S EXPRESSED ON SEVERAL
19 ADVANCED CANCERS, INCLUDING VERY DIFFICULT TO TREAT
20 SYNOVIAL SARCOMA.

21 THIS TEAM IS ACTUALLY TAKING A DIFFERENT
22 APPROACH TO ADDRESS THE PROBLEM OF CELL PERSISTENCE.
23 SO WHAT THEY'RE DOING IS ENGINEERING BOTH T-CELLS
24 AND HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELLS AND ARE THEN
25 ADMINISTERING THEM TOGETHER. SO THE RATIONALE HERE

1 IS THAT THE T-CELLS WILL PROVIDE AN IMMEDIATE
2 ANTI-TUMOR EFFECT WHILE THE STEM CELLS WILL ENGRAFT
3 AND PROVIDE A RENEWABLE SOURCE OF ENGINEERED T-CELLS
4 FOR A DURABLE, LONG-TERM CURE. THIS IS A VERY NOVEL
5 AND INNOVATIVE APPROACH THAT'S BEING TESTED FOR THE
6 FIRST TIME IN A CLINICAL TRIAL.

7 OKAY. I WANT TO TURN NOW TO A COMPLETELY
8 DIFFERENT IMMUNOTHERAPY APPROACH, CD47 BLOCKADE.
9 CD 47 IS OVEREXPRESSED ON CANCER AND CANCER STEM
10 CELLS, AND IT'S AN IMPORTANT MECHANISM FOR IMMUNE
11 EVASION FROM MACROPHAGES. CD47 BLOCKADE TAKES THE
12 BRAKES OFF MACROPHAGES AND ENABLES THEM TO ELIMINATE
13 CANCER AND CANCER STEM CELLS AS ILLUSTRATED IN THAT
14 PICTURE ON THE LEFT. THIS IS SIMILAR TO CHECKPOINT
15 INHIBITORS THAT TAKE THE BRAKES OFF T-CELLS.

16 CD47 BLOCKADE IS A NOVEL IMMUNOTHERAPY
17 APPROACH. AND BECAUSE CD47 IS WIDELY EXPRESSED ON
18 MANY DIFFERENT CANCERS, IT HAS VERY BROAD
19 INDICATIONS SPANNING MULTIPLE TUMOR TYPES.

20 SO THIS NEXT SLIDE SHOWS THE HISTORY OF
21 CD47 BLOCKADE DEVELOPMENT. AND I WANT TO WALK YOU
22 THROUGH IT BECAUSE I THINK IT REALLY ILLUSTRATES THE
23 IMPORTANCE OF CIRM AND HOW THIS PROJECT HAS BEEN
24 BROUGHT FORWARD.

25 SO THE STORY BEGAN WITH SOME COMPELLING

1 PRECLINICAL DATA FROM THE WEISSMAN LAB AT STANFORD
2 THAT SHOWED THAT CD47 BLOCKADE PREVENTS THE TRANSFER
3 AND PROPAGATION OF HUMAN AML IN MICE, INDICATING
4 THAT IT ELIMINATES THE CANCER STEM CELLS. THEY ALSO
5 SHOWED THAT CD47 BLOCKADE PREVENTS TUMOR GROWTH AND
6 METASTASIS OF SOLID TUMORS IN MICE, ANOTHER
7 INDICATION THAT IT ELIMINATES THE CANCER STEM CELLS.

8 SO ON THE STRENGTH OF THIS DATA, THE TEAM
9 RECEIVED A DISEASE TEAM I AWARD IN 2010 THAT FUNDED
10 TRANSLATION OF THIS VERY EARLY RESEARCH CONCEPT AND
11 RESULTED IN A SUCCESSFUL IND FILING IN 2014. THE
12 TEAM THEN WENT TO RECEIVE A DISEASE TEAM III AWARD
13 TO FUND A FIRST-IN-HUMAN PHASE 1 TRIAL IN SOLID
14 TUMORS IN THE U.S. AND IN PARALLEL THEY CONDUCTED A
15 SECOND PHASE 1 TRIAL IN AML IN THE UK THAT WAS NOT
16 FUNDED BY CIRM, BUT WAS HEAVILY INFORMED BY THE DATA
17 FROM THE DISEASE TEAM I AWARD AS WELL AS BY EARLY
18 SAFETY DATA COMING OUT OF THE SOLID TUMOR TRIAL.

19 SO BASED ON THIS PROGRESS, IN 2016 A NEW
20 COMPANY WAS FORMED, FORTY SEVEN INC. THAT HAS
21 LICENSED THE RIGHTS TO THIS TECHNOLOGY FROM STANFORD
22 AND HAS RAISED \$150 MILLION OF PRIVATE FUNDS TO HELP
23 DEVELOP IT. CIRM IS CONTINUING TO SUPPORT THE
24 PROGRAM WITH BOTH FUNDS AND WITH OUR EXPERTISE
25 THROUGH OUR CLINICAL ADVISORY PANEL. AND FORTY

1 SEVEN CURRENTLY HAS TWO ACTIVE CIRM 2.0 AWARDS TO
2 CONDUCT TWO TRIALS, ONE IN AML THAT'S FOCUSED ON
3 HIGH RISK PATIENTS IN COMBINATION WITH CHEMOTHERAPY,
4 AND THE SECOND TRIAL IN COLORECTAL CANCER IN
5 COMBINATION WITH CETUXIMAB. AND AT THE END OF THIS
6 UPDATE, YOU'LL BE MEETING A PATIENT THAT
7 PARTICIPATED IN ONE OF THESE TRIALS.

8 THIS SLIDE HIGHLIGHTS TWO DIFFERENT CANCER
9 STEM CELL-TARGETED THERAPIES. ONE FROM THOMAS KIPPS
10 AT UCSD, WHO IS DEVELOPING A MONOCLONAL ANTIBODY
11 APTLY NAMED CIRMTUZUMAB, AND ONE FROM DENNIS SLAMON
12 AT UCLA. BOTH OF THESE PROJECTS TARGET PATHWAYS
13 THAT ARE IMPORTANT FOR THE GROWTH AND SURVIVAL OF
14 CANCER STEM CELLS, AND BOTH OF THEM ARE BASED ON A
15 ROBUST PRECLINICAL PACKAGE SHOWING THAT THEIR
16 THERAPIES PREVENT THE TRANSFER AND PROPAGATION OF
17 HUMAN CANCERS IN MICE BY ELIMINATING THE CANCER STEM
18 CELLS.

19 BOTH PROJECTS ARE PROGRESSIONS FROM
20 DISEASE TEAM I AWARDS. THE KIPPS TEAM IS CURRENTLY
21 PARTNERED WITH ONCTERNAL THERAPEUTICS, AND THEY ARE
22 CONDUCTING A PHASE 1/2 TRIAL IN CLL, TESTING
23 CIRMTUZUMAB IN COMBINATION WITH IBRUTINIB, AND THE
24 SLAMON TEAM IS COMPLETING A PHASE 1 TRIAL IN
25 ADVANCED SOLID TUMORS.

1 AND, LASTLY, THIS SLIDE HIGHLIGHTS TWO
2 CORD BLOOD EXPANSION CELL THERAPIES, ONE FROM NOHLA
3 THERAPEUTICS AND ONE FROM ANGIOCRINE BIOSCIENCE.
4 THESE TEAMS ARE USING TWO COMPLETELY DIFFERENT
5 TECHNOLOGIES TO EXPAND THE NUMBER OF STEM AND
6 PROGENITOR CELLS IN CORD BLOOD. AS I ALREADY
7 MENTIONED, THESE DO NOT DIRECTLY TARGET CANCER, BUT
8 THEY'RE DESIGNED TO IMPROVE OR PROVIDE IMMUNE
9 CONSTITUTION IN PATIENTS AFTER HIGH-DOSE
10 CHEMOTHERAPY.

11 NOHLA IS CONDUCTING A PHASE 2 TRIAL IN AML
12 PATIENTS, AND ANGIOCRINE A PHASE 1 TRIAL IN
13 HEMATOLOGICAL CANCER.

14 AND, IN SUMMARY, CIRM HAS A VERY DIVERSE
15 AND, I THINK, EXCITING ONCOLOGY PORTFOLIO. THE
16 MAJORITY ARE CELL THERAPIES. THE PORTFOLIO INCLUDES
17 A NUMBER OF VERY CUTTING-EDGE IMMUNOTHERAPY
18 APPROACHES AS WELL AS SOME CANCER STEM CELL-TARGETED
19 THERAPIES. AND I THINK IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT TO
20 NOTE THAT SEVERAL OF THESE PROGRAMS HAVE BEEN
21 SUPPORTED AND FUNDED BY CIRM PRETTY MUCH FROM
22 INCEPTION.

23 AND ECHOING WHAT'S BEEN SAID BY OTHER
24 SPEAKERS HERE, I ALSO WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE
25 COURAGEOUS PATIENTS THAT PARTICIPATE IN ALL THESE

1 TRIALS, MANY OF THEM KNOWING THAT THEY THEMSELVES
2 MAY NOT BENEFIT, BUT THAT THEIR PARTICIPATION WILL
3 HELP OTHER PATIENTS SOMETIME IN THE FUTURE. SO
4 THANK YOU. THAT'S THE END OF MY PRESENTATION. I'LL
5 BE HAPPY TO TAKE QUESTIONS.

6 MR. TORRES: WONDERFUL TALK.

7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: VERY WELL DONE, INGRID.
8 VERY CLEAR.

9 DR. CARAS: THANK YOU.

10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: VERY INFORMATIVE. THANK
11 YOU AND ALL MEMBERS OF THE TEAM FOR ALL THE HARD
12 WORK ON THIS PORTFOLIO AS WELL AS EVERYTHING ELSE WE
13 DO. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

14 MR. MC CORMACK: AND WE'RE GOING TO HEAR
15 FROM ONE MORE SPEAKER TODAY. HEARING FROM ADRIENNE
16 AND FRANCES AND DAVID, THEY TALKED ABOUT THE HOPE
17 THAT THE WORK THAT WE DO HERE BRINGS THEM. THE NEXT
18 PERSON WE'RE GOING TO HEAR IS SOMEONE WHO TALKS
19 ABOUT THE LIFE-CHANGING IMPACT FOR THE WORK THAT WE
20 DO HAVE HERE. INGRID TALKED ABOUT THE WORK WITH
21 FORTY SEVEN INC. AND THE WORK THAT DR. IRVING
22 WEISSMAN DID BEFORE THAT HELPED FUND INTO THE
23 CLINIC. AND I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE YOU NOW TOM
24 HOWING, WHO IS ONE OF THE PATIENTS IN THAT CLINICAL
25 TRIAL.

1 WHEN I FIRST TALKED TO TOM ON THE PHONE
2 AND ASKED HIM IF HE WOULD COME HERE, I WASN'T QUITE
3 SURE HOW HE WOULD REACT. AND HE LEAPT AT THE
4 OPPORTUNITY. I THINK IT WAS A CHANCE TO TALK TO YOU
5 AND SAY THANK YOU AND NOT JUST A CHANCE TO GET OUT
6 OF THE WINTER IN MICHIGAN.

7 MR. HOWING: I'D LIKE TO THANK EVERYONE
8 FOR GIVING ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE HERE TODAY, AND
9 HOPEFULLY I WON'T BE TOO EMOTIONAL IN MY OPPORTUNITY
10 TO SPEAK WITH YOU. THANK YOU, DR. THOMAS AND THE
11 REST OF THE BOARD.

12 AS HE INDICATED, IT IS AMAZING AND SUCH AN
13 HONOR TO BE HERE TODAY. AND IT'S BECAUSE OF YOUR
14 INVESTMENT AND THE TIME IN WORKING WITH FORTY SEVEN
15 THAT I HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE HERE WITH YOU
16 TODAY. IT IS TRUE THAT I WAS DIAGNOSED WITH
17 COLORECTAL CANCER IN MARCH OF 2015. I WAS TRAVELING
18 FOR BUSINESS AND FOUND MYSELF IN A GREAT DEAL OF
19 PAIN WHEN I WAS IN MANHATTAN, AND I JUST COULDN'T
20 HANDLE IT ANYMORE. SO I FLEW BACK TO GRAND RAPIDS,
21 MICHIGAN, WHERE I'M FROM, AND MY SON TOOK ME RIGHT
22 TO URGENT CARE. AND ONCE I GOT TO URGENT CARE, THEY
23 SAID, YOU'RE GOING RIGHT TO THE HOSPITAL. AND FIVE
24 HOURS LATER ON THE TABLE, AND THEY'RE SAYING, GUESS
25 WHAT. YOU'VE GOT AN ABSCESS, YOU'VE GOT COLORECTAL

1 CANCER, AND UNFORTUNATELY IT'S METASTASIZED TO YOUR
2 LIVER AND IT'S ALSO MOVED TO YOUR LUNGS AS WELL.

3 AS YOU CAN IMAGINE, YOUR WORLD TURNS
4 UPSIDE DOWN. YOU FIND YOURSELF IN A VERY UNUSUAL
5 POSITION. I'M VERY BLESSED IN THAT I HAVE A
6 WONDERFUL PARTNER AND WIFE FOR NOW WE'VE CELEBRATED
7 OUR 25TH ANNIVERSARY. I HAVE THREE FANTASTIC SONS.
8 AND BECAUSE OF MY HEALTH AND BEING IN GOOD HEALTH,
9 AS OF TODAY I WAS ABLE TO ATTEND HIS WEDDING IN
10 JULY. SO IT HAS IMPACTED ME IN SO MANY DIFFERENT
11 WAYS, AND I'VE BEEN TRYING TO IMPACT OTHER PEOPLE
12 THAT ALSO HAVE BEEN DIAGNOSED WITH CANCER AND TRYING
13 TO SHARE WITH THEM THE HOPE AND COURAGE AND THINGS
14 THAT THESE INDIVIDUALS HAVE SHARED. I'M ALWAYS JUST
15 AMAZED AND SO MOVED BY WHAT THEY HAVE BEEN ABLE TO
16 DO.

17 SO WITH THE FUNDING THAT YOU HAVE PROVIDED
18 FOR FORTY SEVEN, I HAVE BEEN ABLE TO BE ON THAT
19 CLINICAL TRIAL. I'M ACTUALLY, IF WE'RE KEEPING
20 SCORE, I'M NO. 108 OF 122. THEY TRIED TO MOVE ME IN
21 VERY QUICKLY. WHEN I WAS DIAGNOSED IN 2015, I WENT
22 AHEAD AND HAD SOME RESECTIONING DONE. I WENT RIGHT
23 ONTO A CHEMOTHERAPY TREATMENT VERY SIMILAR TO WHAT
24 INGRID WAS SHARING WITH YOU, DEAD ON EXACTLY WHAT I
25 WAS DOING. I WENT THROUGH 12 CYCLES OF THAT, AND I

1 HAD SOME MOVEMENT TO WHERE ACTUALLY MY TUMOR WAS
2 REDUCED. SO IT WAS A POSITIVE SIGN. WE WERE
3 THINKING THAT WAS GOING REALLY GREAT, AND BECAUSE OF
4 THE METASTASES, IT CAME BACK.

5 SO WHAT THEY THEN DID IS I GOT A
6 HEPATECTOMY ON MY LIVER AND THEY REMOVED ONE LOBE OF
7 MY LIVER, THEY DID ABLATIONS ON THE OTHER SIDE OF
8 THE LOBE TO TRY TO REMOVE THE METASTASES, AND THEN I
9 WENT BACK ON CHEMOTHERAPY AGAIN. AND I DID AGAIN A
10 FULL CYCLE, A DIFFERENT COCKTAIL THAT WAS LOADED AS
11 AN ORAL IN ADDITION TO THAT. AND I AGREE. WHEN YOU
12 DEAL WITH CHEMOTHERAPY, YOU TRY TO STAY POSITIVE,
13 YOU SEE HOW DIFFICULT IT IS, HOW IT IMPACTS YOUR
14 LIFE. I WAS CLEAR FOR A LITTLE WHILE AND ALL OF A
15 SUDDEN IT CAME BACK AGAIN.

16 MY PHYSICIANS SAID WE'VE GOT TO LOOK FOR
17 ANOTHER ALTERNATIVE. LET'S LOOK FOR SOME NEW HOPE.
18 AND THEY HAD AN OPPORTUNITY WITH THE GROUP THAT
19 WORKS WITH FORTY SEVEN, AT LEAST THE CARE SIDE OF
20 IT, A GROUP CALLED START MIDWEST RIGHT IN GRAND
21 RAPIDS. AND THEY SAID, "YES, LET'S GO AHEAD AND GET
22 YOU APPROVED TO BE CONSIDERED FOR THIS CLINICAL
23 TRIAL." I SAID, "YES, LET'S GO AHEAD AND MOVE
24 FORWARD WITH IT." IT'S NEW. I SAID, "YOU KNOW
25 WHAT, LET'S GO AHEAD AND DO THAT." AND I STARTED

1 THAT. THEY APPROVED ME IN MAY AND I STARTED THE
2 PROCESS IN JUNE OF THIS YEAR.

3 AND WHAT THEY FOUND WAS I'VE RESPONDED
4 INCREDIBLY WELL TO IT. RIGHT NOW, IN THE LAST THREE
5 SCANS, WHICH I HAVE EVERY SIX WEEKS, I HAVE THE MRI
6 AND THE CT, AND, OF COURSE, I DO BLOOD WORK WITH MY
7 CDA. AND FOR THE LAST THREE SCANS AND CDA, THEY'RE
8 SHOWING THAT THERE IS NO METASTASES ANYWHERE IN MY
9 BODY. SO I AM VERY FORTUNATE. TODAY I GUESS WE'RE
10 QUITE BLOWN AWAY BECAUSE I GUESS THEY DIDN'T EXPECT
11 IT TO BE SO QUICK OR TO BE THAT COMPLETE.

12 SO WHERE WE ARE IN THE PROCESS NOW, I
13 DON'T THINK -- OBVIOUSLY IT'S A CLINICAL. NO ONE
14 REALLY KNOWS. WE DON'T KNOW IF IT'S STOPPED IN
15 TIME, AND I'M STILL IN THERAPY. AND IT'S KIND OF
16 NICE TO BE HERE BECAUSE NORMALLY I'D BE GETTING
17 INFUSIONS RIGHT THIS MINUTE EVERY THURSDAY. BUT,
18 AGAIN, WHEN I RECEIVED A CALL FROM KEVIN BECAUSE I
19 OBVIOUSLY WAS VERY FORTUNATE TO HAVE SUCH A POSITIVE
20 RESPONSE, WHEN HE ASKED ME, HE SAID, "WILL YOU BE
21 WILLING TO COME OUT AND TALK TO THE BOARD AND SHARE
22 THIS STORY WITH YOU," I HAD TO KIND OF LAUGH. I'M
23 LIKE GOING, YOU JUST GAVE ME, WITH YOUR CARING
24 COMPASSION AND INVESTMENT, NOT ONLY HOPE FOR MYSELF
25 AND MY FAMILY, BUT FOR EVERYONE ELSE THAT'S IN MY

1 POSITION OR WILL BE IN MY POSITION. AND I HAD TO
2 KIND OF LAUGH. YOU'VE GOT TO BE KIDDING ME. I JUST
3 SPENT THREE DAYS AND YOU GAVE ME LIKE 3+ YEARS OF MY
4 LIFE BACK. IT WAS KIND OF IRONIC. IT NEVER DAWNED
5 ON ME. OF COURSE, I'M GOING TO BE HERE. AND I
6 ASKED HIM, I SAID, I WISH I COULD COME TO SEE
7 EVERYONE, WHETHER IT'S THE PEOPLE AT STANFORD, THE
8 PEOPLE AT FORTY SEVEN, THE PEOPLE THAT SPEND DAY
9 AFTER DAY WORKING OVER THE BENCH, LOOKING OVER A
10 MICROSCOPE, CUTTING TISSUE, YOU NAME IT, THE
11 PATHOLOGIST, EVERYONE THAT HAD BEEN SO COMMITTED AND
12 SO DRIVEN BECAUSE THEY WANT TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN
13 PEOPLE'S LIVES.

14 AND WHAT I WANTED TO DO TODAY WAS SHARE
15 WITH YOU AND SAY IT HAS AND I'M PROOF OF THAT. AND
16 WHEN HE ASKED ME, HE NEVER SAID THIS IS WHAT YOU
17 SHOULD SAY OR DO IN THIS GROUP. HOW DO YOU SHARE
18 THAT WITH SOMEONE? THERE'S NO WAY TO SAY THANK YOU.
19 THERE REALLY ISN'T. AND I'VE SPENT WEEKS GOING WHAT
20 AM I GOING TO SAY? HOW CAN I -- THERE'S JUST NO WAY
21 OF ADEQUATELY SAYING HOW MUCH AND HOW MUCH YOU
22 SHOULD CELEBRATE THE POSITIVE IMPACT THAT YOU ARE
23 GOING TO BE MAKING. AND, IF ANYTHING, WHAT IT'S
24 DONE AND THE IMPACT IT'S HAD ON MY LIFE. AND IT'S
25 ALWAYS SO STRANGE FOR ME. IF THERE'S ANYTHING

1 THAT'S HUMBLING ABOUT CANCER IS WHEN YOU'RE IN MY
2 POSITION AS A PATIENT AND YOU'VE HAD IT FOR THREE
3 YEARS, YES, THINGS CHANGE AND I DON'T HAVE A COLON,
4 I DON'T HAVE A GALLBLADDER, I DON'T HAVE DA-DA-DA-DA
5 BECAUSE THEY'VE BEEN REMOVED. BUT WHEN YOU'VE
6 ALWAYS BEEN ON THE OTHER -- I THINK THE HARDEST
7 THINGS FOR SOME CANCER PATIENTS, ESPECIALLY PEOPLE I
8 KNOW, IS THAT WHEN YOU'RE ON THE RECEIVING SIDE OF A
9 GIFT LIKE YOU'VE BEEN GIVEN WHEN NORMALLY YOU'RE THE
10 GIVER OR THE ONE THAT'S BEING SELFLESS AND DONATING
11 AND DOING THINGS LIKE THAT YOU DO, NOT FOR FINANCIAL
12 GAIN, BUT BECAUSE YOU KNOW IT'S THE RIGHT THING TO
13 DO AND YOU'RE MAKING A DIFFERENCE IN SUCH A DYNAMIC
14 WAY, IT'S A VERY HUMBLING AND VERY UNIQUE POSITION
15 TO BE IN.

16 AGAIN, I CAN'T -- IT'S CLICHE. I CAN'T
17 THANK YOU ENOUGH, AND I'M SO HONORED, AGAIN, TO BE
18 WITH ALL OF YOU TODAY AND TO SHARE THIS WITH YOU.

19 (APPLAUSE.)

20 MR. HOWING: DOES ANYONE HAVE ANY
21 QUESTIONS OR ANYTHING?

22 MR. TORRES: NO, BUT I HAVE A STATEMENT
23 FROM A DEAR FELLOW COLON CANCER SURVIVOR AND MY
24 SISTER AS WELL. AND MY SON JUST HAD AT AGE 40 HIS
25 FIRST COLONOSCOPY, CLEAR AS A WHISTLE, AND HE'LL

1 HAVE ONE EVERY TWO OR THREE YEARS AS I'VE HAD OVER
2 THE YEARS SINCE I WAS FIRST DIAGNOSED IN '06. I WAS
3 BLESSED. I DIDN'T NEED CHEMO. IT WAS SECTIONED
4 OUT, AND HERE I AM ALMOST, WHAT, 11 YEARS LATER.

5 SO YOU ARE AN INSPIRATION TO ME BECAUSE
6 YOU WENT THROUGH MUCH MORE THAN I HAD TO GO THROUGH
7 OR MY SISTER HAD TO GO THROUGH. SO THE FACT THAT
8 YOU'VE BEEN BLESSED IN SUCH A WAY IS AN INSPIRATION
9 TO ALL OF US WHO ARE FORMER PATIENTS, BUT FELLOW
10 SURVIVORS. AND NOW I KNOW THAT YOU ARE GOING TO
11 CELEBRATE MANY WEDDING ANNIVERSARIES TO COME BEYOND
12 YOUR 25TH, AND JUST MAKE SURE EVERYBODY THAT'S
13 RELATED TO YOU GETS THEIR COLONOSCOPY AT THE RIGHT
14 TIME.

15 MR. HOWING: AGAIN, THANK YOU ALL VERY
16 MUCH.

17 (APPLAUSE.)

18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: KEVIN, DOES THAT
19 CONCLUDE? THANK YOU, EVERYBODY, WHO SPOKE FOR
20 SHARING WITH US YOUR STORIES. THEY'RE ALL
21 TREMENDOUSLY COMPELLING, EMOTIONAL, AND DIFFICULT TO
22 STAND UP AND TALK ABOUT. AND YOU ALL DID WONDERFUL
23 JOBS, AND WE SO APPRECIATE YOUR BEING HERE AND
24 SPEAKING TO US. SO THANK YOU ALL.

25 I THINK NOW WE ARE AT PUBLIC COMMENT.

1 THIS IS ON ANY TOPIC THAT ANYBODY CARES TO SPEAK
2 ABOUT. SPEAKERS HAVE THREE MINUTES. IF ANYBODY
3 WANTS TO SPEAK, PLEASE IDENTIFY YOURSELF IN ADVANCE.
4 DO WE HAVE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT HERE? DO WE HAVE ANY
5 PUBLIC COMMENT AT ANY OF OUR SITES ON THE PHONE?
6 OKAY.

7 WELL, WITH THAT, I WANT TO JUST SAY A
8 COUPLE WORDS. THIS CONCLUDES THE LAST MEETING OF
9 CALENDAR 2017. I THINK IT'S BEEN AN EXTRAORDINARY
10 MEETING, A VERY SUBSTANTIVE MEETING, VERY EMOTIONAL
11 MEETING. I WANT TO PARTICULARLY THANK DR. MILLAN
12 AND THE TEAM AGAIN.

13 (APPLAUSE.)

14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WHEN SHE WAS GOING
15 THROUGH HER PRESENTATION, OBVIOUSLY IT LOOKED LIKE
16 SOMETHING THAT HAD A LOT OF THOUGHT, BUT I DON'T
17 THINK ANY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD APPRECIATE THE NUMBER
18 OF WOMAN AND MAN HOURS COMBINED FROM MEMBERS OF THE
19 TEAM THAT WENT INTO PUTTING TOGETHER ALL OF THE
20 STRATEGY AND THE PRESENTATION AND EVERYTHING ELSE.
21 SO I DIDN'T WANT TO LET IT PASS WITHOUT COMMENTING
22 ON WHAT GREAT WORK THAT REPRESENTED AND WHAT OBVIOUS
23 WORK, BASED ON WHAT WE'VE HEARD TODAY, CIRM IS
24 DOING.

25 I THINK OUR STATE OF THE UNION IS GREAT.

1 WE HAVE ONLY UPWARDS AND ONWARDS TO GO, GOT GREAT
2 TRAJECTORY, GREAT MOMENTUM. IT'S BEEN A TERRIFIC
3 YEAR. SO THANKS TO EVERYBODY.

4 AND I'LL JUST CLOSE BY SAYING -- I WOULD
5 LIKE TO CONGRATULATE MY BOSS, DR. BONNEVILLE, AND
6 THANK, AS SENATOR TORRES POINTS OUT, TO THANK ALL
7 THE MEMBERS OF THE TEAM THAT PUT TOGETHER THIS
8 MEETING AND ALL THE MEETINGS WE HAVE. I THINK THIS
9 SITE WORKS VERY WELL. IT'S A WONDERFUL PLACE TO
10 CONVENE, AND A LOT OF HARD WORK GOES INTO
11 PREPARATION AND SETTING UP. SO TO ALL MEMBERS OF
12 THE TEAM RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT.

13 SO I WILL JUST CONCLUDE BY SAYING I WOULD
14 BE REMISS, MR. JUELSGAARD, MR. ROWLETT, IF YOU'RE
15 STILL ON THE PHONE, IN SAYING THAT I WAS HOPING TO
16 END THIS MEETING WITH A DODGER WORLD CHAMPIONSHIP
17 BANNER ON THE WALL BEHIND ME, BUT DIDN'T QUITE MAKE
18 IT THERE. SPRING TRAINING STARTS IN A COUPLE
19 MONTHS. WAIT TILL NEXT YEAR. SO WITH THAT --

20 MR. ROWLETT: WELL DONE.

21 (THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT
22 02:29 P.M.)

23
24
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON DECEMBER 14, 2017, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152
133 HENNA COURT
SANDPOINT, IDAHO
(208) 255-5453